

FNS CASE AND PROCEDURAL ERROR RATES (FY 26)
10/2025-5/2026

CO. #	COUNTY	# COMP CASES	# CORRECT CASES	# INVALID CASES	ERROR RATE	ACCURACY RATE
1	ALAMANCE	7	4	3	42.86%	57.14%
2	ALEXANDER	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
3	ALLEGHANY					
4	ANSON	1		1	100.00%	0.00%
5	ASHE					
6	AVERY					
7	BEAUFORT	2	1	1	50.00%	50.00%
8	BERTIE	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
9	BLADEN	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
10	BRUNSWICK	7	6	1	14.29%	85.71%
11	BUNCOMBE	11	7	4	36.36%	63.64%
12	BURKE	4	4		0.00%	100.00%
13	CABARRUS	9	4	5	55.56%	44.44%
14	CALDWELL	7	6	1	14.29%	85.71%
15	CAMDEN					
16	CARTERET	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
17	CASWELL					
18	CATAWBA	9	6	3	33.33%	66.67%
19	CHATHAM					
20	CHEROKEE	4	4		0.00%	100.00%
21	CHOWAN					
22	CLAY					
23	CLEVELAND	8	5	3	37.50%	62.50%
24	COLUMBUS	1		1	100.00%	0.00%
25	CRAVEN	6	6		0.00%	100.00%
26	CUMBERLAND	20	9	11	55.00%	45.00%
27	CURRITUCK					
28	DARE	1		1	100.00%	0.00%
29	DAVIDSON	6	4	2	33.33%	66.67%
30	DAVIE	5	4	1	20.00%	80.00%

FNS CASE AND PROCEDURAL ERROR RATES (FY 26)
10/2025-5/2026

CO. #	COUNTY	# COMP CASES	# CORRECT CASES	# INVALID CASES	ERROR RATE	ACCURACY RATE
31	DUPLIN					
32	DURHAM	18	10	8	44.44%	55.56%
33	EDGEcombe	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
34	FORSYTH	20	18	2	10.00%	90.00%
35	FRANKLIN	4	2	2	50.00%	50.00%
36	GASTON	12	8	4	33.33%	66.67%
37	GATES					
38	GRAHAM					
39	GRANVILLE	4	4		0.00%	100.00%
40	GREENE	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
41	GUILFORD	32	21	11	34.38%	65.63%
42	HALIFAX	3	1	2	66.67%	33.33%
43	HARNETT	6	4	2	33.33%	66.67%
44	HAYWOOD	1		1	100.00%	0.00%
45	HENDERSON	6	6		0.00%	100.00%
46	HERTFORD					
47	HOKE	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
48	HYDE					
49	IREDELL	10		10	100.00%	0.00%
50	JACKSON	1		1	100.00%	0.00%
51	JOHNSTON	10	5	5	50.00%	50.00%
52	JONES					
53	LEE	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
54	LENOIR	4	3	1	25.00%	75.00%
55	LINCOLN	3	1	2	66.67%	33.33%
56	MACON	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
57	MADISON					
58	MARTIN	1		1	100.00%	0.00%
59	MCDOWELL	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
60	MECKLENBURG	65	34	31	47.69%	52.31%
61	MITCHELL					

FNS CASE AND PROCEDURAL ERROR RATES (FY 26)
10/2025-5/2026

CO. #	COUNTY	# COMP CASES	# CORRECT CASES	# INVALID CASES	ERROR RATE	ACCURACY RATE
62	MONTGOMERY	4	4		0.00%	100.00%
63	MOORE	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
64	NASH	4	3	1	25.00%	75.00%
65	NEW HANOVER	10	7	3	30.00%	70.00%
66	NORTHAMPTON	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
67	ONSLOW	11	9	2	18.18%	81.82%
68	ORANGE	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
69	PAMLICO					
70	PASQUOTANK	3	3		0.00%	100.00%
71	PENDER	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
72	PERQUIMANS					
73	PERSON	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
74	PITT	9	7	2	22.22%	77.78%
75	POLK					
76	RANDOLPH	6	6		0.00%	100.00%
77	RICHMOND	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
78	ROBESON	9	4	5	55.56%	44.44%
79	ROCKINGHAM	4	3	1	25.00%	75.00%
80	ROWAN	8	4	4	50.00%	50.00%
81	RUTHERFORD	4	3	1	25.00%	75.00%
82	SAMPSON	3	1	2	66.67%	33.33%
83	SCOTLAND	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
84	STANLY	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
85	STOKES	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
86	SURRY	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
87	SWAIN	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
88	TRANSYLVANIA					
89	TYRRELL					
90	UNION	8	4	4	50.00%	50.00%
91	VANCE	1		1	100.00%	0.00%
92	WAKE	33	21	12	36.36%	63.64%

FNS CASE AND PROCEDURAL ERROR RATES (FY 26)
10/2025-5/2026

CO. #	COUNTY	# COMP CASES	# CORRECT CASES	# INVALID CASES	ERROR RATE	ACCURACY RATE
93	WARREN					
94	WASHINGTON					
95	WATAUGA					
96	WAYNE	8	5	3	37.50%	62.50%
97	WILKES	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
98	WILSON	7	6	1	14.29%	85.71%
99	YADKIN					
100	YANCEY					
	STATE AGENCY					
STATE		480	315	165	34.38%	65.63%