

CUMULATIVE FNS CASE AND PROCEDURAL ERROR RATES
10/24-05/25

CO. #	COUNTY	# COMP CASES	# CORRECT CASES	# INVALID CASES	ERROR RATE	ACCURACY RATE
1	ALAMANCE	9	6	3	33.33%	66.67%
2	ALEXANDER					
3	ALLEGHANY					
4	ANSON	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
5	ASHE	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
6	AVERY					
7	BEAUFORT	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
8	BERTIE	1		1	100.00%	0.00%
9	BLADEN	2	1	1	50.00%	50.00%
10	BRUNSWICK	6	5	1	16.67%	83.33%
11	BUNCOMBE	15	11	4	26.67%	73.33%
12	BURKE	3	3		0.00%	100.00%
13	CABARRUS	11	8	3	27.27%	72.73%
14	CALDWELL	6	3	3	50.00%	50.00%
15	CAMDEN					
16	CARTERET	3	3		0.00%	100.00%
17	CASWELL					
18	CATAWBA	6	2	4	66.67%	33.33%
19	CHATHAM	4	3	1	25.00%	75.00%
20	CHEROKEE					
21	CHOWAN	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
22	CLAY					
23	CLEVELAND	6	2	4	66.67%	33.33%
24	COLUMBUS	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
25	CRAVEN	7	3	4	57.14%	42.86%
26	CUMBERLAND	21	13	8	38.10%	61.90%
27	CURRITUCK	1		1	100.00%	0.00%
28	DARE	1		1	100.00%	0.00%
29	DAVIDSON	9	5	4	44.44%	55.56%
30	DAVIE	2	2		0.00%	100.00%

CUMULATIVE FNS CASE AND PROCEDURAL ERROR RATES
10/24-05/25

CO. #	COUNTY	# COMP CASES	# CORRECT CASES	# INVALID CASES	ERROR RATE	ACCURACY RATE
31	DUPLIN	2	1	1	50.00%	50.00%
32	DURHAM	17	14	3	17.65%	82.35%
33	EDGEcombe	6	3	3	50.00%	50.00%
34	FORSYTH	17	6	11	64.71%	35.29%
35	FRANKLIN	2	1	1	50.00%	50.00%
36	GASTON	17	13	4	23.53%	76.47%
37	GATES					
38	GRAHAM					
39	GRANVILLE	2	1	1	50.00%	50.00%
40	GREENE					
41	GUILFORD	30	17	13	43.33%	56.67%
42	HALIFAX	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
43	HARNETT	7	6	1	14.29%	85.71%
44	HAYWOOD	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
45	HENDERSON	6	4	2	33.33%	66.67%
46	HERTFORD					
47	HOKE					
48	HYDE					
49	IREDELL	13	7	6	46.15%	53.85%
50	JACKSON	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
51	JOHNSTON	9	3	6	66.67%	33.33%
52	JONES	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
53	LEE	2	1	1	50.00%	50.00%
54	LENOIR	5	4	1	20.00%	80.00%
55	LINCOLN	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
56	MACON	3	1	2	66.67%	33.33%
57	MADISON					
58	MARTIN					
59	MCDOWELL	2		2	100.00%	0.00%
60	MECKLENBURG	71	30	41	57.75%	42.25%
61	MITCHELL					

CUMULATIVE FNS CASE AND PROCEDURAL ERROR RATES
10/24-05/25

CO. #	COUNTY	# COMP CASES	# CORRECT CASES	# INVALID CASES	ERROR RATE	ACCURACY RATE
62	MONTGOMERY	1		1	100.00%	0.00%
63	MOORE	4	4		0.00%	100.00%
64	NASH	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
65	NEW HANOVER	11	10	1	9.09%	90.91%
66	NORTHAMPTON	2	1	1	50.00%	50.00%
67	ONSLOW	10	7	3	30.00%	70.00%
68	ORANGE	4	1	3	75.00%	25.00%
69	PAMLICO					
70	PASQUOTANK	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
71	PENDER	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
72	PERQUIMANS	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
73	PERSON	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
74	PITT	12	5	7	58.33%	41.67%
75	POLK					
76	RANDOLPH	9	5	4	44.44%	55.56%
77	RICHMOND	4	2	2	50.00%	50.00%
78	ROBESON	9	8	1	11.11%	88.89%
79	ROCKINGHAM	4	4		0.00%	100.00%
80	ROWAN	8	5	3	37.50%	62.50%
81	RUTHERFORD	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
82	SAMPSON	5	5		0.00%	100.00%
83	SCOTLAND	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
84	STANLY	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
85	STOKES	3	3		0.00%	100.00%
86	SURRY	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
87	SWAIN					
88	TRANSYLVANIA					
89	TYRRELL					
90	UNION	10	8	2	20.00%	80.00%
91	VANCE	3	1	2	66.67%	33.33%
92	WAKE	41	26	15	36.59%	63.41%

CUMULATIVE FNS CASE AND PROCEDURAL ERROR RATES
10/24-05/25

CO. #	COUNTY	# COMP CASES	# CORRECT CASES	# INVALID CASES	ERROR RATE	ACCURACY RATE
93	WARREN					
94	WASHINGTON	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
95	WATAUGA					
96	WAYNE	9	5	4	44.44%	55.56%
97	WILKES	2	1	1	50.00%	50.00%
98	WILSON	7	5	2	28.57%	71.43%
99	YADKIN					
100	YANCEY	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
	STATE AGENCY					

STATE	521	320	201	38.58%	61.42%
--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------	---------------