#### NC Annual OSEP Determination Report 2009

The U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) has determined that under Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), North Carolina's Early Intervention program (NC Infant-Toddler Program) "meets the requirements" of the targets established in its State Performance Plan (SPP).

This is the highest award given by OSEP and the second year in a row that NC has received this determination. OSEP assesses early intervention programs based on data and compliance of the 14 indicators\* outlined in the SPP. NC is one of 28 states that received this determination.

For children with developmental disabilities and their families, NC's achieving this high performance level will help to improve children's outcomes in early intervention.

Specific factors affecting NC's "meets requirements" determination include:

- its provision of valid and reliable data for each Indicator and
- its 95% or more reporting of compliance on Indicators 1, 7, 8a, 8b, 8c, 9, 10, 11 and 14.

OSEP has four levels of determinations:

- Meets Requirements
- Needs Assistance
- Needs Intervention, and
- Needs Substantial Intervention

The following documents are below for your information:

Full text of NC's 2009 determination letter from OSEP

\*See the NC Annual Performance Report 2009 on www.ncei.org for an explanation of each Indicator.



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION OFFICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION AND REHABILITATIVE SERVICES

JUN 3 2010

Honorable Lanier M. Cansler Secretary North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services 2001 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-2001

Dear Secretary Cansler:

Thank you for the timely submission of North Carolina's Federal fiscal year (FFY) 2008 Annual Performance Report (APR) and revised State Performance Plan (SPP) under Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).

The Department has determined that, under IDEA sections 616(d)(2)(A)(i) and 642, North Carolina meets the requirements of Part C of IDEA. The Department's determination is based on the totality of the State's data and information, including the State's FFY 2008 APR and revised SPP, other State-reported data, and other publicly available information. See the enclosure entitled "How the Department Made Determinations under Section 616(d) and 642 of the IDEA in 2010: Part C" for further details.

Specific factors affecting the determination made by the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) that North Carolina meets requirements under IDEA sections 616(d) and 642 include: (1) North Carolina provided valid and reliable FFY 2008 data reflecting the measurement for each indicator; and (2) North Carolina reported high levels of compliance or correction of previously identified findings of noncompliance for Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, 8C, 9, 10, 11, and 14. We commend North Carolina for its performance.

The enclosed table provides OSEP's analysis of the State's FFY 2008 APR and revised SPP and identifies, by indicator, OSEP's review of any revisions the State made to its targets, improvement activities (timelines and resources), and baseline data in the State's SPP. The table also identifies, by indicator: (1) the State's reported FFY 2008 data; (2) whether such data met the State's FFY 2008 targets and reflect progress or slippage from prior year's data; and (3) whether the State corrected findings of noncompliance.

As you know, pursuant to IDEA sections 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(l) and 642, your State must report annually to the public on the performance of each early intervention services program (EIS program) located in the State on the targets in the SPP. In addition, your State must: (1) review EIS program performance against targets in the State's SPP; (2) determine if each EIS program "meets requirements" of Part C, or "needs assistance," "needs intervention," or "needs substantial intervention" in implementing Part C of the IDEA; (3) take appropriate enforcement action; and (4) inform each EIS program of its determination. For further information regarding these requirements, see the SPP/APR Calendar at: <a href="http://spp-apr-">http://spp-apr-</a>

calendar.rrfcnetwork.org/explorer/view/id/656. Finally, if your State included revisions to baseline, targets or improvement activities in its APR submission, and OSEP accepted those revisions, please ensure that your SPP is updated accordingly and that the updated SPP is posted on the State's website and made available to the public, consistent with IDEA sections 616(d)(2)(C)(ii)(I) and 642.

400 MARYLAND AVE. S.W., WASHINGTON, DC 20202-2600 www.ed.gov

#### Page 2 - Lead Agency Director

OSEP is committed to supporting North Carolina's efforts to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and looks forward to working with your State over the next year. If you have any questions, would like to discuss this further, or want to request technical assistance, please contact Danny Rice, your OSEP State Contact, at 202-245-7888.

Sincerely,

Alexa Posny, Ph.D.

Acting Director

Office of Special Education Programs

Enclosures

cc: Part C Coordinator

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 97.21%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 93%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.  The State reported that five of six findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining finding subsequently was corrected by October 2009.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR, due February 1, 2011, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.  When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §\$303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02). In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must
		describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.  If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of AP	R Data/SPP Revision I	ssues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
				activities and revise them, if necessary.
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings.  [Results Indicator]	The State revised the indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2008 reported data are 99%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 97%.		The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).	
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:	The State revised the measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.			The State must report progress data and actual target data for FFY 2009 with the FFY 2009 APR.
A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship);	The State provided FFY 2008 baseline data, targets, and improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts the State's submission for this			
B. Acquisition and use of	indicator.			
knowledge and skills (including early language/communication);	The State's FFY 2008 reported	baseline data for this in	dicator are:	
and				
C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data	Summary Statement 1	Summary Statement 2 <sup>2</sup>	
[Results Indicator]				
	Outcome A:  Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	72.9	59	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Summary Statement 1: Of those infants and toddlers who entered or exited early intervention below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned three years of age or exited the program.

FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Summary Statement 2: The percentage of infants and toddlers who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned three years of age or exited the program.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps		
	Outcome B:  Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	79.5		50.5		
	Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	77.6		57.2		
	The State serves infants and todo developmental delays. The State progress data for just at-risk infa	e reported separa	_		3 APR on	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:	accepts those revisions. demonstrating improvement in		OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.			
A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and		FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2008 Data	FFY 2008 Target	Progress	
C. Help their children develop and learn.	A. Know their rights (%)	69	74	90	5.00%	
[Results Indicator]	B. Effectively communicate the children's needs (%)	eir 67	70	85	3.00%	
	C. Help their children develop learn. (%)	and 78	83	91	5.00%	
	These data represent progress fromeet its FFY 2008 targets for this		data. T	he State die	d not	
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to	The State revised the indicator as revisions in the Indicator Measure		~ ~			OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
national data.	accepts those revisions.	performance in the FFY 2009 APR.
[Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 0.95%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 0.89%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 1.10%.	
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data.	The State revised the indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
[Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 2.33%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 2.12%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 1.96%.	
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 97.25%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 96%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.  The State reported that all three of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.  When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §\$303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.
		If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 99.5%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 96%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.  The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 for this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.
		When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.
		If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 99.54%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 98%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.
		When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the

Monitoring Priorities and	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
Indicators		FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.  If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 98.09%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 96%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.  The State reported that all three of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator.  When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted a transition conference, although late, for any child potentially eligible for Part B whose transition conference was not timely, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent

Monitoring Priorities and	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
Indicators		with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.  If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.
9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 92.31%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2007 data of 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%.  The State reported that 12 of 13 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining finding subsequently was corrected by October 2009.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2009 APR demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A), 34 CFR §303.501, and OSEP Memo 09-02.  In reporting on correction of noncompliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report that it verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2008: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.  In responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report on correction of the

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.
		In addition, in reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.
[Compliance Indicator]  12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).  [Results Indicator]	Not applicable.	Not applicable.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.  [Results Indicator]	The State reported that the one mediation resulted in a mediation agreement.  The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2008. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities except in any fiscal year in which ten or more mediations were held.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and	The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2007 data of 100%. The State met is FFY 2008 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642, and 34

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
accurate.		CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.
[Compliance Indicator]		In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.