

CUMULATIVE FNS CASE AND PROCEDURAL ERROR RATES
10/24-09/25

CO. #	COUNTY	# COMP CASES	# CORRECT CASES	# INVALID CASES	ERROR RATE	ACCURACY RATE
1	ALAMANCE	13	8	5	38.46%	61.54%
2	ALEXANDER					
3	ALLEGHANY					
4	ANSON	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
5	ASHE	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
6	AVERY	1		1	100.00%	0.00%
7	BEAUFORT	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
8	BERTIE	2	1	1	50.00%	50.00%
9	BLADEN	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
10	BRUNSWICK	7	5	2	28.57%	71.43%
11	BUNCOMBE	19	13	6	31.58%	68.42%
12	BURKE	6	6		0.00%	100.00%
13	CABARRUS	14	10	4	28.57%	71.43%
14	CALDWELL	7	3	4	57.14%	42.86%
15	CAMDEN					
16	CARTERET	4	4		0.00%	100.00%
17	CASWELL					
18	CATAWBA	9	4	5	55.56%	44.44%
19	CHATHAM	5	4	1	20.00%	80.00%
20	CHEROKEE					
21	CHOWAN	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
22	CLAY					
23	CLEVELAND	9	4	5	55.56%	44.44%
24	COLUMBUS	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
25	CRAVEN	8	4	4	50.00%	50.00%
26	CUMBERLAND	29	19	10	34.48%	65.52%
27	CURRITUCK	1		1	100.00%	0.00%
28	DARE	2	1	1	50.00%	50.00%
29	DAVIDSON	12	6	6	50.00%	50.00%
30	DAVIE	3	3		0.00%	100.00%

CUMULATIVE FNS CASE AND PROCEDURAL ERROR RATES
10/24-09/25

CO. #	COUNTY	# COMP CASES	# CORRECT CASES	# INVALID CASES	ERROR RATE	ACCURACY RATE
31	DUPLIN	3	1	2	66.67%	33.33%
32	DURHAM	24	20	4	16.67%	83.33%
33	EDGECOMBE	7	4	3	42.86%	57.14%
34	FORSYTH	23	8	15	65.22%	34.78%
35	FRANKLIN	4	2	2	50.00%	50.00%
36	GASTON	22	16	6	27.27%	72.73%
37	GATES					
38	GRAHAM					
39	GRANVILLE	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
40	GREENE					
41	GUILFORD	42	22	20	47.62%	52.38%
42	HALIFAX	3	3		0.00%	100.00%
43	HARNETT	9	7	2	22.22%	77.78%
44	HAYWOOD	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
45	HENDERSON	6	4	2	33.33%	66.67%
46	HERTFORD					
47	HOKE	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
48	HYDE					
49	IREDELL	16	9	7	43.75%	56.25%
50	JACKSON	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
51	JOHNSTON	13	6	7	53.85%	46.15%
52	JONES	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
53	LEE	2	1	1	50.00%	50.00%
54	LENOIR	8	6	2	25.00%	75.00%
55	LINCOLN	4	3	1	25.00%	75.00%
56	MACON	3	1	2	66.67%	33.33%
57	MADISON					
58	MARTIN					
59	MCDOWELL	3		3	100.00%	0.00%
60	MECKLENBURG	98	37	61	62.24%	37.76%
61	MITCHELL	2	2		0.00%	100.00%

CUMULATIVE FNS CASE AND PROCEDURAL ERROR RATES
10/24-09/25

CO. #	COUNTY	# COMP CASES	# CORRECT CASES	# INVALID CASES	ERROR RATE	ACCURACY RATE
62	MONTGOMERY	1		1	100.00%	0.00%
63	MOORE	5	4	1	20.00%	80.00%
64	NASH	4	2	2	50.00%	50.00%
65	NEW HANOVER	15	13	2	13.33%	86.67%
66	NORTHAMPTON	2	1	1	50.00%	50.00%
67	ONSLOW	14	11	3	21.43%	78.57%
68	ORANGE	6	3	3	50.00%	50.00%
69	PAMLICO					
70	PASQUOTANK	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
71	PENDER	4	3	1	25.00%	75.00%
72	PERQUIMANS	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
73	PERSON	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
74	PITT	16	7	9	56.25%	43.75%
75	POLK					
76	RANDOLPH	12	7	5	41.67%	58.33%
77	RICHMOND	6	4	2	33.33%	66.67%
78	ROBESON	11	9	2	18.18%	81.82%
79	ROCKINGHAM	5	5		0.00%	100.00%
80	ROWAN	11	7	4	36.36%	63.64%
81	RUTHERFORD	4	3	1	25.00%	75.00%
82	SAMPSON	7	6	1	14.29%	85.71%
83	SCOTLAND	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
84	STANLY	2	2		0.00%	100.00%
85	STOKES	5	5		0.00%	100.00%
86	SURRY	3	2	1	33.33%	66.67%
87	SWAIN	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
88	TRANSYLVANIA					
89	TYRRELL					
90	UNION	13	11	2	15.38%	84.62%
91	VANCE	3	1	2	66.67%	33.33%
92	WAKE	54	38	16	29.63%	70.37%

CUMULATIVE FNS CASE AND PROCEDURAL ERROR RATES
10/24-09/25

CO. #	COUNTY	# COMP CASES	# CORRECT CASES	# INVALID CASES	ERROR RATE	ACCURACY RATE
93	WARREN					
94	WASHINGTON	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
95	WATAUGA					
96	WAYNE	11	5	6	54.55%	45.45%
97	WILKES	2	1	1	50.00%	50.00%
98	WILSON	10	8	2	20.00%	80.00%
99	YADKIN					
100	YANCEY	1	1		0.00%	100.00%
200	STATE AGENCY	1		1	100.00%	0.00%

STATE	704	434	270	38.35%	61.65%
--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------	---------------

SA NCF - 308029 (Mecklenburg)