

North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services Division of Social Services

Child and Family Services Reviews Onsite Review Instrument and Instructions

JULY 2002

Sample # _

Child and Family Services Reviews Checklist for Completing the Quality Assurance Review of Completed Onsite Review Instruments

Name of child/family:

Reviewers:

Lead Reviewer:

 Have all the sections of the Face Sheet been completed (for example, has the case been correctly identified as a foster care or in-home case and have the names of the children been filled in, with an asterisk by the name of the child whose foster care case is under review)? Have all the core questions under each item been answered (including questions requiring answers for both the period under review and the life of the case)? In the safety section, were answers and information for all the children in the family provided (not just the child whose case is under review)? Has each item been rated as one of the following: "strength," "area needing improvement," or "not applicable""? Has appropriate justification been provided for any items rated "not applicable"? Do the notes reflect information obtained from all the sources available, including the case record and case-related interviews?
 requiring answers for both the period under review and the life of the case)? In the safety section, were answers and information for all the children in the family provided (not just the child whose case is under review)? Has each item been rated as one of the following: "strength," "area needing improvement," or "not applicable"? Has appropriate justification been provided for any items rated "not applicable"? Do the notes reflect information obtained from all the sources available, including the
provided (not just the child whose case is under review)? Has each item been rated as one of the following: "strength," "area needing improvement," or "not applicable"? Has appropriate justification been provided for any items rated "not applicable"? Do the notes reflect information obtained from all the sources available, including the
improvement," or "not applicable"'?Has appropriate justification been provided for any items rated "not applicable"?Do the notes reflect information obtained from all the sources available, including the
Do the notes reflect information obtained from all the sources available, including the
Do the notes under each item support the rating assigned to that item?
 Were the correct criteria used to rate each of the seven outcomes?
Do the notes under each outcome discussion support the rating of the outcome?
Are the notes on the Onsite Review Instrument legible?
Has the Case Rating Summary at the end of the instrument been completed correctly with the same ratings as the review instrument? Double-check when ratings are changed during debriefings.

North Carolina Child and Family Services Reviews Onsite Review Instrument Instructions

The Onsite Review Instrument is used to review both foster care and in-home services cases during the onsite review component of the child and family services reviews of DSS agencies.

The process for gathering information to complete the Onsite Review Instrument includes conducting case record reviews and case-related interviews with children, parents, foster parents, caseworkers, and other professionals involved with the child.

The instrument is organized into a Face Sheet and Three Sections. On the Face Sheet, reviewers note general information about a case, such as the type of case.

The three other sections focus on the outcome domains that form the basis of the child and family services reviews: safety, permanency, and child and family well being. For each outcome, the reviewers collect information on a number of "items" related to that outcome.

Reviewers use the same instrument to review both foster care and in-home cases. The permanency section, however, is completed only if the case under review is a foster care case.

For children in foster care, reviewers should consider items 22-24 only as they apply to the specific child whose case is under review. For children receiving in-home services, reviewers should apply those items to all the children in the family who are residing with, and included in services to, the family.

Face Sheet

In Section B of the Face Sheet, "Case name," reviewers should note the case name used by the DSS agency, for example, the mother's name.

In Section I of the Face Sheet, "Type of case reviewed," reviewers should note the type of case being reviewed. Reviewers should check "Foster care" if the child was in care during any portion of the period under review, including placement with relatives in unlicensed homes. If the case was opened for in-home services for at least 60 days during the period under review, reviewers should check "Child protective services" (even if the child was in foster care before the period under review).

The "Period under review" begins with the sampling period used to select the cases for the on-site review, and continues through the date of the actual review. The lead reviewer will clarify for each review team the specific period covered in the "Period under review."

In Section K of the Face Sheet, "Date of removal from home for the most recent foster care episode," reviewers should use the date of the current foster care placement episode. They should not use the date of the original placement. For example, if a child was placed in care in March 1997, returned home in March 1999, and then returned to care in October 1999, the current placement date is October 1999. If the child had never returned home, the current placement date would be March 1997. Do not count re-entry from a trial home visit as a new foster care episode unless the trial home visit lasted longer than 6 months and there was no court order extending the visit longer than 6 months.

In Section M of the Face Sheet, "Date case closed," reviewers should note the date the case was actually closed, not the date a child returned home.

Rating the Case

Reviewers must answer the Core Questions for each item.

Reviewers should use the Exploratory Issues listed under each item to determine the responses to the Core Questions and then mark responses in the non-shaded boxes next to each. The Exploratory Issues can be used by reviewers in examining the case record and in conducting case-related interviews.

In addition to answering the Core Questions, reviewers should note relevant and supporting information in the large white box that appears at the end of the Instructions for each item. It is critical that reviewers document in this space the information gathered from the case record and interviews that supports the responses to the Core Questions. All documentation should be written **legibly and clearly** and provide **specific information** related to the Core Questions. While the instrument provides directions on where to find information, reviewers should use their professional judgment to determine how best to gather all the relevant information.

Using the information gathered through the case record review and interviews, reviewers then rate each item as either a strength, an area needing improvement, or not applicable. Reviewers will need to consider all the information gathered for each case to make these determinations and weigh the following factors: (1) the result or outcome of services or interventions for the child(ren) or family; (2) the extent to which the child(ren)'s or family's critical needs were met; (3) the appropriateness of the agency's actions relative to the child(ren)'s or family's needs and to applicable State policies; reviewers should give primary consideration to the needs of the child(ren) or family in the event that existing policies do not appear responsive to those needs; (4) the relative contribution of each issue addressed to the overall item being rated; and (5) recent practice, primarily considering the period under review unless otherwise directed in the instructions. In addition, reviewers should be certain to only address those issues related to the item being rated.

In a number of items, the expression "is/was" is used to frame a question. This is done to account for cases that are closed for services at the time of the review, but were open during the period under review. If the case is open for services at the time of the review, the term "is" refers to the current situation, unless the instructions indicate otherwise. If the case is

closed at the time of the review, the term "was" refers to the last or most recent situation occurring before case closure, unless the instructions indicate otherwise.

Once reviewers have filled in an entire section of the Onsite Review Instrument, they then complete the Discussion of Outcome portion of the instrument for that section. Reviewers must determine whether or not each outcome has been substantially achieved, partially achieved, or not achieved. The criteria for determining the level of achievement appears in the Level of Outcome Achievement section within each Discussion of Outcome portion. Reviewers check the level of outcome achievement and document the rationale for this rating in the space provided. It is not sufficient to refer to previous pages or to merely list the results of each item. A summary of the outcome is required.

At the end of the Onsite Review Instrument is a Case Rating Summary sheet. Reviewers use this sheet to summarize the ratings for each outcome and performance indicator. They should use this sheet to prepare to present information on cases during daily onsite debriefings with their team.

Reviewers must turn in to their Lead Reviewer a completed Onsite Review Instrument for each case record reviewed.

Further direction for answering the Core Questions and rating each item is provided on the attached Onsite Review Instrument.

Reviewers should begin by **completing** entirely the Face Sheet, which collects general information about a case.

July 2002				Sample #	
СН		AILY SERVICES I VIEW INSTRUM			
		Face Sheet			
A Name of County	B Case name	C	C Period under review		
D. Reviewers State: County:		l	E.	Date case reviewe	ed
F. Child(ren)'s name(s) (For foster care asterisk next to the child whose case		G. Child(ren)'s race ethnicity	and H	. Child(ren)'s date(s (MM/DD/YY)	s) of birth
First Name	Last Name				
Foster Care (Child was in foster				o child in the family	was in foster
Foster Care (Child was in foster some portion of the period under Date of most recent case opening (M	er review) M/DD/YY) recent foster care		tion of the per nt removal fro	iod under review) om home (foster car	
 Foster Care (Child was in foster some portion of the period under some portion of the period under some portion of the period under some portion of the period (Markov equivalence) L. Date child returned home from most episode (foster care cases only) (Markov equivalence) N. Indicate the cause of the agency's involution of the agenc	er review) M/DD/YY) recent foster care I/DD/YY) Divement with this Medi Abar Men Men	care for any por K. Date of most rece (MM/DD/YY) M. Date case closed	tion of the per nt removal fro (if applicable) all that apply a all that apply a all that apply a all that apply a	iod under review) om home (foster car) (MM/DD/YY)	re cases only) k next to the y child e in child's home
 Foster Care (Child was in foster some portion of the period under some portion of the period under the case opening (Markov care cases only) (Markov care case	er review) M/DD/YY) recent foster care I/DD/YY) Divement with this	care for any por K. Date of most rece (MM/DD/YY) M. Date case closed child or family. Check ical neglect ndonment tal/physical health of pa tal/physical health of ch	tion of the per nt removal fro (if applicable) all that apply a all that apply a rent ild Date of	iod under review) om home (foster car) (MM/DD/YY) and place an asterish Child's behavior Substance abuse b Domestic violence Child in juvenile ju	re cases only) k next to the y child e in child's home ustice system
 Foster Care (Child was in foster some portion of the period under some portion of the period under some portion of the period under the case opening (Magnetic construction) (Magnet construction) (Magnetic cons	er review) M/DD/YY) recent foster care I/DD/YY) Divement with this	care for any por K. Date of most rece (MM/DD/YY) M. Date case closed child or family. Check ical neglect ndonment tal/physical health of pa tal/physical health of ch tance abuse by parent(s)	tion of the per nt removal fro (if applicable) all that apply a rent	iod under review) om home (foster car) (MM/DD/YY) and place an asterish Child's behavior Substance abuse b Domestic violence Child in juvenile ju Other (specify) Type of I	re cases only) k next to the y child e in child's home ustice system
 Foster Care (Child was in foster some portion of the period under some portion of the period under some portion of the period under the case opening (Magnetic construction) (Magnet construction) (Magnetic cons	er review) M/DD/YY) recent foster care I/DD/YY) Divement with this	care for any por K. Date of most rece (MM/DD/YY) M. Date case closed child or family. Check ical neglect ndonment tal/physical health of pa tal/physical health of ch tance abuse by parent(s)	tion of the per nt removal fro (if applicable) all that apply a all that apply a rent ild Date of	iod under review) om home (foster car) (MM/DD/YY) and place an asterish Child's behavior Substance abuse b Domestic violence Child in juvenile ju Other (specify) Type of I In-Person In-Person	re cases only) k next to the y child in child's home ustice system interview Phone Phone
 Foster Care (Child was in foster some portion of the period under some portion of the period under some portion of the period under the case opening (Magnetic construction) (Magnet construction) (Magnetic cons	er review) M/DD/YY) recent foster care I/DD/YY) Divement with this	care for any por K. Date of most rece (MM/DD/YY) M. Date case closed child or family. Check ical neglect ndonment tal/physical health of pa tal/physical health of ch tance abuse by parent(s)	tion of the per nt removal fro (if applicable) all that apply a all that apply a rent ild Date of	iod under review) om home (foster car) (MM/DD/YY) and place an asterish Child's behavior Substance abuse b Domestic violence Child in juvenile jr Other (specify) Type of I In-Person In-Person	re cases only) k next to the y child e in child's home ustice system interview Phone Phone Phone Phone
 Foster Care (Child was in foster some portion of the period under some portion of the period under the cause of the agency of the second some primary reason. Physical abuse Sexual abuse Emotional maltreatment Neglect (not including medical negled Dependency) Persons interviewed (list below) 	er review) M/DD/YY) recent foster care I/DD/YY) Divement with this	care for any por K. Date of most rece (MM/DD/YY) M. Date case closed child or family. Check ical neglect ndonment tal/physical health of pa tal/physical health of ch tance abuse by parent(s)	tion of the per nt removal fro (if applicable) all that apply a all that apply a rent ild Date of	iod under review) om home (foster car) (MM/DD/YY) and place an asterist Child's behavior Substance abuse b Domestic violence Child in juvenile ju Other (specify) Type of I In-Person In-Person In-Person In-Person	re cases only) k next to the y child in child's home ustice system nterview Phone Phone Phone Phone Phone
some portion of the period under J. Date of most recent case opening (M L. Date child returned home from most episode (foster care cases only) (MM N. Indicate the cause of the agency's invo- primary reason. Physical abuse Sexual abuse Emotional maltreatment Neglect (not including medical negle Dependency D. Persons interviewed (list below)	er review) M/DD/YY) recent foster care I/DD/YY) Divement with this	care for any por K. Date of most rece (MM/DD/YY) M. Date case closed child or family. Check ical neglect ndonment tal/physical health of pa tal/physical health of ch tance abuse by parent(s)	tion of the per nt removal fro (if applicable) all that apply a all that apply a rent ild Date of	iod under review) om home (foster car) (MM/DD/YY) and place an asterish Child's behavior Substance abuse b Domestic violence Child in juvenile jr Other (specify) Type of I In-Person In-Person	re cases only) k next to the y child e in child's home ustice system interview Phone Phone Phone Phone

Sample #_

SECTION I: SAFETY

Outcome S1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.			
Item 1: Assessment of Intake Decisions and Thoroughness of Investigations.			
	Yes	No	N/A
A. Were appropriate Intake decisions made based on the legal			
definitions of abuse, neglect and dependency?			
B. Did the agency conduct a thorough investigative assessment that			
addressed all allegations and maintained sufficient contact to			
assess risk and ensure the safety of the child?			
C. Were the investigative assessments closed appropriately?			

Instructions:

Section I: Safety

Outcome S1. Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.

Item 1. Assessment of Intake Decisions and Thoroughness of Investigations.

This item focuses on decision making and assessment of risk from Intake through Investigative Assessment.

Reviewers should determine whether the decision to not investigate was appropriate.

Reviewers should determine whether the agency made the correct decision, based on legal definitions, to not accept the reports for Investigative Assessment and whether or not correct decisions were made at the closure of the Investigative Assessment.

(i.e. Correct decision to substantiate or unsubstantiate, correct decision to close the case following a substantiation or correct decision to transfer a substantiated case to CP/CM or Foster Care)

Reviewers should determine whether all safety issues were addressed through sufficient contact with the child(ren), parents and collaterals throughout the Investigative Assessment.

Item #1.) NA if No reports during Review Period.

Special Considerations:

Reviewers should keep in mind that when reports include multiple children, safety issues and assessments should apply to each child.

This item focuses on decision making and assessment of risks from Intake through Investigative Assessment. C. NA If case decision not yet made.

Exploratory Issues

- Two level review on Intake decisions
- Safety assessments and plans developed as required in policy
- Appropriate use of collaterals
- Risk assessments completed as required in policy. Safety, Risk, and Family Strengths and Needs Assessments support the decisions to substantiate or not to substantiate and decisions to provide services or close the case. (In MRS counties, the assessment tools should support the findings of "services needed", "services not recommended", or "services not recommended".
- Notices with required information were sent to the reporter in a timely manner

Rating for this indicator: (Check One)	Strength	Area Needing Improvement	Not Applicable
	I	I	L

									Sa	mple #	
Outcom	e S1. Children are, firs	st and foremost, pro	otected	from a	buse a	nd ne	glect	t.			
Item 2.	Timeliness of Initiation	ng Investigations o	f Repo	rts of (Child N	Maltr	eatn	nent (Case Recor	d, Intervie	w With C	Caseworker)
Core Qu	iestions								Over the of the Ca		During the Period Under Review?
 A. How many reports of suspected abuse/neglect/dependency have been received on children in the family (including reports accepted or screened out by the county)? Reviewers should record the total # of reports of abuse/neglect/dependency for all children in the family, not just the child in foster care who is documented through the case record under review. B. In how many of the reports assigned for a response were the investigations initiated in 											
accorda	nce with the State's tin	neframes and requir	rements	for a r	eport o	of that	t pric	prity?			
	w many of the reports ating worker within the						e by	the			
How Wha Wha Wha	tory Issues many reports were re- at was the priority leve at are the requirements at are the requirements on did the investigating	l assigned to each re for initiating an inv for having face-to-	eport? vestigat face co	ion by	priorit vith the	y lev chile	el (fo d(ren	or example, timef a) who are the sub	rames)? ject(s) of re		
	for this indicator- (Ch			Stren	0			ea Needing Impr		N	ot Applicable
List belo	ow the reports of susp	ected abuse, negle	ct, or d	lepend	ency fo	or the	e per	riod under reviev	7		
Report Date	Allegation	Priority Level Assigned by the County (if applicable)	Da Assig		* Inves Was I		ion	* Date of Face-to-Face Contact (if applicable)	* Na Relatior the Al Perpet	nship of leged	Disposition
* Torm	inology will differ fo	r alternative resp	onso ir	MDS	Court	ties					
	motogy will unter 10	and hanve resp	onse n		coull	103					

Instructions for Item 2- Timeliness of Initiating Investigations of Reports of Abuse/Neglect/Dependency

This item focuses on the timeliness of investigations of all reports of abuse, neglect, or dependency, including those assigned to receive an alternative response.

Reviewers should determine whether investigations noted in each of the reports were initiated within the timeframe specified in the State's policy for a report of that particular type or priority.

Reviewers also should examine whether the caseworker actually saw the child(ren) who were the subject of the report as a part of the investigative activities.

Reviewers should rate the case based on the period under review, not the case history. For example, a case might have a long Child Protective Services (CPS) history but no reports during the period under review. Under that circumstance, reviewers should note the case history information as background in the space below provided for documentation (noted as "life of the case"), but rate the case in relation only to the period under review. Therefore, if there were no reports during the period under review, reviewers should rate the item as not applicable.

Reviewers should note the following definitions when completing this item:

"Life of the case" refers to the entire time the case was known to the agency.

"Face-to-face contact" refers to in-person contact with all of the children in the family. If different dates are recorded for different children, reviewers should explain the reason why in the documentation section.

"Date assigned" refers to the date the report is assigned for investigation or to receive an alternative response.

"Alternative response" refers to only those counties identified by the Division and having the authority to implement the multiple response process. Refer to Division Policy regarding Multiple Response requirements and alternatives. The alternative response should include an assessment to determine the safety of the child(ren), the risk of maltreatment, and the family's strengths and needs. Under such response, no finding is made as to substantiation or unsubstantiation. The findings include "services needed", "services recommended", and "services not recommended". The reviewers should rate the case based on the Division's policies related to the Multiple Response requirements. Reviewers should note that reports that are "screened out" should not be considered as an alternative response.

Outcome S1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.					Sam	ple #		
Item 3. Repeat Maltreatment (Case Record, Interview With Caseworker)								
Core Questions					Yes	No	Nøt Applicable	
A. During the period under review, was there (1) at least one substantiated report of abuse/neglect/dependency on any child in the family or (2) at least one report of maltreatment on any child in the family handled by an alternative response that resulted in the County later determining "services needed"?								
B. If the response to question A is yes, (1) within identified in question A, was there at least one abuse/neglect/dependency on any child in the before or after the report identified in question maltreatment on any child in the family handle the County later determining "services needed"								
Reviewers should answer yes to question B if t handled by a traditional child protective service response if the result of the investigation or alte the child(ren) who was the subject of the repon- being maltreated (i.e., substantiated or found "s	es invo ernativ rts had	estigation or leve response le leve maltre	by an ed to	alternative a conclusion that				
 C. If the response to question B is yes, did the reinvolve: The same perpetrator or The same general circumstances 	eports	identified in	Ques	stions A and B				
 Exploratory Issues What was the nature of each report? What is/was the relationship of the perpetr When were the reports received? What type of response was provided to each response was provided to ea			nnle	investigation or alter	native resp	once)?		
Rating for this indicator. (Check one)		Strength	iipie,	Area Needing Impr			ot Applicable	

Instructions for Item 3: Repeat Maltreatment

This item focuses on repeat maltreatment.

Reviewers should determine whether children in the family have had multiple substantiated reports of abuse/neglect/dependency arising from the same general conditions **or** by the same perpetrator.

Reviewers must distinguish between reports that occurred during the life of the case and the period under review. If there were no reports during the period under review and the case was open due to child maltreatment, reviewers should rate the indicator as a strength. If the case is/was open for reasons other than abuse/neglect/dependency, for example, a juvenile justice or voluntary services case, reviewers should rate the indicator as not applicable.

Reviewers should respond to the core questions with regard to repeat maltreatment as defined below.

Repeat maltreatment refers to:

- 1. Two or more substantiated reports of abuse/neglect/dependency within a 6-month period, with at least one of the reports occurring during the period under review;
- 2. Two or more reports of abuse/neglect/dependency within a 6-month period, with at least one of the reports occurring during the period under review, where the reports were assigned to an alternative response, resulting in a finding of "services needed"; or
- 3. A combination of reports described in 1 and 2 above within a 6-month period, with at least one of the reports occurring during the period under review.

"Alternative response" refers to only those counties identified by the Division and having the authority to implement the multiple response process. Refer to Division Policy regarding Multiple Response requirements and alternatives. The alternative response should include an assessment to determine the safety of the child(ren), the risk of maltreatment, and the family's strengths and needs. Under such response, no finding is made as to substantiation or unsubstantiation. The findings include "services needed", "services recommended", and "services not recommended". The reviewers should rate the case based on the Division's policies related to the Multiple Response requirements. Reviewers should note that reports that are "screened out" should not be considered as an alternative response.

 8	

DISCUSSION OF SAFETY OUTCOME #1 Sample

Outcome S1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.

Check the level of outcome achievement that best describes the extent to which this outcome is being or has been achieved, based on the case record reviews and interviews. In the box, provide documentation that supports the level of outcome achievement selected for each item

Level Outcome Achievement Image: Substantially Achieved: All three applicable items are rated as strengths (disregard items rated as not applicable). Image: Partially Achieved: One or two of the applicable items are rated as an area needing improvement, and at least one is rated a strength. Image: Not Achieved: One or two of the applicable items are rated as areas needing improvement (disregard items rated as not applicable). Image: Not Applicable: All three of the items are rated as not applicable.

Instructions for Safety Outcome #1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.

Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings and item 1 (intake decisions and thoroughness of investigations), item 2 (timeliness of initiating investigations of reports of child maltreatment) and item 3 (repeat maltreatment).

For example, "Safety Outcome #1 was substantially achieved because all reports were investigated thoroughly in the required timeframe and the child was seen following the report in accordance with the State guidelines. During the 6-month period following the initial report, there were not multiple substantiated or indicated reports of maltreatment that were due to the same circumstances."

Provide a summary of the documentation that supports the rating for items 1, 2 and 3

Outcon	me S2: Children are safely maintained in their ho	mes whenever po	ossible and appropriate.	Samp	le #			
Item 4. Services to Family To Protect Child(ren) in Home and Prevent Removal (Case Record, Interview With Caseworker. Parent(s). Service Provider)								
Core (Questions	Yes	No	Not Applicable				
A.	For the period under review, when there were (1) Substantiated reports of abuse, neglect, or							
	(2) Risks of harm to the child(ren) in the famil risk through an alternative response to reports of "services needed.")							
ow pla sit ris rev qu	d the agency provide or arrange for services to the n home before removal, if applicable (including accement prevention services)? Reviewers should uations: (a) the case is/was not a case of abuse, n k of harm to the child(ren); or (c) the child(ren) ev- view and there are no other children in the home. estion B below (for example, this is not a case of se is/was opened as a child in need of supervision							
Rev rea	viewers should check no if services were not provision and then note the reason why under question is	vided, even if the B.						
Rev rea Rev ser bei	viewers should check no if services were not prov	vided, even if the B. xplore and docun it sufficient to si by the Division fo	nent the appropriateness of amply list the services					
Rev rea Rev ser bei No pol	viewers should check no if services were not provision and then note the reason why under question is viewers must use their professional judgment to exvices in relation to the child(ren)'s needs. It is no ng provided.	vided, even if the B. xplore and docun t sufficient to si by the Division f	nent the appropriateness of amply list the services or MRS, refer to Division					
Rev rea Ser bei No pol B. If th	viewers should check no if services were not provision and then note the reason why under question is viewers must use their professional judgment to envices in relation to the child(ren)'s needs. It is no ng provided. te: For In-Home Services in counties identified bicy related to the re-design of In-Home Services	vided, even if the B. xplore and docum t sufficient to si by the Division for state the reason.	nent the appropriateness of imply list the services for MRS, refer to Division					
Rev rea. Rev ser bei No pol B. If th Explor	viewers should check no if services were not provision and then note the reason why under question is viewers must use their professional judgment to envices in relation to the child(ren)'s needs. It is no ng provided. te: For In-Home Services in counties identified billicy related to the re-design of In-Home Services are answer to question A is no or not applicable, what is/was the degree of risk of harm present What types of services were provided or arrang Were in-home services appropriate for the family services approprint for the family services approprint f	vided, even if the B. xplore and docum t sufficient to si by the Division for state the reason. to the child(ren) ed to protect the y?	in the home? child(ren)?	apparent	risk of l	harm to		

Instructions for Item 4- Services to Family To Protect Child(ren) in Home and Prevent Removal

This item focuses on the services to the family to protect the child(ren) in the home and prevent removal. Information related to this item is used to help identify whether reasonable efforts were made to prevent removal of the child(ren) from the home.

Reviewers must determine whether the agency provided services to protect the child(ren) in the home as an alternative to a foster care placement, when appropriate. If some of the children from one family are/were in foster care and others are/were being served in the home, reviewers should provide an explanation of the services provided under both conditions.

If a case is/was open for services for a reason other than a substantiated report of abuse, neglect, or dependency or apparent risk of harm to the child in cases identified through an alternative response of "services needed", for example, a juvenile justice case, reviewers should note this information and rate the item as not applicable. If there were no substantiated reports of abuse/neglect/dependency, or apparent risk of harm in cases identified through an alternative response of "services needed", during the period under review, reviewers should rate the indicator as not applicable.

If a case is/was open for voluntary services during the period under review and there are/were no reports of abuse/neglect but there is/was an apparent risk of harm, the item should be rated on the services provided to reduce the risk of harm.

Reviewers should rate item 4 as not applicable when it is/was not a case in which the child was maltreated or at risk of harm. Reviewers should, however, be careful to explore the risk of harm to a child even when the case is/was noted as "supervision" or "delinquency." Item 4 should be rated for any children who are in DSS custody due to maltreatment or risk of harm (either never removed from the home or returned to parent's home with DSS retaining legal custody).

Outco	me S2: Children are safely maintained in their	ir hon	nes whenever	poss	ible and appropriate.	Sampl	e #			
Item 5 Risk of Harm to Child(ren) - (Case Record. Interview With Caseworker. Parent(s). Service Provider)										
Core (Core Questions							Not Applicable		
	or the period under review, was there a risk on at necessitated:) in the family								
(1) the provision of services to the family or									
(2	c) placement of the child(ren) in foster care? I child(ren) and family are being served due									
	Reviewers should focus on the existing rish child into care and requires the child to rer example, state that there is/was no risk of h	nain o	outside the ho	me.	Reviewers should not, for					
 B. If the response to question A is yes, were efforts made by the agency to reduce or remove the risk of harm through specific interventions? Reviewers should check not applicable if there is/was no risk of harm to the child(ren). Reviewers should select their answer on the basis of whether the agency demonstrated efforts to remove the risk of harm to the child through specific interventions. For example, if an agency provided services for a period of time, stopped due to lack of progress, and then petitioned for termination of parental rights, reviewers would select yes as the response to this question. 										
the	e there indications that case decisions and pla e home or reunification were based on concer eviewers should check not applicable if there	rns ab	out the child(ren)'	s health and safety?					
W W Ho W	Exploratory Issues What is/was the nature of the risk of harm? What is/was needed to reduce or remove the risk of harm? How is/was the risk being addressed through services or other interventions? What decisions have been made or plans are under way regarding removal or reunification? Are/were there any reports of maltreatment requiring a response by the agency during the period under review?									
Rating	g for this indicator (Check one)		Strength		Area Needing Improvemen	t	Not	t Applicable		
						_				

Instructions for Item 5. Risk of Harm to Child(ren)

This item helps to identify whether the child(ren)'s safety is/was the primary concern in the case and examines how the County is managing the risk of harm that necessitates continued out-of-home placement or services to an intact family.

Reviewers should determine whether, during the period under review, there was risk of harm to the child(ren) in the family's home that warranted the child's placement in foster care, or continued placement in foster care if placement occurred before the period under review (foster care cases), including an ongoing risk that precludes reunification. For children receiving in-home services, reviewers should determine whether the risk of harm to the child(ren) in the home is/was sufficient to necessitate the provision of services by the agency to protect the child(ren).

When risk of harm to the child is/was present, in either instance, reviewers must determine whether the agency provided or arranged for services that targeted the identified risks with the goal of reducing them.

If a case is/was open for services for a reason other than a substantiated report of abuse/neglect/dependency, or apparent risk of harm to the child (for example, a juvenile justice case), reviewers should note this information and rate the item as not applicable.

Reviewers should rate this item as a strength if the agency terminated the child's parent's rights as a means of decreasing risk of harm for the child (for example, a termination of parental rights would prevent a child from being returned to a home in which the child would be at risk) and has taken action to minimize other risks to the child such as preventing contact with individuals who pose a risk to the child's safety (for example, a foster parent). IF TPR occurred prior to the review period, rate as not applicable.

DISCUSSION OF SAFETY OUTCOME #2

Sample # _

Outcome S2: Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate.

Check the level of outcome achievement that best describes the extent to which this outcome is being or has been achieved, based on the case record reviews and interviews. In the box, provide documentation that supports the level of outcome achievement selected for each item

Level	of Outcome Achievement	
	Substantially Achieved:	Both applicable items are rated as strengths (disregard items rated as not applicable).
	Partially Achieved:	One of the applicable items is rated as an area needing improvement and one is rated a strength.
	Not Achieved:	All applicable items are rated as areas needing improvement (disregard items rated as not applicable).
	Not Applicable:	Both of the items are rated as not applicable.

Instructions for Safety Outcome #2:

Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings and item 4 (services to the family to protect child[ren] in the home and prevent removal whenever possible and appropriate) and item 5 (risk of harm to child[ren]).

For example, "Safety Outcome #2 was substantially achieved because the agency provided family preservation services, family counseling, and other services to reduce the risk of harm. Services were appropriately matched to the family's needs."

Provide a summary of the documentation that supports the rating for items 4 and 5

SECTION II: PERMANENCY Sample #									
Outcome P1: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.									
Item 6. Foster Care Re-entries (Case Record, Interviews With Caseworker, Parent(s))									
Core Questions Yes No Not Applicable									
A. Did the child enter foster care at least once during the period under review?									
B. If the response to question A is yes, did any foster care entry for the child during the period under review occur within 12 months of the child being discharged from a prior entry? Reviewers should check not applicable if the child has entered foster care only one time during the life of the case or entered foster care before the period under review. (NA if child returned from a trial home visit)									
C. Were any of the multiple entries identified in respo Reviewers should check not applicable if there we under review.									
Reviewers should examine the reasons why a child had multiple entries. If the child re-entered care for the same reason each time (for example, abuse), then reviewers should check yes. If the child entered care for abuse and then re-entered care for delinquency, reviewers should check no.									
 Exploratory Issues Why did the child enter foster care each time? What are/were the timeframes for the child's entries into foster care? 									
Rating for this indicator: (Check one)StrengthArea Needing ImprovementNot ApplicableInstructions for Item 6. Foster Care Re-entriesThis item focuses on the child's re-entries into foster care.Reviewers are asked to determine: (1) whether a child had multiple entries into foster care, (2) whether those re-entries had resulted from the same general reason or circumstance, (3) how many entries the child had in foster care during the period under									
review, and (4) whether any entries during the period under review occurred within a 12-month period of the child being discharged from another foster care entry. Reviewers must distinguish between multiple entries that occurred during the life of the case and the period under review and are asked to consider how the agency addressed risk of harm factors in an effort to prevent re-entry upon returning a child home.									
Reviewers should note the following definitions (which are the same as those used in the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System [AFCARS]) when responding to this item:									
 "Entry into foster care" refers to a ch voluntary placement agreement, and parent or relative guardian, which per 	placement in a s	substi	tute care setting, or the rem	noval of c					
• "Multiple entries" refers to two or m	ore admissions	into	the foster care system.						

If during the period under review, a child does not have an entry into care within a 12-month period from being discharged from another entry into foster care, reviewers should rate this item as a strength.

Reviewers also should note the following definition when responding to this item:

• "Discharge" refers to the point when the child is no longer in foster care under the care and responsibility of the agency. If the agency retains supervision of a child and the child returns home on a trial basis, for an unspecified period of time, the child should be considered discharged from foster care after a 6-month period of time.

A return to a foster care placement after a brief trial visit home does not count as a readmission unless the court has discharged the child from foster care. If the following variables apply, however, a case would be considered a re-entry into foster care: (1) a child remains on a trial home visit beyond 6 months, (2) there is no court order extending the visit beyond 6 months, and (3) the child comes back into foster care.

Reviewers also may rate this item as a strength if a re-entry was an isolated incident during which the agency did what was reasonable to manage the risk following reunification but the child re-entered care for another reason (for example, the death of a parent).

Reviewers should rate this item as an area needing improvement if re-entries occurring within a 12-month period are/were due to the same general reasons or same perpetrators.

Provide documentation that supports the rating for item 6									

Outcome Pl: Children have permanency and	d stability	' in	their living si	tuatio	ons.	Sample #		
Item 7. Stability of Foster Care Placemer	nt (Case I	Rec	ord, Intervie	ws V	Vith Caseworker, Foste	r Parent(s))	
Core Questions						Yes	No	# of Changes
A. Did the child change placement settings of foster care? If there were multiple foste reviewers should consider placement cha under review.	r care epi	sod	es during the	perio	od under review,			
B. If the response to question A is yes, note review.	the numb	er (of placement	chang	ges that occurred during	the period	under	
						Yes	No	# of Changes
C. Did any of the placement changes during directly related to helping the child achie								
Reviewers should examine and record the under review and determine whether the								
D. Is/was the placement setting stable (for e	xample, r	10 a	pparent threa	t of d	lisruption)?			
Reviewers should determine whether the stable. This includes exploring the provi the child is doing in that placement, and provider. Reviewers also should identify present in the current placement.	der's com the level	nmit of s	ment to main support the ag	tainiı gency	ng the placement, how is giving the foster care			
Exploratory Issues								
• What is/was the reason for placemer	t changes	s?						
• What are/were the timeframes of the	-							
 Were there efforts to prevent unnece Are/were the placement settings relations 					l's needs?			
• What is/was the agency's support of	the curre	nt p	placement?					
• What are/were the reasons for instab	ility of pl	ace		cable				
Rating for this indicator. (Check one)			Strength		Area Needing Improv	ement	Not	Applicable
List below information about the child's p			<u> </u>	DI				
Placement Date Placement Type	Reaso	on f	or Change in	Plac	ement Setting			

Instructions for Item 7 - Stability of Foster Care Placement

This item focuses on the stability of the foster care placement.

Reviewers should note any changes in placement settings, for example, moves from a shelter to foster family home or moves between foster family homes.

Reviewers should examine why the change(s) in placement occurred. Some placement changes are planned in accordance with the child's permanency goals, for example, moving from an institution to a family-based setting.

Reviewers should note the following definitions (which are the same as those used in the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System [AFCARS]) when responding to this item:

- "Entry into foster care" refers to a child's removal from his/her normal place of residence, by court order or a voluntary placement agreement, and placement in a substitute care setting, or the removal of custody from the parent or relative guardian, which permits the child to remain in a substitute care setting.
- "Current episode of foster care" refers to a child's current stay in foster care based on the most recent removal of the child from his/her normal place of residence, resulting in his/her placement in a foster care setting, and ending upon the child's discharge from foster care.
- "Placement" refers to the physical setting in which a child in foster care resides. A new placement setting results when the foster care setting changes, for example, when a child moves from one foster family home to another or to a group home
- "Changes in placement" refers to a change in the place where the child lives during an episode of foster care, **excluding trial home visits**. For the purposes of the child and family services reviews, if the foster family with whom the child is placed moves, and the child moves with them, this does not constitute a change in placement. Reviewers should not consider a runaway episode as a placement change.
- "Discharge" refers to the point when the child is no longer in foster care under the care and responsibility of the agency. If the agency retains supervision of a child and the child returns home on a trial basis, for an unspecified period of time, the child should be considered discharged from foster care after a 6-month period of time, unless there is a court order that extends the trial home visit.

Reviewers also should note the following definition when responding to this item:

• The "Stability of the foster care placement" refers to the extent to which the child's current placement is determined to be free from the risk of an unplanned disruption, or a move not directly related to the achievement of the child's permanency goal, in the foreseeable future.

Reviewers should rate this item based on the period under review. If there were **no changes in placement** during the period under review, and the current placement is considered stable, then reviewers should **rate this item as a strength**.

<u> </u>	 			
	 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		,		
·	 			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 			
				_

Outcome P1: Children have permanency and stability in the	eir living situations.		San	ple #		
Item 8. Permanency Goal for Child (Case Record, Inter	rview With Caseworker	:)				
Core Questions						
A. What is the child's current permanency goal (or if the most recent permanency goal before the case was clos		the				
B. How long has the current or most recent permanency go was the last goal in place)?	al been in place (or if the	e case	is closed,	how long		
					Yes	No
C. Is the permanency goal (or the one that was in effect be the child's individual needs for permanency and stabili		appro	priately 1	natched to		
D. Indicate below how many prior permanency goals the c	hild has had and for what	t lengt	hs of time	2.		
Permanency Goal	Date Goal Establishe	d	Lengtl	n of Time Goa	l Was in	Effect
				Not	Exe	ption
		Yes	No	Applicable	Noted ((Specify)
E. If the child has been in foster care 12 of the most recent before the case was closed) or meets other Adoption and (ASFA) criteria for termination of parental rights (TPR or joined a petition to terminate parental rights? Review applicable if the child has been/was in foster care less t	d Safe Families Act), has the agency filed vers should check not					
recent 22 months.	nan 12 of the most					
Reviewers will need to determine whether a child: (1) for 12 of the past 22 months, (2) is an abandoned child, parents have been convicted of one of the felonies desig (5)(E) of ASFA. (This would include if the parent had of another child of the parent; (2) committed voluntary is another child of the parent; (3) aided or abetted, attemp solicited to commit such a murder or such a voluntary is committed a felony assault that results in serious bodily another child of the parent.) Reviewers then make a det whether a TPR petition or an exception to the TPR required.	or (3) is a child whose nated in section 475 (1) committed murder manslaughter of ted, conspired, or nanslaughter, or (4) injury to the child or termination about irements is/was					
(Reviewers should read the relevant instructions before a item.)	completing this					

Reviewers must be familiar with the ASFA TPR requirements and exceptions. Reviewers should note if the child has been in care for the maximum time or if another ASFA criterion for TPR exists. In other words, either a TPR must be filed or an exception noted in the case record.Exceptions include the following: (1) at the option of the County, the child is being cared for by a relative, (2) the agency has documented in the case plan a compelling reason for determining that a TPR would not be in the best interests of the child, and (3) the agency has not provided to the child the services deemed necessary for the safe return of the child to the child's home if reasonable efforts of the type described in Section 471 (a) (15) (B) (ii) are required to be made with respect to the child.								
 Exploratory Issues What is/was the history of the permanency goals? Are/were there notable changes or lack of changes in the child's permanency goals? What are/were the reasons for changes in the child's permanency goals? What factors did the agency consider when making decisions about the child's permanency goals? Has the child been in foster care for 12 of the most recent 22 months, is the child an abandoned infant, or does the child have parents who have committed a felony requiring TPR under ASFA? Has (or was, if the case is closed) an exception to the TPR requirement been made and, if so, what was the basis for the exception (for example, the child is being cared for by a relative or the county has not provided services deemed necessary for the safe return of the child to the child's home)? 								
Rating for this Indicator: (Check one)		Strength		Area Needing	Improvement	t	Not Applicable	
Rating for this indicator: (Check one) Strength Area (Recenting improvement) Not Applicable Instructions for Item 8: Permanency Goal for Child Item focuses on the process of establishing the most appropriate permanency goal for the child. Reviewers should rate this item for the goal(s) in place during the period under review. If a previous goal was in place and unachieved for a considerable length of time before the most recent change, this should be noted in the documentation section and taken into consideration when rating the indicator (for example, 3 months before the onsite review, the goal was changed to adoption, however a goal of reunification was in place and unachieved for 5 years If the agency is using concurrent planning for the child, the permanency goals recorded for this item should reflect the child's primary permanency goal outlined in the case plan. For purposes of determining if a child was in foster care 12 of the most recent 22 months, reviewers should consider the date the child entered foster care as the earlier of the following: (1) the date of a judicial finding of abuse or neglect or (2) 60 calendar days after the child's removal from the home. Reviewers should calculate time cumulatively over a 22-month period and should not include trial home visits or runaway episodes.								
Provide documentation that supports the rating f	or ite	m 8						

Outcome Pl: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.

Sample # ___

Item 9. Reunification, Guardianship with or Custody to Relatives - Complete only for children with a current or most
recent permanency goal of reunification, guardianship with, or custody to relatives (Case Record, Interviews With
Caseworker, Parent(s), Child, Foster Parent(s))

Core Questions			Reunification	Guardianshi with Relative			ody to atives
A. What is/was the child's most recent permanency	goal?						
				•		Yes	No
B. Has (or was, if the case is closed) the child been is recent entry into foster care?	in foster care for	at lea	st 12 months since	e their most			
C. Has the child's permanency goal been achieved? Reviewers need to identify whether the most recent permanency goal was achieved. For example, if the goal is reunification and steps have been taken toward that goal but the child remains in foster care, then the goal has not been achieved.							
C I. If the response to question C is yes, was the recent entry into foster care?	goal achieved wi	thin	12 months of the ch	nild's most			
C2. If the response to question C is no, what are	the barriers to ac	hiev	ing the goal?				
Since this item measures achievement of the foster care, reviewers should consider barrie the onset of the period under review.							
C3. If the response to question C is no, what step	os is the agency ta	king	to achieve the goal	!?			
Exploratory Issues							
 What is/was the length of time the child has be To what extent has the child's permanency go What was the length of time to achieve the cl What factors positively affected or delayed go What are/were the agency's efforts to support 	bal been achieved hild's permanency bal achievement?	? goal		nt?			
Rating for this indicator. (Check one)	Strength		Area Needing Im	provement		Not Ap	oplicable
Instruction for Item 9: Reunification, Guardianshi	ip with or Custo	ly to	Relatives				
This item focuses on the achievement of a child's goa	l of reunification,	guar	dianship with, or cu	ustody to relativ	ves.		
Reviewers should review for and note any delays in the delays.	he child's achieve	ment	of the permanency	goal and the re	ason	is for the	ose

If the response to question B is yes and the goal is not yet achieved, reviewers must provide **substantial justification** to rate the item as a strength.

Outcome P 1: Children have permanency and stabilit	ty in t	their living si	tuatio	ns.	Sam	ple #				
Item 10. Adoption - Complete only for children v Interviews With Caseworker, Foster Par				recent permanency	goal (of adop	otion (C	Case Record,		
Core Questions)		,			Yes	No	Not Applicable		
A. Was the child's adoption finalized within 24 months of the most recent entry into foster care? Reviewers need to identify whether the most recent permanency goal has been achieved. If steps have been taken toward the goal of adoption (for example, termination of parental rights, child placement in a pre-adoptive home) but the adoption is not finalized, then the goal has not been achieved. Reviewers should check not applicable if the child has not been in foster care for 24 months and has not been adopted.										
	Al. If the response to question A is no, what were the barriers to the child's adoption being finalized within 24 months of his/her most recent entry into foster care?									
Since this item measures achievement of the permanency goal within 24 months of the child's most recent entry into foster care, reviewers should consider barriers outside the period under review if the child was already in foster care at the onset of the period under review.										
B. If the child has been in foster care less than 24 m foster care, are steps in place to finalize the adoption										
Bl. If the response to question B is yes, describ	be the	steps.								
B2. If the response to question B is no, what are	e the l	barriers to fin	alizir	ng the adoption?						
 Exploratory Issues What length of time has (or was, if case is cl care? Is the child legally free for adoption? What are/were the efforts to identify an adoption 	otive	family or to l					ntry into) foster		
 What are/were the barriers to placing the chi What are/were the barriers to freeing the chi 										
Rating for this indicator (Check one)		Strength		Area Needing Imp	rovem	ent	N	ot Applicable		
Instruction for Item 10: Adoption										
This item focuses on the achievement of a child's goa	al of a	doption.								
Achievement of an adoption goal means finalization of	of the	adoption.								
Reviewers should note the reasons for delays in the a	adopt	ion process a	nd th	e agency's efforts to a	address	the de	lays.			
adoption within 24 months in order to rate this item a	Reviewers should note the reasons for delays in the adoption process and the agency's efforts to address the delays. If the response to question A is no, reviewers must provide substantial documentation of agency efforts to finalize the adoption within 24 months in order to rate this item a strength. Provide documentation that supports the rating for item 10									

<u></u>	
<u></u>	

Outcome Pl: Children have permanency and stability in the	eir living situat	ions.	Sample #		
Item 11. Permanency Goal of Other Planned Permane or most recent permanency goal of custody/g Interviews With Caseworker, Foster Parent(s	uardianship to	o other court-approv			
Core Questions		Custody to Other court-approved caretaker	Guardiansh approv	ip to Other ed caretak	
A What is the child's permanency goal?					
				Yes	No
B. Has/was the goal been achieved? Reviewers need to identify whether the most recent per the goal is custody to other court-approved caretaker an example, identification of a potential custodian) but the been achieved.	id steps have b	een taken toward the	goal (for		
B1. If the response to question B is no, what are the ba	arriers to achie	ving the			
Reviewers need to identify whether the goal has b barriers to achieving the goal.	been addressed	and what efforts the	agency has taker	1 to overco	me the
				Yes	No
C. Have other, more permanent goals been considered and	appropriately	ruled out for the chil	d?		
Reviewers should explore the reasons why other perma	nency goals w	ere not considered ar	nd/or ruled out.		
D. Are/were services being provided to help the child achie arrangement?	eve the goal of	another planned livi	ng		
Exploratory Issues					
• What factors were considered in determining the go	oal?				
• What were the reasons this goal was selected rather		tion, custody/guardia	nship to a relativ	e, or adopt	ion?
 How does/did the agency review the goal for contin What factors are/were affecting or delaying goal ac What are/were the agency's efforts to achieve the p 	nued appropriat	eness since the goal	-	-	
Rating for this indicator. (Check one) S	Strength	Area Needing Im	provement	Not A	pplicable
Instructions for Item 11: Permanency Goal of Other Plan This item focuses on the achievement of a child's permanen with a goal of custody or guardianship to other court-approv	icy goal of "oth			ncludes chi	ldren

This item should be completed only for children with a permanency goal of a planned living arrangement other than adoption, guardianship with or custody to relatives or reunification. If the child does not have a permanency goal of other planned living arrangement, this item should be rated as not applicable.

This item is used, in part, to determine whether the agency is in substantial conformity with Adoption and Safe Families Act (ASFA) requirements that services be provided to assist children to attain permanency in their living arrangements.

Reviewers should review for and note any delays in the child's achievement of the permanency goal and the reasons for those delays.

If the child is over 16 and a potential permanent caregiver has not been identified, reviewers should consider whether independent living services are being provided consistent with the child's goal.

Reviewers should examine the appropriateness of a goal that ultimately rules out adoption, guardianship/custody to relatives, or reunification. Reviewers must assess whether the child's best interests were thoroughly considered by the agency in setting a goal of other planned living arrangement, and that such a decision is/was continually reviewed for ongoing appropriateness.

Provide documentation that supports the rating for item 11									

		DISCUSSION OF PERMANENCY OUTCOME #1 Sample #							
Outcome PI Children have permanency and stability in their living situations									
Check the level of outcome achievement that best describes the extent to which this outcome is being or has been achieved, based on the case record reviews and interviews. In the box, provide documentation that supports the level of outcome achievement selected for each item									
Level of Outcome Achievement									
	Substantially Achieved:	Item 8 and the relevant item (9,10, or 11) rated for this case must be rated as strengths, and at least one of items 6 and 7 (if applicable) must be rated as a strength.							
	Partially Achieved:	Item 8 and the relevant item (9,10, or 11) are rated strengths, but both items 6 and 7 (if applicable) are rated as areas needing improvement, or either Item 8 or the relevant item (9, 10, or 11) is rated an area needing improvement.							
	Not Achieved:	All of the applicable items are rated as areas needing improvement (disregard items rated as not applicable).							
	Not Applicable:	All of the items are rated as not applicable.							
For example, "Permanency Outcome #1 was substantially achieved because the agency filed for termination of parental rights within 12 months of the child coming into care. The last foster placement was stable, despite the child experiencing four previous foster care re-entries. The child's permanency goal is appropriately matched to his needs, and his adoption goal was achieved within 24 months of coming into care.									
Provide a summary of the documentation that supports the rating for items 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11									

Outcome P2: The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.						Sample #			
Item 12. Proximity of Foster Care Placement (Case Records, Interviews With Caseworker, Parent(s))									
Core Questions	Same Community	y Same County	Out of County	Out of State		Not Applicable			
 A. What is/was the proximity of the Child's current or most recent placement to their parents? Reviewers should check not applicable when: (1) the parents' whereabouts are unknown despite agency efforts to locate them; (2) the parents are deceased; or (3) parental rights are terminated with no planned involvement of the parents in case planning or case goals. If the child is in a pre-adoptive home, the response to question A is based on proximity to the birth parents. 	τ								
				Yes	No	Not Applicable			
B. For children placed outside of the community, county, or S	e, is/was			Tippileubie					
 the reason for the location of the placement clearly related to helping the child achieve his/her case plan goals? Reviewers should check not applicable if the child is not placed outside the community, county, or State of their parents' residence. Reviewers should consider all placement settings during the period under review. Reviewers should check no if a placement outside the community is/was made because there were no existing placement resources in the community. However, reviewers may check ves if the placement is/was made outside the community in order to provide a temporarv specialized service/environment for the child where it is unreasonable to expect that such specialized services would be offered in the child's community. If a child is in an adoptive or preadoptive placement outside of the community or county of his/her parent's residence and the location of the placement relates to achievement of the adoption goal, then reviewers should check yes. C. For children placed outside the State during the period under review, is/was the child visited at least every 12 months by a caseworker of the supervising agency and a report filed with the agency holding custody? Reviewers should check not applicable if the child is/was not placed outside the State. Reviewers should note that this question addresses the Federal visitation requirement that when a child is placed outside the State, the sending State should inquire about the supervision of the placement by the receiving State. 									
 Exploratory Issues What is/was the location of the child's placement? Which parent is/was working with the agency and most likely to be reunified with the child? What are/were the reasons for the child's placement settings? How does/did the placement location support or inhibit achieving the child's case plan goals? What is/was the impact of placement location on maintaining important family and community connections? 									
Rating for tins indicator: (Check one) Stre	ength A	Area Needing l	Improvement		Not	t Applicable			
					_				

Instructions for Item 12: Proximity of Foster Care Placement

This item focuses on the proximity of a child's placement to the community in which the child's parent(s) reside.

This item pertains to all children, including those whose parents' rights have been terminated and children who are in adoptive placements.

Reviewers should respond to question A in this item using the child's current placement setting or the most recent foster care placement if the child has been discharged from foster care, and respond to questions B and C based on all placement settings during the period under review

If the child's parents are/were living separately, reviewers must determine which parent is/was the most involved in case planning and is/was most likely to be reunified with the child and then base decisions on the location of that parent's residence.

Reviewers will need to determine whether the child is/was placed in the same community or outside the community in which the primary parent (as determined above) resides. In making this determination, reviewers should consider the following: (1) identifiable neighborhoods, (2) school districts, (3) the actual distance between the parent's home and the placement, and (4) the general accessibility of the child in placement to family and other social institutions familiar to the child.

Reviewers should note when a child is placed outside the community for specific purposes such as meeting the individualized needs of the child or keeping the child in closer contact with the family than a same-community placement would allow.

Reviewers should check not applicable when (1) the parents' whereabouts are unknown despite agency efforts to locate them; (2) the parents are deceased; or (3) parental rights are terminated with no planned involvement of the parents in case planning or case goals.

Outcome P2: The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children. Sample # Item 13. Placement With Siblings (Case Records and Interviews) Placed With Placed Apart **Placed With All One or More** From All Siblings Who Not Siblings Who Siblings Who **Core Ouestions** Are in Foster Applicable Are in Foster Are in Foster Care Care Care A. Is/was the child placed with siblings who also are/were in foster care? Reviewers should check not applicable if there are/were no siblings in foster care. Not Yes No Applicable B. If the child is/was not placed with all of his/her siblings who are/were in foster care, is there clear evidence that separation is/was necessary to meet the needs of the children? Reviewers should check not applicable if there are no siblings in foster care. **Exploratory Issues** What efforts did the agency make to place siblings together? What are/were the reasons siblings are/were not placed together, if applicable? • What is/was the history of siblings' placement together, including reasons for separations? Not Applicable **Rating for this indicator: (Check one)** Strength **Area Needing Improvement Instructions for Item 13: Placement With Siblings** This item attempts to distinguish the extent to which siblings are separated because of factors such as the availability of resources or disrupted placements rather than circumstances in which siblings' individual needs can be met only in separate placements. Reviewers should consider siblings who also are in foster care and with whom the child lived prior to foster care placement or with whom the child would be expected to live if the child was not in foster care. Reviewers should rate this item for the period under review. Reviewers may rate this item as a strength when siblings are not placed together to ensure the best interests of the child(ren). Examples include (1) when there is/was a large sibling group placed with two different relatives and they maintain close, regular contact; (2) when one child is/was in an alternative placement to receive needed therapeutic services with the plan to return that child to the placement with siblings; or (3) when one sibling is/was perpetrating abuse on another. Reviewers should rate this item as an area needing improvement if siblings are/were placed separately due to the lack of placement resources.

If a brief separation is/was necessary to meet the needs of one sibling, reviewers should consider the efforts of the agency to reunite the siblings as appropriate.

Outcome P2: The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children. Sample #

Item 14. Visiting With Parents & Siblings in Fost	er Care (Ca	ase Record	s, Intervi	ews With Ca	aseworker,	Parent(s)), Children)
Core Questions		Weekly	Bi- weekly	Monthly	Less Than Monthly	No Visits	Not Applicable
A. What is/was the most typical pattern of visitation between the child and parents? Reviewers should check not applicable if	Mother:						
contact with parents has been was determined to be contrary to the child's safety or best interests. (i.e., a court order has ceased visitation requirements)	Father:						
B. What is/was the most typical pattern of visitation between the child and siblings placed separately in foster care? Reviewers should check not applicable if no siblings are/were placed separately.							
					Yes	No	Not Applicable
C. Are/were there other forms of contact between the check not applicable if contact is/was contrary							
D. Are/were there other forms of contact between the check not applicable if contact is/was contrary							
E. Is/was the frequency of and arrangements for visi Reviewers should check not applicable if contac goal.							
F. Describe any efforts made by the agency to prome transportation, actively encouraging visits, arrang					oping visita	tion plans	s, arranging
Efforts with the mother:							
Efforts with the father:							
Efforts with siblings who are placed separately:							
Exploratory Issues							
• What are/were the reasons for restrictions of	r prohibitior	s on visits?	2				
 What are/were the reasons for visiting less fi What are/were the agency services/supports infrequency is due to parents' non-cooperative. What is/was the custody status of the child, it 	requently that to encourag	an weekly? e more freq	uent visiti	-	especially im	nportant v	vhen

Rating for this indicator: (Check one)		Strength		Area Needing Improvement		Not Applicable
Instructions for Item 14: Visiting With Parents a	and Si	iblings in Fos	ster			
This item focuses on the child's visitation with pare	nts or	siblings while	e in f	oster care.		
Reviewers should determine the most typical visitat determine whether other forms of contact are occur over time.						
Reviewers should rate this item for the period under	r revie	ew based on th	he inc	lividual needs of the child and far	nily.	
When reviewers note that visits occur infrequently, barriers to more frequent visiting.	they s	should use the	Exp	loratory Issues to determine whet	her th	ere are/were
For this item, it is important that reviewers explore because parental rights have been terminated or beca noted.						
Reviewers should explain the circumstances under visiting would present an unmanageable risk of harm impossible or would be harmful to the child; or the engage the parents.	n to th	ne child; the ch	nild is	to be adopted and continued cont	tact w	ith parents is
in cases of an open adoption, or placement with rela Provide documentation that supports the rating for						

Outcome P2: The continuity of family relationships	s and	connections is	s pres	erved for c	hildren. S	ample	e #	
Item 15. Preserving Connections (Interviews Wi	th Ca	seworker, P	arent	(s), Foster	Parent(s), Chile	d)		
Core Questions					Significantly	Par	tially	Not at All
A. Are/were the primary connections and character preserved in the foster care placement? Review judgment about the child's primary connections connections have been/were preserved through o delivery	ers ne and t	ed to make a hen explore i	profe f thos	e				
					Yes		No	Not Applicable
 B. If the child is Native American are/were his/her through Timely notification of the tribe? Placement with the child's extended family Reviewers should check not applicable if the cheligible for membership in, a federally recognize with the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA). 	or tri nild is	be? not a membe	r of,	or				
 What are/were the primary connections of t What are/were the unique characteristics of background? How are/were the primary connections add: How does/did the foster care provider supp Is the child Native American? Did the agency determine whether a child w federally recognized Indian tribe? How did the agency work with the tribe regular of the second tribe? 	the f ressec ort th who is	amily and chi d in the agenc e child's conn 5 Native Ame	ld, in y's w ection ricar	cluding lan ork with th ns? 1 is a memb	guage, religion, e family and chil per of, or eligible	values d? for, n	and bel	iefs, hip in a
in a federally recognized tribe? Rating for this indicator: (Check one)		Strength		Area Nee	ding Improvem	ent	N	ot Applicable
 Instructions for Item 15: Preserving Connections This item focuses on the preservation of the child's pschools, friends, communities, tribes/tribal customs, Reviewers should determine whether the child's prinentire period under review. Reviewers should note the following definitions whete "Connections" refer to ties with family foster care has/had a significant, positi "Characteristics" of the child refer to p other factors that distinguish the idention 	prima , relig nary en res v men ve rel positiv	ion/religious connections a ponding to thi abers and othe lationship bef	obser re/we s iter er rela ore er the va	vances. ere preserve n: nted or non- ntering fost ilues, belie	ed during the fost -related individua er care. fs, religion, lang	ter car	e placen th whom	nent(s) for the

Reviewers should note that ICWA requires that (1) in the absence of good cause, the agency give placement preference to members of the Native American child's extended family; and (2) the agency provide Indian tribes with timely notice of a Native American child's involvement in an involuntary court proceeding. Reviewers should consider State procedures and laws that govern placement preferences for Native American children and timely notice to Indian tribes.

	 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 	 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Outcome P2: The continuity of family relationships	and c	connections is	prese	rved for children. Sa	mple # _		
Item 16. Relative Placement (Case Record, Inter	view	s With Case	work	er, Child's Caretaker, Pare	ent(s))		
Core Questions					Yes	No	Not Applicable
A. Is/was the child in foster care placed with relati	ves?						
Al. If the response to question A is no, state th	e rea	son:			·		
					Yes	No	Not Applicable
B. For children not placed with relatives, were re	lative	es considered	for pl	acement of the child?			
Reviewers must focus on the title IV-E provision	on tha	at requires age	encies	to consider giving			
preference to placing the child with relatives, a	and d	etermine whe	ether the	ne agency considered			
such a placement and how (for example, seeki Relatives include non-custodial parents, such a							
case. Reviewers should check not applicable i							
Reviewers must determine the extent to which	the a	gency identifi	ied rel	atives who had some			
reasonable degree of relationship with the chil	d and	l with whom t	the ch	ild might reside. There			
does not need to be in the case record a formal reside, but for reviewers to answer yes to 16-B							
the case documentation or the case interviews,	that r	relatives were	evalu	ated and considered.			
					Yes	No	Not Applicable
Bl. Were both maternal and paternal relatives in	dentif	fied and consi	idered	as placement resources?			
Reviewers should check not applicable if r	elativ	ves were unab	ole to b	e identified despite the			
agency's diligent efforts to do so, or in situ the parents and relatives remains unknown							
Exploratory Issues	ucsp			y them.			
• Was the agency timely in identifying and e	valua	ating relatives	s?				
• Was the non-custodial parent considered as a				ore considering other relative	es?		
• Were maternal and paternal relatives sought	out a	nd evaluated?	?				
• Did relatives continue to be assessed as place			-				
• What were the reasons relatives were not use		-		cable?			
What were the reasons relatives were not eva	aluate			A N		N	(A
Rating for this indicator (Check one)		Strength		Area Needing Improvement	nı	NO	t Applicable
Instructions for Item 16: Relative Placement							
This item focuses on placement of children with rela	tives.	when possibl	le.				
		, witch possion					

Reviewers should check yes to question B under the following circumstances: (1) if relatives were identified and evaluated before the period under review, for example when the child entered foster care, or (2) if relatives were not identified and considered before the period under review, but were during the period under review.

Reviewers should determine through case record review or interviews whether relatives were identified and considered as a placement resource for the child. They also should note the reason(s) for the child not being placed with a relative who was considered.

Outcome P2: The continuity of family relationships and	l connections i	s pres	erved for ch	ildren.	Sample #	ŧ	
Item 17. Relationship of Child in Care With Parents	s (Interviews V	With (Child. Pare	nt(s). Foster 1	Parent(s)	. Servic	e Provider)
Core Questions					Yes	No	Not Applicable
A. Is/was there evidence of a strong, emotionally support child in foster care and the child's parent(s) during Reviewers should check not applicable if such a rel	the period unde	er revi	ew?	Mother:			
child's safety or best interests.		innary	to the	Father:			
B. Where appropriate, has the agency made efforts to p emotionally supportive relationship between the chi parent(s)?	Mother:						
Reviewers should check not applicable if such effor- based on the child's safety or best interests, for exar			priate				
Reviewers should not assume, however, that an emo- relationship between the parent(s) and child is inapp child is in foster care and/or was maltreated by the	Father:						
If reviewers check yes, they must note below the spe showing how the agency is/was supporting positive child and parent(s).							
C. Were visits and other contacts between the child and his/her parents/family members planned and carried out in a manner that supports the parent/family/child relationship?							
D. If the response to question A, B, or C is no, specify	the reason(s):					•	
Exploratory Issues • What is/was the nature of the child's relationship	ip with his/her	mothe	er?				
• What is/was the nature of the child's relationshi	ip with his/her	father	?				
• What is/was the nature of the current relationsh	ip from the chi	ild's a	nd parents' j	perspectives?			
• What are/were the factors affecting the child/pa							
 What are/were the agency's efforts to support p functions and special occasions? What are/were the agency's efforts to support the agency's efforts to support the support of the suppo						-	
 and activities? What are/were the agency's efforts to support a What is/was the quality of visits between the ch 			o between th	e child and pa	arents?		
Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength		Area Need	ing Improver	nent	No	t Applicable
Instructions for Item 17: Relationship of Child in Ca	re With Pare	nts					
This item focuses on the nature of the parent-child relati professional qualitative judgments.			eriod under 1	eview and rec	quires that	t review	ers make

F

Reviewers can best make those judgments by considering the following when evaluating the parent-child relationship: (1) the child's and parents' expressed feelings toward each other, (2) evidence of sustained attachment throughout the placement, (3) the level of ongoing involvement of the parent(s) in the child's life during the placement, (4) the level of support the parent is/was able to give the child in care, and (5) the extent to which the integrity of the parent-child relationship is/was maintained.

Reviewers should consider what has occurred in the case during the period under review, while noting the circumstances during the life of a case. For example, if the relationship between the child and parent(s) currently is contrary to the child's safety or best interests, reviewers should rate question 17A as not applicable; they could, however, still check yes for question 17B because the agency previously made appropriate efforts to promote a supportive relationship between the child and parents.

If there is/was no evidence of a supportive parent-child relationship, reviewers should explore and note in the documentation section below the reasons, for example, "parents' whereabouts unknown.

Reviewers should rate this item as not applicable if a relationship with the child's parent(s) is contrary to the child's safety or best interests.

Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children. Check the level of outcome achievement that best describes the extent to which this outcome is being or has been achieved, based on the case record reviews and interviews. In the box below, provide documentation that supports the level of outcome achievement selected for each term Level of Outcome Achievement	DISCUSSION OF PERMANENCY OUTCOME #2 Sample #
on the case record reviews and interviews. In the box below, provide documentation that supports the level of outcome achievement selected for each item Level of Outcome Achievement Substantially Achieved: No more than one of the applicable items for this outcome is rated as an area needing improvement (disregard items rated as not applicable). Partially Achieved: Two or more (but not all) of the applicable items are rated as areas needing improvement. Not Achieved: All of the applicable items for this outcome are rated as areas needing improvement (disregard items rated as not applicable). Not Applicable: All of the items are rated as not applicable. Instructions for Permanency Outcome #2: Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings and items 12-17 by providing supporting information. For example: "Permanency Outcome #2 is substantially achieved because the child is placed in close proximity to his parents, extended family, and neighborhood. Regular visitation with his parents and sibling has been facilitated by the agency. Relatives were assessed for placement, but not approved.	Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.
Substantially Achieved: No more than one of the applicable items for this outcome is rated as an area needing improvement (disregard items rated as not applicable). Partially Achieved: Two or more (but not all) of the applicable items are rated as areas needing improvement. Not Achieved: All of the applicable items for this outcome are rated as areas needing improvement (disregard items rated as not applicable). Not Achieved: All of the applicable items for this outcome are rated as areas needing improvement (disregard items rated as not applicable). Not Applicable: All of the items are rated as not applicable. Instructions for Permanency Outcome #2: Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings and items 12-17 by providing supporting information. For example: "Permanency Outcome #2 is substantially achieved because the child is placed in close proximity to his parents, extended family, and neighborhood. Regular visitation with his parents and sibling has been facilitated by the agency. Relatives were assessed for placement, but not approved.	on the case record reviews and interviews. In the box below, provide documentation that supports the level of outcome
improvement (disregard items rated as not applicable). Partially Achieved: Two or more (but not all) of the applicable items are rated as areas needing improvement. Not Achieved: All of the applicable items for this outcome are rated as areas needing improvement (disregard items rated as not applicable). Not Applicable: All of the items are rated as not applicable. Instructions for Permanency Outcome #2: Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings and items 12-17 by providing supporting information. For example: "Permanency Outcome #2 is substantially achieved because the child is placed in close proximity to his parents, extended family, and neighborhood. Regular visitation with his parents and sibling has been facilitated by the agency. Relatives were assessed for placement, but not approved	Level of Outcome Achievement
Image: Instruction All of the applicable items for this outcome are rated as areas needing improvement (disregard items rated as not applicable). Image: Not Applicable: All of the items are rated as not applicable). Image: Not Applicable: All of the items are rated as not applicable. Image: Instructions for Permanency Outcome #2: Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings and items 12-17 by providing supporting information. For example: "Permanency Outcome #2 is substantially achieved because the child is placed in close proximity to his parents, extended family, and neighborhood. Regular visitation with his parents and sibling has been facilitated by the agency. Relatives were assessed for placement, but not approved.	
Image: International international international international international international international internation international international internation. Instructions for Permanency Outcome #2: Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings and items 12-17 by providing supporting information. For example: "Permanency Outcome #2 is substantially achieved because the child is placed in close proximity to his parents, extended family, and neighborhood. Regular visitation with his parents and sibling has been facilitated by the agency. Relatives were assessed for placement, but not approved.	Partially Achieved: Two or more (but not all) of the applicable items are rated as areas needing improvement.
Instructions for Permanency Outcome #2: Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings and items 12-17 by providing supporting information. For example: "Permanency Outcome #2 is substantially achieved because the child is placed in close proximity to his parents, extended family, and neighborhood. Regular visitation with his parents and sibling has been facilitated by the agency. Relatives were assessed for placement, but not approved.	
Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings and items 12-17 by providing supporting information. For example: "Permanency Outcome #2 is substantially achieved because the child is placed in close proximity to his parents, extended family, and neighborhood. Regular visitation with his parents and sibling has been facilitated by the agency. Relatives were assessed for placement, but not approved.	Not Applicable: All of the items are rated as not applicable.
	extended family, and neighborhood. Regular visitation with his parents and sibling has been facilitated by the agency. Relatives were assessed for placement, but not approved.

SECTION III: CHILD AND FAMILY WELL-	BEI	NG	r
Outcome WB1: Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs. Sample	e #		
Item 18. Needs and Services of Child, Parents, Foster Parents (Case Record, Interviews With Case Parent(s), Foster Parent(s), Services Providers)	worke	r, Chi	ld,
Core Questions	Yes	No	Not Applicable
A. Assessment of Needs: Indicate in questions Al-A3 below whether the needs of the child(ren), parents, and foster parents, related to safety, permanency, and well being, were adequately assessed and identified (provide details in the chart on the next page). Reviewers should view assessment as an ongoing process. It is reasonable to expect that an initial assessment occurred before the period under review, with ongoing assessments occurring throughout the period under review.			
Al. The child(ren).			
A2. The child(ren)'s parents. Reviewers should check not applicable if service(s) to the parent(s) are/were contrary to the child's safety or best interests, for example, if the parent(s) are no longer involved in case planning, such as when the parents' rights have been terminated or the court orders that the agency cease reunification efforts with the parents. If the child is in a pre-adoptive home, with termination of parental rights or relinquishment for adoption has been achieved, reviewers should consider the adoptive parents as the parents. If the child is placed with a relative or legal guardian with whom he/she will remain, the caretaker should be considered as the parent.			
A3. The child's foster parents. Reviewers should check not applicable if the child is/was not in foster care.			
A4. Describe the process the agency used to assess the needs of the child(ren), parents, and foster p foster parents refer to what they need to provide appropriate care and supervision to the child in			eeds of
A5. List in the chart below any needs related to safety, permanency, and well being in this case that we the assessment process but became apparent during the case record review or in case-related interview.			ified during
	Yes	No	Not Applicable
B. Provision of Services: Indicate in questions B1-B3 below whether the identified needs of the child(ren), parents, and foster parents were addressed through appropriate services, including community-based family support services, family preservation services, time-limited family reunification services, and adoption promotion and support services, as appropriate (provide details in the chart on the next page).			
Bl. The child(ren) (for example, LINKS Services for children in foster care who are 16 years and older or Links Services for children 13 to 16, if provided by the agency).			
B2. The child(ren)'s parents. Reviewers should check not applicable if services to parents are/were contrary to the child(ren)'s safety or best interests (for example, if the parents are/were no longer involved in case planning, such as when the parents' rights have been terminated or the court has ordered that the agency to cease reunification efforts with the parents). If the child is in a pre-adoptive home, and termination of parental rights or relinquishment for adoption has been achieved, reviewers should consider the adoptive parents as the parents. If the child is placed with a relative or legal guardian with whom he/she will remain, the caretaker should be considered as the parent.			

						Yes	No	Not Applicable
placed in	foster parent. Reviewers s foster care. The needs of fo te care and supervision to the	oster pare	nts refer to w					
	chart below any services th that were not provided rele				nich a need was identified in tem A5.	question	s Al, A	.2, and A3,
 with releva How adequ What are/v What servi How appro How acces To what de How acces How acces How appro What type 	ant parties)? uate was the assessment in overe the underlying needs a ices have been/are being pro- opriate are/were the services ssible and available are/were egree are/were the services ssible to foster parents is/was opriate is/was the child's pla s of LINKS services are/were	covering a ssociated ovided in s provided provided as the case acement s	all relevant ar with more ob relation to cu d in relation to s (for example meeting the ic eworker? setting (for ex	eas a viou rrent o the e, loc lenti ampl	s needs or presenting problem needs? identified needs? cation, schedule, cost)?	s? ie child's	s needs)?
Rating for this indi	for children 13 to 16. cator: (Check one)		Strength		Area Needing Improveme	nt	No	t Applicable
Assessment of Need	s/Provision of Services Ch	art			-			
	Identified Needs	Serv	vices Provide	d	Unidentified Needs		vices N ot Pro	leeded/ vided
Child(ren)								
Parents								
Foster Parents								
Instructions for Iter	m 18: Needs and Services	of Child,	, Parents, Fo	ster	Parents			

This item focuses on the needs and services of the child, parents, and foster

Reviewers should use the chart above as a work space for recording needs and services for Items Al, A2, A3, A5, and B4.

Reviewers should rate this item for the period under review, although in responding to questions Al-A5, reviewers should consider initial assessments of needs that were conducted outside the period under review, and ongoing assessments during the period under review.

Reviewers should examine if the needs and services are addressed for some of the children in the family but not others and consider this information when rating this item. Reviewers should consider which members of the family are/were in the identified service unit and whether or not it is reasonable to expect that they should receive services.

If the child is in foster care, item 18 applies to that specific child only. If the instrument is being completed for a family receiving in-home services, then item 18 applies to all children in the family who are receiving agency services or are/were residing within the family.

Reviewers must determine whether the agency identified the individual needs of the child(ren) and family in relation to the case goals or the agency's involvement with them.

Reviewers should note in particular whether the following services are/were needed and provided: (1) community-based family support services, (2) family preservation services, (3) time-limited family reunification services, and (4) adoption promotion and support services.

Reviewers should take special care to note whether the services provided are/were appropriately matched to the identified needs. For example, if the agency identified the need to address parental substance abuse, reviewers should explore whether substance abuse assessment, prevention, education, or treatment services are/were provided.

Reviewers also should explore the accessibility and availability of services being provided (for example, location and schedule).

Assessment of needs may take different forms (for example, a psychological or social evaluation conducted by another agency or by contract purchase). Reviewers also may find evidence during interviews with caseworkers or service providers that identifiable efforts were made to assess needs through the case planning process (using a process other than formal assessment) and the caseworker has an in-depth understanding of the needs of the child and family upon which to base the case plan.

Note: In reviewing In-Home cases in counties identified by the Division for MRS, refer to Division policy regarding the In-Home redesign expectations.

Outcome WB1: Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs.

Sample # _

Core Questions	Yes	No	Not Applicable
A. Indicate in questions Al-A3 below whether the agency actively involved the parent(s) or guardian and child(ren) in the case planning activities relevant to the current case plan. To determine the level of participation by parents and children, reviewers should identify the specific activities in which they have input or involvement. These might include, for example: (1) identifying strengths and needs, (2) requesting services and service providers, (3) establishing goals in case plans, (4) evaluating progress toward goals, and (5) attending case planning meetings. Reviewers also should identify barriers to child and parent participation in the case planning process.			
Reviewers should thoroughly explore specific case planning activities open to child and parent participation and not assume that a parent's signature on a case plan signifies involvement . Moreover, reviewers should explore how the agency engaged the child and parent and in what specific activities.			
Al. Child(ren). Reviewers should check not applicable if the child(ren) is under age 12 or is incapacitated. Reviewers will need to determine the ability of the child(ren) to participate actively in case planning activities. This capacity will vary among children; however, most school-age children can be expected to participate to some extent if they are verbal and understand most of the events occurring in their lives.			
A2. Mother. Reviewers should check not applicable if the mother's involvement was determined to be contrary to the child's safety or best interests (i.e., the court has relieved the agency of reunification efforts with the parent). Reviewers should consider pre-adoptive parents or permanent relative or guardian caretakers as "parents."			
A3. Father. Reviewers should check not applicable if the father's involvement was determined to be contrary to the child's safety or best interests (i.e., the court has relieved the agency of reunification efforts with the parent). Reviewers should consider pre-adoptive parents or permanent relative or guardian caretakers as "parents."			
A4. Describe the family's and child(ren)'s involvement in case planning:			
Child(ren):			
Mother:			
Father:			
	Yes	No	Not Applicable
B. Was the input of the family & child(ren) actively considered in the development of the case plan?			Tippileubi
C. Are/were procedural safeguards in place with respect to parental rights pertaining to the removal of children from home, changes in placements, and visiting privileges? Reviewers should check not applicable if the child is/was not placed in foster care.			

Exploratory Issues

- Is/was a current case plan on file for the child/family?
- Was the input of the family/child considered and addressed in case plans?
- What is/was the family/child involvement in identifying needs and services, establishing goals, and evaluating progress?
- What are/were the reasons for their noninvolvement, if applicable?
- Were/are parents notified when a child is moved or changes are made in visiting plans or case plans?

Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength		Area Needing Improvement	Not Applicable
		•		

Instructions for Item 19: Child and Family Involvement in Case Planning

This item focuses on the agency's efforts to involve the child(ren) and parent(s) in case planning activities.

If the child is/was in foster care, item 19 applies to that specific child only. If the instrument is being completed for a family receiving in-home services, then item 19 applies to all children in the family who are/were receiving agency services or are/were residing within the family.

For in-home cases, reviewers should assess whether the primary service recipients in the family have been/were involved in the case plan development. Reviewers should note that State policies regarding requirements for case plans for in-home service and placement cases apply. Reviewers should determine the extent to which the appropriate family members have been/were involved in determining the following: (1) their strengths and needs, (2) the type and level of services needed, and (3) their goals and their progress.

If the original case plan was developed before the period under review and the goals remain the same, reviewers should consider the agency's attempts to involve the parent(s) in developing that case plan and also in the ongoing case planning activities that occurred during the period under review, such as updating and evaluating case plans or developing new plans.

Reviewers should rate this item as an area needing improvement if a case plan was not completed.

Note: In reviewing In-Home cases in counties identified by the Division for MRS, refer to Division policy regarding the In-Home redesign expectations.

Outcome WBI: Families have enhanced capacity to pr	ovide for their c	hildren's need	s.	Samp	le #					
Item 20. Worker Visits With Child (Case Record, I	Interviews With	Caseworker	, Child, Pa	arents, Fos	ter Parent	(s))				
Core Questions			Weekly	Bi- weekly	Monthly	Less Than Monthly				
A. What has been/was the most typical pattern of visita caseworker or other responsible party and the child under review (other responsible party refers to con who maintain responsibility for case planning and c	l(ren) during the tracted service p	period roviders								
				Yes	No	Not Applicable				
B. When visits are/were occurring less frequently than of visits consistent with the needs of the child(ren)? Re when visits are/were occurring at least as often as polic identified by the Division for MRS, refer to Division requirements for in-home services redesign.	licable									
C. Do/did the visits between the caseworker or other r										
focus on issues pertinent to case planning, service	delivery, and go	al attainment?								
 What is/was the child's need for contacts with t Who is/was the party responsible for maintainin What are/were the quality and substance of vis unplanned visits)? What is/was the location of visits? What is/was the frequency and consistency of visits? 	 Who is/was the party responsible for maintaining visits? What are/were the quality and substance of visits (for example, duration, activities, nature of discussions, planned vs. unplanned visits)? What is/was the location of visits? What is/was the frequency and consistency of visits? What are/were the factors affecting frequency of visits? 									
Rating for this indicator. (Check one)	Strength	Area No	eding Imp	orovement	No	ot Applicable				
 Instructions for Item 20: Worker Visits With Child This item focuses on worker visits with the child. Visits are defined as face-to-face contacts between the v If the child is/was in foster care, item 20 applies to that receiving in-home services, then item 20 applies to all c are/were residing within the family. Note: For counties identified by the Division for MR home services redesign. Reviewers should determine the most typical pattern of When reviewers note that visits occur infrequently, the visiting. Reviewers should consider State Standards regarding v child(ren) indicate that more frequent contact with the item based on the child(ren)'s needs. Reviewers should visiting than needed by the child(ren) or required by St requirements solely due to workload or turnover. It is p 	vorker and child t specific child c children in the fa S, refer to Divis visiting since th y should use the isitation frequer worker is necess rate this item ar ate Standard, ev	nly. If the inst mily who are/ ion policy reg e actual freque exploratory is cy when addre ary than State area needing en if the agence	rument is l were recei arding vis ncy may v sues to det essing this Standard r improvem	being comp ving agency itation req ary for diffe ermine barr item. Howe equires, rev ent if there n exception	leted for a y services o uirements erent time p tiers to mor ever, if the p tiewers sho is/was less to visiting	r for in- eriods. e frequent needs of the uld rate the frequent				

Provide documentation that supports the rating for item 20							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
·							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
. <u></u>							
·				······			
			·				
·							
·							

Outcome WB1: Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs. Sample # Item 21. Worker Visits With Parent(s) (Case Record, Interviews With Caseworker, Parent(s), Foster Parent(s)) Bi-Less Than Not Weekly Monthly **Core Ouestions** weekly Applicable Monthly Mother: What is/was the most typical pattern of visitation A. between the caseworker or other responsible party and the parent(s) during the period under review (other responsible party refers to contracted service providers who maintain responsibility for contacts while the child is in placement)? Father: Reviewers should check not applicable if visiting with parents is no longer required because the court has ordered that reunification efforts cease of if the parent is deceased or whereabouts unknown. Not Yes No Applicable B. When visits are/were occurring less frequently than policy requires, is/was the frequency of visits consistent with the needs of the parent and child(ren)? Reviewers should check not applicable if visits are occurring at least as often as required in State Standard. Note: For counties identified by the Division for MRS, refer to Division policy regarding visitation requirements for in-home services redesign. C. Do/did the visits between the caseworker or other responsible party and the parents focus on issues pertinent to case planning, service delivery, and goal attainment? **Exploratory Issues** What are/were the parents' needs for contacts with the caseworker? Who is/was the party responsible for maintaining visits? • What are/were the quality and substance of visits (for example, duration, activities, nature of discussions, planned vs. unplanned visits)? What is/was the location of visits? • What are/were the frequency and consistency of visits? What are/were the factors affecting frequency of visits? What are/were the reasons for infrequent visiting, if applicable? Strength Area Needing Improvement Not Applicable **Rating for this indicator: (Check one)** Instructions for Item 21: Worker Visits With Parent(s) This item focuses on worker visits with the parent(s). Visits are defined as face-to-face contacts between the worker and the parent(s). Note: For counties identified by the Division for MRS, refer to Division policy regarding visitation requirements for inhome services redesign. If the child is/was in foster care, item 21 applies to that specific child only. If the instrument is being completed for a family receiving in-home services, then item 21 applies to all children in the family who are/were receiving agency services or

are/were residing within the family.

Reviewers should determine the most typical pattern of visiting since the actual frequency may vary for different time periods. When reviewers note that visits occur infrequently, they should use the exploratory issues to determine the barriers to more frequent visiting.

Reviewers should consider State Standards when regarding visitation frequency when addressing this item. However, if the needs of the parent(s) indicate that more frequent contact with the worker is necessary than the State Standard requires, reviewers should rate the item based on the needs of the parent(s) and child(ren). Reviewers should rate this item an area needing improvement if there is/was less frequent visiting than needed by the parent(s) and child(ren) or required by State Standard, even if the agency makes an exception to its visiting requirements due to workload or turnover. It is permissible per State Standard to allow for less frequent contact if the case record documents in writing that less frequent contact is needed based on the needs of the parent(s) and child(ren) and the level of contact expected.

DISCUSSION OF CHILD AND FAMILY WELL-BEING OUTCOME #1 Sample #_____

Outcome WBI: Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children s needs.

Check the level of outcome achievement that best describes the extent to which this outcome is being or has been achieved, based on the case record reviews and interviews. In the box, provide documentation that supports the level of outcome achievement selected for each item.

Level of Outcome Achievement \square Substantially Achieved: Item 18 must be rated as a strength, plus no more than one of the remaining applicable items may be rated as an area needing improvement (disregard items rated as not applicable). \square Partially Achieved: Item 18 is rated an area needing improvement, or two or more (but not all) of the applicable items are rated as areas needing improvement. Not Achieved: All of the applicable items are rated as areas needing improvement (disregard items rated as not applicable). Not Applicable: All of the items are rated as not applicable.

Instructions for Child and Family Well-Being Outcome #1

Reviewers should clearly record the link between their rating and items 18-21 by providing supporting information. For example, "Well-Being Outcome #1 was partially achieved because the child's need to develop the skills to manage her behavior was not met through appropriate services, and worker contact with the child and parents was sporadic."

Provide a summary of the documentation that supports the rating for items 18, 19, 20, and 21

Outcom	e WB2: Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs. San	mple # _		
Item 22	Educational Needs of the Child (Case Record, Interviews With Caseworker, Child, Fos	ter Pare	ent(s), P	arent(s))
Core Qu	estions	Yes	No	Not Applicable
bein scho plac	child is/was in foster care, is/was the child enrolled in multiple schools as the result of g placed in foster care? Reviewers should check not applicable if the child is/was not of ol age or is/was not in foster care. Only those changes in schools resulting from the child's ement in foster care should be recorded in this item; normal changes, such as the transition elementary to middle school, should not be noted.			
B. Indica	te below whether the child(ren)'s educational needs are/were being addressed.			
B1.	Special education classes.			
	Reviewers should check not applicable if there are/were no identified special education needs.			
B2.	Services for identified educational needs.			
	Reviewers should check not applicable if no unusual educational needs are/were noted.			
B3.	Early intervention for preschool children. Reviewers should check not applicable if early intervention is/was not needed. Early intervention refers to early intervention programs operated by the education system for preschool children, infants, and toddlers who have developmental delays.			
B4.	Inclusion of school records in the case file. Reviewers should check not applicable if the child is/was not school age or not in foster care.			
B5. B6.	Advocacy with the education/school system. Reviewers should check not applicable if there are/were no identified needs or the child is/was not school age. Advocacy refers to efforts by the agency to obtain educational services for the child. This might include, for example, arranging for priority testing for special education or other special placement classes or meeting with school personnel to address the child's performance. This question is applicable whether or not the child is/was in special education. Attention to education in case planning. Reviewers should check not applicable if there			
	are/were no identified needs or the child is/was not school age.			
B7.	Providing education records to foster parents. Reviewers should check not applicable if the child is/was not in foster care.			
	ory Issues What are/were the identified educational needs of the child? What services are/were being provided to address the child's educational needs? What are/were the reasons for changes of school placement, if applicable? What testing/evaluation was performed to determine the educational needs of child? What is/was the child(ren)'s functioning in school? What is/was the appropriateness of services provided related to identified needs? What activities are/were performed to address the child(ren)'s educational needs?		I	

Rating for this indicator: (Check one)		Strength		Area Needing Improvement		Not Applicable					
Instructions for Item 22: Educational Needs of the Child											
This item focuses on the educational needs of the chi	ild.										
If the child is/was in foster care, item 22 applies to that specific child only and reviewers should respond to questions A and B. If the instrument is being completed for a family receiving in-home services, item 22 applies to all children in the family who are/were receiving agency services or are residing within the family and reviewers should respond to question B only.											
When addressing educational issues for families receiving in-home services, reviewers should consider whether the educational needs are/were relevant to the reason why the agency is/was involved with the family, and whether the need to address educational issues is/was a reasonable expectation given the circumstances of the family and the agency's involvement. (If not, reviewers should rate this item not applicable.) For example, if a child became known to the agency and was determined to be in need of in-home services, and the alleged abuse or neglect was affecting the child's school performance or attendance, then it is reasonable to expect the agency to provide services to ensure that the child receives the appropriate educational assessment and services.											
In cases where the agency has made extensive effort reviewers may rate this item as a strength based on jurisdiction.											
If the agency conducted an assessment of education need for educational services, reviewers should rate				ned that there were no problems i	n tha	t area, nor any					
Provide documentation that supports the rating for	or iten	n 22									

DISCUSSION OF CHILD AND FAMILY WELL-BEING OUTCOME #2 Sample # _

Outcome WB2: Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs.

Check the level of outcome achievement that best describes the extent to which this outcome is being or has been achieved, based on the case record reviews and interviews. In the box, provide documentation that supports the level of outcome achievement selected for each item.

Level of Outcome Achievement

Substantially Achieved:	Item 22 is rated as a
Partially Achieved:	Item 22 is rated as an area needing improvement, although some components of item 22 are being addressed in a satisfactory manner.
Not Achieved:	Item 22 is rated as an area needing improvement and none of the components of item 22 are being addressed in a satisfactory manner.
Not Applicable:	Item 22 is rated as not applicable.

Instructions for Child and Family Well-Being Outcome #2:

Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings to item 22, providing supporting information. For example: "Well-Being Outcome #2 is substantially achieved because during the educational case planning, the child was assessed as needing special education services, and has been enrolled in the appropriate classes. The foster parents are maintaining the child's educational records.

Provide a summary of the documentation that supports the rating for item 22

Outcome WB3: Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and m	ental health need	ls. Samp	le #						
Item 23. Physical Health of the Child (Case Record, Interviews With Case	Item 23. Physical Health of the Child (Case Record, Interviews With Caseworker, Child, Foster Parent(s))								
Core Questions		Yes	No	Not Applicable					
A. If the child is/was in foster care, was an initial health screening (or other med examination) provided upon the most recent entry into foster care?	dical								
Health care screening refers to the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis (EPSDT) or other comprehensive medical examinations.									
A1 If the response to question A is yes, was the initial health screening p in accordance with the timeframe specified in the State Standard?	provided								
Reviewers should check not applicable if the child is/was not in foste	r care.								
	Significantly	Partially	Not at All	Not Applicable					
B. Indicate below whether the child's physical health needs (including follow-up services) were being addressed in the following way during the period under review. Reviewers should note what those needs are/were and how they are/were being met.									
B1. Preventive health care. Preventive health care refers to both the initial and periodic age-appropriate examinations, and maintenance procedures designed to avoid, detect, and treat health problems.									
B2. Preventive dental care. Preventive dental care refers to both the initial and periodic age-appropriate examinations, and maintenance procedures designed to avoid, detect, and treat dental problems.									
B3. Immunizations.									
B4. Treatment for identified health needs. Reviewers should check not applicable if the initial or subsequent health screen did not identify needs requiring treatment.									
B5. Treatment for identified dental needs. Reviewers should check not applicable if there are/were no identified needs.									
B6. Providing health records to foster parents. Reviewers should check not applicable if the child is/was not in foster care.									
Exploratory Issues									
 What are the State's Standards for the timing of initial health examination. How are/were comprehensive medical examinations managed (beyond in What type of initial screening was received by the child in foster care? How recent are/were immunizations? What has been/were the frequency of subsequent health screenings and provide the streening streening and provide the streening s	nitial screenings))?	er care?						

• What are/were identified health or dental needs?

- What is/was the treatment of identified health and dental needs?
- What is/has been the agency's method for tracking the medical needs and services of the child(ren)?
- Do/did foster parents (provider) have copies of the child's health records?

Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength	Area Needing Improvement	Not Applicable

Instructions for Item 23: Physical Health of the Child

This item focuses on the physical health of the child.

If the child is/was in foster care, item 23 applies to that specific child only and reviewers should respond to questions A and B. If the instrument is being completed for a family receiving in-home services, item 23 applies to all children in the family who are/were receiving agency services or are/were residing within the family and reviewers should respond to question B only. Reviewers must determine whether the child has any identified health needs. If this information is not in the case record, reviewers should address this item through interviews with the child, parents, or foster parents.

The primary criteria for rating this item is whether the child's health needs were identified in a timely manner and treated appropriately, if applicable. Reviewers should rate questions A and A1 based on the most recent entry into foster care, even if it occurred before the period under review and rate question B for the period under review.

When addressing health issues for families receiving in-home services, reviewers should consider whether the physical health needs are/were relevant to the reason why the agency is/was involved with the family and whether the need to address physical health issues is/was a reasonable expectation given the circumstances of the family and the agency's involvement. (If not, reviewers should rate this item not applicable.) For example, if a child became known to the agency and was determined to be in need of in-home services at least partly as a result of physical abuse or sexual abuse, then it is reasonable to expect the agency to provide services to ensure that the child receives the appropriate physical health services.

If the agency conducted an assessment of physical health and determined that there were no problems in that area, nor any need for physical health services, reviewers should rate this item as a strength.

Outcome WB3: Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs Sample #							
Item 24. Mental Health of the Child (Interviews With	Caseworker	, Par	ent(s), Foster Pa	arent(s),	Service	e Provide	rs)
Core Questions Yes No						Not Applicable	
 A. It the child is/was in foster care, was an initial mental health screening or assessment provided upon the most recent entry into foster care, if applicable)? Reviewers should check not applicable if the child is/was not in foster care or if there was no indications that a screening or assessment was needed. 							
							Not Applicable
B. Indicate the assessment or screening method used (for example, a comprehensive mental health evaluation or psychological evaluation). Reviewers should check not applicable if no assessment or screening was done and there were no indications that a screening or assessment was needed, or if the response to question A is not applicable.							
			Significantly	Partial	y No	ot at All	Not Applicable
C. Indicate below whether the agency has addressed the health needs in the following ways during the period of Reviewers should determine whether the child has/ha health needs and, if so, whether services are/were bei appropriately address the identified needs.	under review. d specific me	ntal					
Cl. Assessment or screening (initial and ongoing, as	s needed)						
C2. Ongoing treatment for identified needs. Review check not applicable if the assessment did not							
 Exploratory Issues How were mental health needs assessed at initial How are/were mental health needs assessed on an What are the current mental health needs? What services have been provided for mental hea What is/was the appropriateness of services prov 	n ongoing bas alth needs, inc	is? ludin	g any follow-up o	care ident			
Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength		Area Needing I	mprover	nent	No	t Applicable
Instructions for Item 24: Mental Health of the Child This item focuses on the mental health of the child. If the child is/was in foster care, item 24 applies to that sp If the instrument is being completed for a family receivin are/were receiving agency services or are/were residing w	g in-home sei	vices	, item 24 applies	to all chi	ldren ir	the fami	ly who

The primary criteria for rating this Item is whether the child's mental health needs were identified in a timely manner and treated appropriately, if applicable. Reviewers should rate questions A and B based on the most recent entry into foster care, even if it occurred before the period under review and should rate question C on the basis of the period under review.

When addressing mental health issues for families receiving in-home services, reviewers should consider whether the mental health needs are/were relevant to the reason why the agency is/was involved with the family and whether the need to address mental health issues is/was a reasonable expectation given the circumstances of the family and the agency's involvement. (If not, reviewers should rate this item not applicable.) For example, if a child became known to the agency and was determined to be in need of in-home services at least partly as a result of the child experiencing recurrent episodes of severe depression as a result of some form of maltreatment for which it is reasonable to expect that mental health issues would be involved (such as sexual abuse), then it is reasonable to expect the agency to provide services to ensure that the child receives the appropriate mental health services.

If the agency conducted an assessment of mental health and determined that there were no problems in that area, nor any need for mental health services, reviewers should rate this item as a strength.

 	 		······································
 	 		······································

DISCUSSION OF CHILD AND FAMILY WELL-BEING OUTCOME #3 Sample # _

Outcome WB3. Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.

Check the level of outcome achievement that best describes the extent to which this outcome is being or has been achieved, based on the case record reviews and interviews. In the box, provide documentation that supports the level of outcome achievement selected for each item.

Level of Outcome Achievement

Substantially Achieved:	Both applicable items are rated as strengths (disregard items rated as not applicable).
Partially Achieved:	One of the applicable items is rated as an area needing improvement and one is rated a
Not Achieved:	All applicable items are rated as areas needing improvement (disregard items rated as not applicable).
Not Applicable:	Both of the items are rated as not applicable.

Instructions for Child and Family Well-Being Outcome #3:

Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings and items 23 and 24, providing supporting information. For example, "Well-Being Outcome #3 is partially achieved because the child has unmet treatment needs in the areas of health and dental care. The child needs to see a specialist for asthma and requires specialized orthodontic work; the foster parents cannot find a local provider who accepts Medicaid so treatment has been delayed. The child's need to deal with separation from family is being met through counseling services at the local mental health clinic. "

Provide a summary of the documentation that supports the rating for items 23 and 24

Case I	Rating	Summary	y	Samp	ole #				
Check the non-shaded box for each outcome an									
		ance Indicator Rat			ings				
Outcome or Performance Indicator	Strength	Area Needing Improvement	N/A	Substantially Achieved	Partially Achieved	Not Achieved	N/A		
Item 1. Assessment of Intake Decisions and thoroughness of investigations.									
Item 2. Timeliness of initiating investigations of reports of maltreatment.									
Item 3. Repeat maltreatment									
Outcome S1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse/neglect.									
Item 4. Services to family to protect child(ren) in home and prevent removal									
Item 5. Risk of harm to child(ren)									
Outcome S2. Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate.									
Item 6. Foster care re-entries									
Item 7. Stability of foster care placements									
Item 8. Permanency goal for child									
Item 9. Reunification or guardianship/custody to Relatives									
Item 10. Adoption									
Item 11. Permanency goal of other planned									
permanent living arrangement									
Outcome P1. Children have permanency and stability in their living situation.									
Item 12. Proximity of foster care placement									
Item 13. Placement with siblings			1						
Item 14. Visiting with parents and siblings in foster care									
Item 15. Preserving connections									
Item 16. Relative placement									
Item 17. Relationship of child in care with parents									
Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.									
Item 18. Needs and services of child, parents, foster									
parents Item 19. Child and family involvement in case									
planning Item 20. Worker visits with child									
Item 21. Worker visits with parents			1						
Outcome WB1. Families have enhanced capacity to									
provide for their children's needs. Item 22. Educational needs of the child									
Outcome WB2. Children receive appropriate									
services to meet their educational needs. Item 23. Physical health of the child									
Item 24. Mental health of the child									
Outcome WB3. Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.									



Sample #_____

CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEW REVIEW INSTRUMENT-SCREEN OUT

Face Sheet

A. Name of County

race snee

B. Reviewer

C. Date Report Reviewed

D. Date of Report

E. Child(ren)'s name(s)

Date(s) of Birth

SEC	TION I: S	SAFETY					
Outcome S1: Children are, first and foremost, protect	ted from abuse an	d neglect.					
Item 1: Assessment of Intake Decisions and Thoro	oughness of Inves	tigations.			-		
			Yes	No	N/A		
A. Were appropriate Intake decisions made based on	iurisdiction, the lo	egal					
definitions of caretaker and abuse, neglect and dependency?							
Exploratory Issues	J						
• Two level review on Intake decisions							
• Notices with required information were sent to the	he reporter in a tir	nely manner					
• Non-caretaker reports referred to DA and law en	forcement orally	mmediately and written within 48 hrs.					
Rating for this indicator: (Check One) Strength Area Needing Improvement					Not Applicable		

1						