#### I/DD STAKEHOLDER MEETING MINUTES

	ime: 3:00 pm – 5:05 pm			<u></u>	
MEETING CALLED BY		-	nd LaToya Chancey, Co-	Chair	
TYPE OF MEETING	DHHS I/DD Sta	akeholder \	Norkgroup Meeting		
ATTENDEES					
	ITTEE MEMBERS		STATE		
NAME	AFFILIATION	PRESE NT	NAME	AFFILIATION	PRESEN T
Alisha Tatum	Lifespan	$\square$	Alice Farrar	DVRS	$\square$
Ashley Young	Stakeholder		Deb Goda	NC Medicaid	
Ayelet Heckathorn	Charles Lea Center	$\boxtimes$	Katie Visconti OR Monica Harrelson	DSOHF	$\square$
Byron Hall	Stakeholder		Kenneth Bausell	NC Medicaid	$\square$
Carol Conway	Stakeholder	$\square$	Lauren Howard	DPH	$\square$
Cindy Ehlers (phone)	Trillium	$\square$	LaToya Chancey	DMH/DD/SAS	$\square$
Danyale Sturdivant	Stakeholder		Mya Lewis	DMH/DD/SAS	$\square$
Dakota Lanay Wilson	Stakeholder		Niki Ashmont	DSOHF	
Despina Karras	Stakeholder	$\square$	Pam Scott	DHHS	$\square$
Dotty Foley	Stakeholder		Patricia Hill (Dana Holland)	CAP-DA	$\boxtimes$
Erin Nantz	Cardinal	$\square$	Sherry Thomas	DPI	$\square$
Holly Watt	Provider Agency		Talley Wells	NCCDD	
Janet Price-Ferrell	FIRST		Wrenia Bratts-Brown	NC Medicaid	
Jenny Gadd	Alberta Professional Services				
Jessica Aguilar	Stakeholder	$\square$		GUESTS	
Joan Fischer	Stakeholder	$\square$	Amie Brendle	Stephanie Jones	
Jody Miller	Partnership for Children/Family Support Network		Anna Cunningham	Teresa McKeon	
Kerri Erb	Autism Society of NC		Bob Crayton	Additional stakeholders called in via phone, which resulted in being unable to capture their name from the roster.	
Lisa Nesbitt	DRNC		Christina Dupuch		
			Deborah Woodlard		
Mark David Patrick	Provider Agency		Janet Sowers		
Melvin Anthony Neal	DECI		Jennifer Kelly		
Richard Edwards (phone)	Community Based Care		Kathy Rekter		
Rita H. Oglesbee	T.L.C. Home, Inc	$\square$	Lisa Gessler		
Robin Marx	Stakeholder		Marianne Ferlaz		
S. Michael Chapman	UNC TEACCH Autism Program		Michelle Harper		
Saskia Barnard (phone)	Corporation of Guardianship		Michelle Merritt		
Shirley Moore	Partners	$\boxtimes$	Rachel Noell		
Tara Fields	Benchmarks		Ray Hemachandra Sara Potter		

#### 1. Agenda topic: Welcome

#### Presenters: Kenneth Bausell, I/DD Manager, NC Medicaid and LaTova Chancev, I/DD Team Lead, DMH/DD/SAS

Action Items			Person(s) Responsible	Deadline
Conclusions				
	•	<ul> <li>the DHHS I/DD Stakeholder Meeting.</li> <li>Ms. Chancey advised Marilyn Thompson, DPI, is officially a stakeholder member.</li> <li>LaToya reviewed the meeting's agenda, on-line meeting protocol, and motioned to approve June's minutes with edits.</li> <li>The meeting's minutes will be posted to: https://www.ncdhhs.gov/divisions/mhddsas/councils-commissions.</li> </ul>		
Discussion	•	LaToya Chancey called the meeting to order	at 3:00 p.m. and welcome	d the members to

#### 2. Agenda topic: Public Feedback Received Outside of Meeting

**Presenter: LaToya Chancey** There was no public feedback received outside of the meeting. Discussion Conclusions **Action Items** Person(s) Responsible Deadline •

#### Agenda tonic: Medicaid Transformation Corner 2

5. Agenua topic: Med	icalu Transforma	tion Corner	Presenter: Kenneth Bausen		
Discussion	The following fee	The following feedback was provided re: Medicaid Transformation:			
	<ul> <li>The processes and forms are not clear for new individuals receiving their diagnostic that may need to change their Medicaid Management Care due to a need for additional services; The documents need to be in Spanish.</li> <li>In NC Tracks, the box is missing when selecting United Health Care and Vaya Health for kids under age 6.</li> </ul>				
Conclusions	Conclusions				
Action Items		Person(s) Responsible	Deadline		
The mechanisms to Medicaid Managem discussed during th stakeholder meeting	ent Care will be e next	Kenneth Bausell	August 2021		

#### 4. Agenda topic: Competitive Integrated Employment (CIE) Presenter: LaToya Chancey

Discussion	The following feedback was provided re: CIE:
	• One of the barriers to better serve individuals with significant IDD is self-employment options. There is not enough support and guidance for providers to help individuals and
	their families walk through the process.
	In the Vaya area there are several successful self-employment options operated by individuals with IDD with the support of providers.
	Oftentimes management changes result in hours being reduced for the individual.
	• (b)(3) Initial - LME/MOC's expect fading down regardless of goal progression after the supported individual has worked for a year which results in less utilization of the service but providing additional unreimbursed supports to help the individual maintain employment; Additional Training needed for UM on Supported Employment definition.
	Enhanced Supported Employment service is needed for individuals that need additional supports to maintain employment.

Presenter<sup>,</sup> Kenneth Bausell

•			
Action Items		Person(s) Responsible	Deadline
Conclusions			
	<ul> <li>Need to allow for settings where Peer Mentor e that does not count against the CIE global defin</li> <li>Training needed to understand how Supported properly to support individuals in the communit experience.</li> </ul>	ition. Employment definition can be	used
	With respect to SE, is there a place for establis Extraordinary ventures, which is entrepreneuria	hments that cater to I/DD, like	
	<ul> <li>A direct tie in with regional SSA office in Atlanta clauses that are allowed in the Social Security</li> <li>When helping individuals to find jobs consider to</li> </ul>	penefits POMS.	
	More frequent training sessions but for shorter	•	
	<ul><li>and the real fact that it takes on average 18 to to be trained appropriately.</li><li>The 90 day VR follow along is not adequate.</li></ul>	24 months to help those with hi	gher needs
	<ul> <li>There is a need for VR specialist that are special and how to do self employment properly to follow</li> <li>Barriers include costs of transportation, attendi</li> </ul>	w the SSI/SSDI rules. ng conferences, seminars to do	booths,
	<ul><li>needs?</li><li>What percentage of individuals 16 yrs. and old</li></ul>	er utilize SE?	
	<ul> <li>maintain employment. These individuals may n support.</li> <li>Is there a need for a tiered SE definition (i.e., red)</li> </ul>		
	Most significantly challenged individuals on the maintain ampleument. These individuals		

### 5. Agenda topic: Direct Support Professional (DSP) Workforce

# **Presenter: LaToya Chancey**

5. Agenua topic. Direc	t Support Professional (DSP) workforce Presenter: La roya Chance
Discussion	The following feedback was provided re: DSP Workforce:
	A livable and professional wage is needed for services, Developmental Therapy.
	Additional QPs are needed for additional paperwork requirements by LME-MCOs.
	Need to offer decent wage to DSPs now post-COVID.
	<ul> <li>Unrealistic paperwork and requirements for the DSP. Oftentimes DSPs are paid for only contact hours and not travel or during completion of paperwork. T becomes an issue when the DSP is earning less than the individual they are supporting.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The lack of Vocational Rehabilitation counselors impacts the ability to do business use to high turnovers.</li> </ul>
	• High turnover is an issue with DSPs across the board which impacts the service quality for the individual being supported because they don't get the continuity of care.
	• Requiring additional trainings by QPs at a computer is an issue. Hands on training is more effective. NCI Restraining Training should be phased in instead of using 15 hours for agencies that don't utilize restraining.
	There should be individualize training based upon the individual.
	<ul> <li>Innovations requirements oftentimes don't match provider training requirements to start employment.</li> </ul>
	• It is important that DSPs have the trainings for their consumers. Unfortunately, one DSP left a child unattended because they were properly trained.
	• Consider universities, junior colleges, and individuals with lived experience to recruit with pay and professional growth incentives.
	• Providers shouldn't have to read 4 or 5 manuals to understand the rules. It's just too much paperwork on QPs that could be supporting the DSPs.
	<ul> <li>Skill sets needed can be very different depending on the actual job tasks and interpersonal skills in the workplace for both the DSPs and the individuals being</li> </ul>
	supported. The individuals rely on the social capital that the DSPs provide in the workplace.
	• There is a real need to do NC mandatory DSP reporting to DHHS.
	• You can't get long-term goals unless you can recruit DSPs to develop long-term goals.

Provide clarificat	ion regarding DSP	LaToya and Kenneth	November 2021		
Action Items	at arhams63@g	mail.com. Person(s) Responsible	Deadline		
Conclusions	Workgroup by co	ontacting Annette Smith at nettersmith@yahoo.co			
Conclusions		of DSPs were included in the data? ed specifically in this very critical need in NC can	ioin the NC DSP		
	-	HCBS Waiver funds.			
	• Totally support all DSPs. The NC DSP Workgroup does highlight the NC Innovations				
	community.				
	<ul> <li>Need to know the impact of providers who do not reimburse or give allowance for mileage in the community and the types of activities that the individual being served does in the</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>clearly understood by all.</li> <li>If training is vitally important, maybe an "authorization" from the MCO/NCDHHS to pay for it for each staff member.</li> </ul>				
	into other health				
		g workforce training programs from the high scho			
	should be paid s	ame minimum wage for the same work as the sta	ate facility DSPs.		
		e same work that is performed by the DSPs in the rate for the same work is violation of Olmstead <i>i</i>			
	the first action st	ep to advocating for raising the wage for NC INN	OVATIONS DSPs to the		
	<ul> <li>same rate or only a little more for the support given by DSPs for those with more complex needs who require higher level of knowledge, skills, and experience.</li> <li>Currently, the NC DSP Workgroup have a long range plan of which we have prioritized</li> </ul>				
	Need to understa	and the various levels of needs that exist in the c			
	true liability and the individuals w	risk that the state, LME-MCOs, providers, familie rith I/DD have.	s and MOST importantly		
	get to lean six ur	nderstanding of the real situation that exists at the	e trench level and the		
		risis is much larger than reported as you need to	do deeper analyses to		
		g good DSPs who can do the Supported Employ for those DSPs who are interested.	ment work well and also		
	the 10% increase	ed federal match?			
		ly in the Department's own plan for the HCBS FN			
		ver slots; and to increase—not decrease, as in th cluding RUN waitlist) funding? And how have th			
	waiver in N.C.) ir	n order to address the urgent Innovations workfo	rce crisis; for increasing		
		enters (the Innovations Waiver is the largest func- tate and covers the largest group of people cove			
		ease in Innovations Waiver DSP pay to match wa			
	and publicly righ	t now, as the House formulates its response to the	ne Senate's budget, for		
	-	rmanently gone from the workforce nt active and loud in its leadership and advocacy	with the NC Legislature		
		D federal research from Univ of MN reported that	25% of the Medicaid-		
		ve what they do but want to make a professional his seems like a win-win.	our of our of working		

#### 6. Agenda topic: Innovations

#### Presenter: Kenneth Bausell

el rigenda teplet in		
Discussion	The following information was provided re Innovations:	
	<ul> <li>Consider looping in DHSR on training requirement clarifications.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Consider reviewing service definitions to ensure the correct assessments a for individuals with developmental disabilities only.</li> </ul>	are required

	• Technology in service definitions is a wonderful way to increase access to community for many.			
Conclusions	Jennifer Kelly provided the workgroup the following the link regarding the American Rescue Plan: https://medicaid.ncdhhs.gov/media/9910/open			
Action Items	Person(s) Responsible Deadline			
New Futures progra	m will be added to the next stakeholder meeting.			

# **10. Agenda topic: Public Feedback**

Presenter: Attendees

Discussion	<ul> <li>The following feedback was provided:</li> <li>Ray Hemachandra - Is the Department active and loud in its leadership with the NC Legislature and publicly right now, as the House formulates the Senate's budget, for ensuring an increase in Innovations Waiver DS wages at state developmental centers (the Innovations Waiver is the large source for people with IDD in our state and covers the largest group of p any Medicaid waiver in N.C.) in order to address the urgent Innovations for increasing Innovations Waiver slots; and to increase—not decrease, budget—single-stream (including RUN waitlist) funding? And how have been reflected explicitly in the Department's own plan for the HCBS FM/ for the 10% increased federal match?</li> <li>Anna Cunningham - Advocate directly with the legislators, specifically th Human Services Appropriations Subcommittees, House and Senate, an to make sure to increase the NC INNOVATIONS portions. These are the critin need funding just to try to maintain current level of services for those alrwaiver and to increase the number of individuals being served on the NC waiver. This is how very critical this is- no one gets "more". We are tryit continue treading water while increasing access for others and hopefully number of individuals / families in crisis.</li> </ul>	its response to P pay to match gest funding beople covered by workforce crisis; as in the Senate these priorities AP Spending Plan the Health and d your local reps Single Stream tical items that eady on the C INNOVATIONS ing to even
Conclusions Action Items	Person(s) Responsible	Deadline

# 11. Agenda topic: Future Agenda Items

Discussion	No feedback provided.		
Conclusions	<ul> <li>Jessica Aguilar will be presenting a document during the next stakeholder meeting.</li> <li>The American Rescue Act</li> <li>DPI discussion</li> <li>New Futures program</li> <li>Additional details regarding I-options as NC move to TP (1915 vs 1115)</li> <li>Process to transition Medicaid Management Care</li> </ul>		
Action Items	Person(s) Responsible Deadline		

# Meeting Adjourned 5:05 p.m.

Next Meeting: The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, September 16, 2021 from 3:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m. Via WebEx.