CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEW REVIEW INSTRUMENT							
	Face	Sheet					
A. Name of County	B. Reviewer	•			C. Date case reviewed		
D. Child(ren)'s name(s)		Date	(s) of Birth 				
E. Type of case reviewed Foster Care (child was in foster care for all some portion of the review period) Child Protective Services (child was not in foster care placement (if applicable) G. Date child returned home (if applicable)							
H. Date case closed (if applicable)I. Indicate the cause of the agency's ir primary reason.	nvolvement with th	nis chi	d or family.	Check all th	at apply and asterisk the		
Physical Abuse			Mental/Phys	ical Health	of parent(s)		
Sexual Abuse			Mental/Phys				
Emotional Maltreatment	notional Maltreatment			abuse by pa	rent(s)		
Neglect (not including medical n	Neglect (not including medical neglect)			abuse by ch	ild		
Medical Neglect	edical Neglect			olence in ch	ild's home		
Abandonment	andonment			of child			
Child behavior			Other (speci				
J. Name of Person Interviewed	Type of Interview			Relationsh	ip to Case		

Section I: Safety

Outcome S1. Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect. Item 1. Assessment of Intake Decisions and Thoroughness of Investigations.

This item focuses on decision making and assessment of risk from Intake through Investigative Assessment.

Reviewers should determine whether the decision to not investigate was appropriate.

Reviewers should determine whether the agency made the correct decision, based on legal definitions, to not accept the reports for Investigative Assessment and whether or not correct decisions were made at the closure of the Investigative Assessment. (i.e. Correct decision to substantiate or unsubstantiate, correct decision to close the case following a substantiation or correct decision to transfer a substantiated case to CP/CM or Foster Care)

Reviewers should determine whether all safety issues were addressed through sufficient contact with the child(ren), parents and collaterals throughout the Investigative Assessment.

Item #1.) NA if No reports during Review Period.

Special Considerations:

Reviewers should keep in mind that when reports include multiple children, safety issues and assessments should apply to each child.

This item focuses on decision making and assessment of risks from Intake through Investigative Assessment.

C. NA If case decision not yet made.

SECTION I: SAFETY						
Outcome S1: Children are, first and foremost,	protected from	abuse and neglect.				
Item 1: Assessment of Intake Decisions and						
			Yes	No	N/A	
A. Were appropriate Intake decisions made ba		al				
definitions of abuse, neglect and dependence						
B. Did the agency conduct a thorough investig						
addressed all allegations and maintained su assess risk and ensure the safety of the chil		TO				
C. Were the investigative assessments closed						
Exploratory Issues	appropriately					
 Two level review on Intake decisions 						
 Safety/protection plans developed when ap 	opropriato					
 Appropriate use of collaterals 	propriate					
 Risk assessment supports the decision to s 	substantiato an	d close the case or to substantic	oto			
and provide services	Substantiate an		ale			
 Notices with required information were sen 	t to the reporte	r in a timely manner				
Rating for this indicator: (Check One)	Strength	Area Needing Improvement	Not	Applic	able	
	en en gui	,				
			1			

Outcome S1. Children are, first and foremost, protected form abuse and neglect. Item 2. Timeliness of Initiating Investigations of Reports of Child Maltreatment

This item focuses on the timeliness of investigations of reports of child maltreatment.

Reviewers should determine whether or not investigations noted in each of the reports were initiated within the time frame specified in the State's policy for a report of that particular type of priority. These decisions are made for both "during the life of the case" and "during the period under review."

Reviewers also should examine whether or not the caseworker actually saw the child(ren) who were the subject of the report as a part of the investigative activities.

Special Considerations:

Reviewers should monitor their time with regard to the review of child protective service (CPS) history and records. They should concentrate on information specific to the period under review. While historical information is important and should be noted when available, reviewers must examine the case history quickly.

Reviewers also should rate the case based on the period under review, not the case history. For example, a case might have a long CPS history but no reports during the period under review. Under that circumstance, reviewers should note the case history in formation as background under the "life of the case," in the space provided for the Exploratory Issues, but rate the case in relations only to the period under review.

For Item 2-A, reviewers record the total number of reports of child maltreatment for all children in the family, not just the child documented through the case record under review.

Outcome S1: Children are, first and foremost,	protected from	abuse and neal	ect.	
Item 2. Timeliness of Initiating Investigatio				ecord, Interview
with Caseworker)			(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			Over the life of the case?	During the period under review?
A. How many reports of suspected abuse or n on children in the family?	eglect have be	en received		
B. In how many of the reports were the investi	igations initiate	d by		
face-to-face contact with the child by the in				
within State guidelines?				
Exploratory Issues				
 Priority level assigned to each report 				
Agency requirements for initiating an invest				requirements
Agency requirements for having face-to-fa		n children in repo	rts received	
When the investigating worker initiated the		T		
Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength	Area Needing	Improvement	Not Applicable

Outcome S1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect. **Item 3. Repeat maltreatment**

This item focuses on repeat maltreatment.

Reviewers should determine whether or not children in the family have had multiple substantiated reports of maltreatment arising from the same general conditions or by the same perpetrator.

"Substantiated" refers to an investigation in which the allegation of maltreatment of risk or risk of maltreatment was supported or founded according to State law or State policy.

Reviewers must distinguish between reports that were substantiated during the life of the case and the period under review.

Special Considerations:

Reviewers should respond to the questions with regard to multiple reports that are substantiated within the review period.

A first substantiation is the baseline whether it occurred prior to the Review Period or not. Another substantiation during the review period constitutes "repeat maltreatment."

Outcome 1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.							
Item 3. Repeat maltreatment (Case Record	, Interview wi	th Caseworker)					
			Yes	No	No Multiple		
		fabrica an include tai			Reports		
A. Where there have been multiple substanti children in this family, have any of them inv							
1. The same perpetrator?		wing.					
2. The same general complaint?							
B. How many of the reports checked "yes" we	ere received du	uring the period under					
review?		• •					
Exploratory Issues							
The nature of each report							
 Relationship of the perpetrator to the child 	l						
When the reports were received	1						
Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength	Area Needing Improve	ement	Not	Applicable		
	1						

Discussion of Safety Outcome #1

Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings and item 1 (assessment of reports not accepted and thoroughness of investigations), item 2 (timeliness of initiating investigations of reports of child maltreatment) and item 3 (repeat maltreatment).

For example, "Safety Outcome #2 was substantially achieved because all reports were investigated in the required time frame and the child was seen following the report as prescribed by the guidelines. There were not multiple substantiated reports of maltreatment during the period under review."

DISCUSSION OF SAFETY OUTCOME #1							
Outcome S1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect							
 Check the level of outcome achievement that best describes the extent to which this outcome is being or has been achieved, based on the interviews and case record review. In the box, support the level of outcome achievement selected by describing the indicators in the instrument that relate to the outcome. 							
 Indicators (items 1, 2, & 3) must be determined by rate this outcome as "substantially achieved." 	the reviewer to I	be a "streng	th" in the cas	se in order to			
	Substantially Achieved	Partially Achieved	Not Achieved	Outcome Not Applicable to This Case			
Level of Outcome Achievement							
Information from items 1, 2 & 3 that supports rating	9						

Outcome S2. Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate. Item 4. Services to Family to Protect Child(ren) in Home and Prevent Removal

This item focuses on the services to the family to protect the child(ren) in the home and prevent removal.

Information related to this item is used to help identify whether or not reasonable efforts were made to prevent removal of the child(ren) from the home.

Reviewers must determine whether or not the agency provided services to protect the child(ren) in the home as an alternative to a foster care placement, when appropriate. If some of the children from one family are in foster care and others are being served in the home, please provide an explanation of the services provided under both conditions.

Special Considerations:

For item 4-A, reviewers should check "no" if services were not provided, even if there was "an appropriate reason" for why the services were not provided. For example, if services were not provided because of emergency removal of the child, reviewers will still check "no" under question A and then note the reason why under question B.

For item 4-A, reviewers must use their professional judgment to explore and document the appropriateness of services in relation to the child's needs. it is not sufficient to simply list the services being provided.

For item 4-A, when reviewers check not applicable (N/A) with regard to the case under review (not a case of abuse or neglect or apparent risk of harm to the child), they should note the type of case it is (for example, "child in need of supervision") in the space provided for recording information.

With regard to rating item 4, reviewers should mark "not applicable" when it was not a case in which the child was maltreated or at risk of harm. Reviewers should, however, be careful to explore the safety of a child even when the case is noted as "child in need of supervision" or "delinquency."

Outcome S2: Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate.							
Item 4. Services to Family to Protect Child(ren) in Home and Prevent Removal (Case Record, Interviews							
with Caseworker, Parents, Service Provider)							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		í es	No	N/A			
A. Where there were substantiated reports of abuse or neglect or cor			-	-			
to children in the family during the period under review, did the age							
arrange for services to the family to protect the child(ren) in his/he							
removal, if applicable, including family preservation, family support							
prevention services? (N/A = not a case of abuse or neglect or no							
	apparent lisk of harm						
to the child)							
B. If no, state the reason.							
Exploratory Issues							
 Case Planning/Case Management services were initiated within the services were initiated were initiated	the required time frame						
 Types of services provided or arranged to protect the child(ren) 							
Appropriateness of in home services for the family							
Reason services were not provided							
Rating for this indicator:(Check one)StrengthArea I	Needing Improvement	Not A	Applic	able			

Outcome S2. Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate. Item 5. Risk of Harm to Child(ren)

This item focuses on the risk of harm to the child(ren). It helps to identify whether or not the child's safety is the primary concern in the case.

Reviewers should determine if, during the period under review, there was risk of harm to the child in the family's home that warranted the child's placement in foster care (foster care cases), including an ongoing risk that precludes reunification. For children served at home, reviewers should determine whether or not the risk of harm to the child(ren) in the home is sufficient to necessitate the provision of services by the agency to protect the child(ren).

Special Considerations:

For item 5-A, reviewers should focus on the existing risk in the family of origin that brought the child into care and requires the child to remain outside the home. They should select their answer on the basis of whether or not that risk has been reduced. Reviewers should not, for example, state that there was no risk of harm simply because the child is in foster care. **If TPR has occurred prior to the review period, this item should be N/A**.

For item 5-B, reviewers should select their answer on the basis of whether or not the County demonstrated efforts to remove the risk of harm to the child through specific interventions. In cases in which the County did not provide services for an appropriate reason (for example, consistency with the child's safety plan), reviewers should check "no" but provide an explanation. If a County provided services for a period of time, stopped due to lack of progress, and then petitioned for termination of parental rights, reviewers would select "yes" as the response to this question, for example. If reviewers select the answer "no" (services not being provided), they must record an explanation for why the services were not provided.

Outcome S2: Children are safely maintained in t	their homes w	henever possible and appropriat	e		
Item 5. Risk of Harm to Child(ren) – (Case Re				се	
Provider)			,		
			Yes	No	N/A
A. For the period under review, was there a risk	of harm to the	e child(ren) in the family that			
necessitated the child(ren) 's placement or co					
provision of Case Planning/Case Managemer					
B. If yes, were efforts made by the agency to red					
specific interventions? (Not applicable = no r					
C. Are there indications that case decisions and					
from the home or reunification were based on					
safety? (N/A = no risk of harm to the child)					
Exploratory Issues					
 Nature of the risk of harm 					
 What is needed to reduce or remove the risk 	k				
 How the risk is being addressed through ser 		interventions			
 What decisions or plans are under way regative 					
 Were there reports of maltreatment requiring 			inder i	Oviow	,
	Strength	Area Needing Improvement	Not A		
Rating for this indicator. (Oneck one)	onengin	Area Needing improvement		phic	abic

Discussion of Safety Outcome #2

Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings and item 4 (services to family to protect the children in home and prevent removal whenever possible and appropriate) and item 5 (risk of harm to child(ren).

For example, "Safety Outcome #2 was substantially achieved because the agency provided family preservation services, family counseling, and other services to reduce the risk of harm prior to placement. Services were appropriately matched to the family's needs.

DISCUSSION OF SAFETY OUTCOME #2

Outcome S2: Children are safely maintained in their homes, whenever possible and appropriate.

- Check the level of outcome achievement that best describes the extent to which this outcome is being or has been achieved, based on the interviews and case record review. In the box, support the level of outcome achievement selected by describing the indicators in the instrument that relate to the outcome.
- Both indicators (item 4 & 5) must be determined by the reviewer to be a "strength" in the case in order to rate this outcome as "substantially achieved."

rate this outcome as "substantially achieved."				
	Substantially	Partially	Not	Outcome Not
	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	Applicable to
				This Case
Level of Outcome Achievement				
Information from items 4 & 5 that supports rating				

Section II: Permanency

Outcome P1: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations. **Item 6. Foster Care Re-entries**

Reviewers are asked to determine if a child: (1) had multiple entries into foster care, (2) if those re-entries had resulted from the same general reason or circumstance, and (3) how many entries the child had in foster care during the period under review.

Reviewers must distinguish between multiple entries that occurred during the life of the case and the period under review.

Multiple entries refer to two or more admissions into the foster care system.

The definition of "entry into care" corresponds to the definition used for Adoption and Foster Care Reporting System (AFCARS) data: the date of the latest physical removal of a child from his or her home.

A return to a foster care placement after a brief trial visit home does not count as a readmission unless the County has discharged the child from foster care.

If the following variables apply, however, a case would be considered re-entry into foster care: (1) a child remains on a trial home visit beyond 6 months, (2) there is no court order extending the visit beyond 6 months, and (3) the child comes back into foster care.

Special Considerations:

For item 6-A, reviewers should focus on the entire life of the case. They should note if the child had multiple entries into foster care during the entire life of the case (the number of entries for the period under review is noted in 6-C). Reviewers should answer this question on the basis of movement in and out of care (re-entries); not movement within care (changes in placement).

For item 6-B, reviewers should review the reasons why a child had multiple entries. If the child re-entered care for the same reason each time (for example, abuse), then reviewers should check "yes." If the child entered care for abuse and then re-entered care for "delinquency," reviewers should check "no."

For item 6-C, reviewers should focus on the number of entries into foster care during the period under review. The answer to this question may be different from that for item 6-A (multiple entries during the life of the case). Again, reviewers should answer this question on the basis of movement in and out of care (re-entries); not movement within care (changes in placement).

It is very important that reviewers record the reasons for a child's multiple entries into foster care.

If the child never left foster care during the review period, the rating is N/A. If the child left and did not return to foster care, the rating is strength. If the child left foster care and returned, the rating is area needing improvement.

SECTION	NII: PE	RMANENCY					
Outcome P1: Children have permanency and	stability in the	eir living situations.					
Item 6. Foster Care Re-entries (Case Record, Interviews with Caseworker, Parents)							
	,	, ,		Yes	No		
A. Has the child had multiple entries into foster	r care?						
B. Have any of them resulted from the same g		n?					
C. How many entries has the child had in foste							
Exploratory Issues							
	_						
	-						
• Time frames for child's entries into foster c							
Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength	Area Needing Improvement	NOT A	Applica	able		

Outcome P1. Children have permanency and stability in their living situations. **Item 7. Stability of Foster Care Placement**

This item focuses on the stability of the foster care placement.

A "current episode of foster care" refers to the child's current stay in foster care based on the most recent removal of the child from his or her normal place of residence resulting in his or her placement in a foster care setting.

"Changes in placement" refers to a change in the place where the child lives during an episode of foster care, excluding trial home visits. For purposes of the child and family services reviews, if the foster family with whom the child is placed moves, and the child moves with them, this does not constitute a change in placement.

The stability of the foster care placement refers to the extent to which the child's current placement is determined to be free from the risk of an unplanned disruption in the foreseeable future.

Reviewers are asked to examine why the change occurred. Some placement changes are planned in accordance with the child's permanency goals, for example, moving from an institution to a family-based setting.

Reviewers must distinguish between changes during the current foster care episode and placement changes during the period under review.

Special Considerations:

For item 7-A, reviewers must note the number of placement settings during the current episode, not necessarily for the life of the case (unless the current episode is the life of the case). See the definition of the current episode above.

For item 7-B, reviewers must examine the placements during the period under review, by first defining the period of the current episode and then examining and recording the number of placements during the period under review.

For item 7-C, reviewers should use their professional judgment to examine and record the reason for placement changes and to determine whether or not those reasons where directly related to helping the child.

For item 7-D, reviewers should use their professional judgment to determine if the current placement setting appears stable. This includes exploring the provider's commitment to maintaining the placement, how the child is doing in that placement, and the level of support the agency is giving the foster care provider. Reviewers also should identify any significant risks of placement disruptions that are present in the current placement.

	Outcome P1: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.						
lte	m 7. Stability of Foster Care Placement	(Case Record	I, Interviews with Casework	· ·	1		
				Yes	No	# of	
_						Changes	
	Did the child change placement during the						
	If yes, how many placement changes occu			1	1		
U.	Did any of the placement changes occur for the child achieve the goals in his or her ca		directly related to helping				
П	Is the current placement stable, i.e., no ap		of disruption?				
	ploratory issues						
•	Reasons for moves						
•	Time frames of moves						
•	Efforts to prevent unnecessary moves, if a	applicable					
•	Correct match of placement to child's nee						
•	How current placement is being supported						
•	Reasons for instability of placement, if ap						
	ting for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength	Area Needing Improveme	nt I	Not A	pplicable	
		Olicingili				phicable	
<u> </u>							

Outcome P1. Children have permanency and stability in their living situations. **Item 8. Permanency Goal for Child**

This item focuses on the child's permanency goal.

Reviewers will need to determine if a child: (1) has been in foster care for 12 of the past 22 months, (2) is an abandoned child, or (3) is a child whose parents have been convicted of one of the felonies designated in section 475 (5)(e) of the Adoption and Safe Families Act (ASFA). (This would include if the parent had (1) committed murder of another child of the parent; (2) committed voluntary manslaughter of another child of the parent; (3) aided or abetted, attempted, conspired, or solicited to commit such a murder or such a voluntary manslaughter, or (4) committed a felony assault that results in serious bodily injury to the child or another child of the parent.) Reviewers then make a determination about whether a termination of parental rights (TPR) petition or an exception to the TPR requirements is required.

Reviewers should review for and note any delays in the child's achievement of the permanency goals and the reasons for those delays.

Special Considerations:

For item 8-B, reviewers need to determine the permanency goal and whether or not the goal has been achieved. For example, if the goal is adoption and steps have been taken oward that goal (termination of parent rights, child placement in a pre-adoptive home) but the adoption is not finalized, then the goal has not been achieved.

For item 8-C, if the goal has not been achieved, reviewers should determine and record the reason why. These may include agency or court delays, or other reasons (please specify).

For item 8-D, reviewers should use their professional judgment to determine if the services being provided to the child and/or family are appropriate to achieving the established goal. Reviewers should ask, "does this array of services make sense in terms of what the agency is trying to achieve for the child." Reviewers should not simply record the list of services being offered.

For item 8-E, reviewers must be familiar with the ASFA TPR requirements and exceptions. Reviewers should note if the child has been in care for the maximum time or if another ASFA criterion for TPR exists. In other words, either a TPR must be filed or an exception noted in the case record. Exceptions include the following: (1) at the option of the County, the child is being cared for by a relative, (2) a County agency has documented in the case plan a compelling reason for determining that a termination would not be in the best interest of the child, and (3) the County has not provided to the child the services that the County deemed necessary for the safe return of the child to the child's home if reasonable efforts of the type described in Section 471 (a) (15) (B) (ii) are required to be made with respect to the child.

Outcome P1: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.	(m)						
Item 8. Permanency Goal for Child (Case Record, Interview with Caseworke A. What is the child's current permanency goal?	er)						
 B. How long has the goal been in place and unachieved? (Not applicable/Goal is achieved) 							
C. If there are delays in achieving the permanency goal, to what are the delays attributable?							
	Yes	No	N/A	Exception Noted (Specify)			
D. Are services being provided in the case consistent with the stated permanency goal?							
E. If the child has been in foster care 12 of the most recent 22 months or meets other ASFA criteria for TPR, has the agency filed or joined a petition to terminate parents rights? (N/A = child has not been in foster care 12 of the most recent 22 months)							
 Exploratory Issues Changes or lack of changes in child's permanency goal Reasons for changes in goals Factors considered in decision making about permanency goal Barriers to achieving current goal How services currently being provided promote achievement of current permanency goal Has child been in foster care for 12 of the most recent 22 months, is child an abandonment infant, or does child have parents who have committed a felony requiring TPR under ASFA Has an exception to the termination of parental rights requirement been taken and, if so, the basis for the 							
exceptionRating for this indicator:(Check one)StrengthArea Needing Impleter	roveme	ent	Not A	Applicable			

Outcome P1. Children have permanency and stability in their living situations. Item 9. Independent Living Services – Required for Children age 16 or older (may be offered to children 13-15 years old)

This item focuses on the provision of Independent Living Services.

Reviewers are to complete this item for children age 16 or older who are in foster care; independent living plans are required for this population. If children 13-15 years old have been provided services, check "yes", if they have not, check "child not age 16 or older."

Reviewers must determine whether or not the individual needs of the child related to independent living have been identified and whether or not services meeting those needs are provided.

Special Considerations:

If a child does not have an independent living plan based on the written assessment, reviewers should use their professional judgment to explore and document if appropriate Independent Living Services provided are outlined in the case record.

Outcome P1: Children have permanency and	stability in their	livina	situat	ons.		
Item 09. Independent Living Services - Rec					lder (may b	e offered to
children 13-15 years old) (Case Record, Int						
			Yes	No	Child Not	Child will not
					Age 16 or Older	Benefit from or Refuses to Accept
A. Does the child have a written Independent	l iving plan has	ed				Refuses to Accept
on a written assessment, in the record?						
B. Are independent living services being provident the child's independent living plan?	ded consistent	with				
Exploratory Issues						
 Services the youth is receiving to prepare 	for independent	t livino	a			
 Extent to which services being provided m 						
Need for additional independent living serv	•					
 How well prepared the youth will be to live goal? 		upon	achiev	emer	nt of his or h	er permanency
Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength	Area	a Need	ing Ir	nprovemen	t Not Applicable
	-			•	-	
		_	_	_		

Outcome P1. Children have permanency and stability in their living situations. Item 10. Adoption – Complete only for children with a permanency goal of adoption

This item focuses on the permanency and stability of the child's living situation.

Reviewers answer questions regarding children who "have a plan of adoption and are legally free for adoption," and children who "have a plan of adoption but are not legally free for adoption."

Questions A and B should be answered for children who are legally free for adoption.

Question C should be answered for children who are not legally free for adoption.

Question D is used, in part, to determine if the agency is in substantial conformity with the ASFA requirements that when a TPR petition is filed the agency begins making efforts to identify an adoptive family for children with the goal of adoption.

For items 10 B and C, reviewers should note the reasons for delays in the adoption process. Reviewers should also determine if the delays are within the agency's ability to correct or not.

Delays that are within the agency's "ability to correct" refer to activities that are clearly the agency's responsibility (initiating all appropriate recruitment activities, filing court petitions, initiating home studies on prospective adoptive families).

Delays that are not within the agency's "ability to correct" include the failure of the court to free children for adoption, court appeals, or lack of response to aggressive recruitment activities.

Outcome P1: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.							
Item 10. Adoption – Complete only for children with a permanency goal of adoption (Case Record,							
Interviews with Caseworker)							
					N/A		
A. For children who are legally free for adoption, has an adoptive family been identified?							
B. For children who are legally free for adoption, are there current delays (more than 60							
days duration) in placing the child in an adop ability to correct? (N/A = Child not free for a							
C. For children who are <u>not</u> legally free for adoption, are there delays (more than 60 days duration) in freeing the child that are within the agency's ability to correct? (N/A = Child is free for adoption)							
D. Are efforts currently being made to either lo	cate, and plac	e the child in, an adoptive					
family (if the TPR petition has been filed or t	he child is free	e for adoption) or free the child					
for adoption? If yes, describe efforts:							
					<u> </u>		
Exploratory Issues							
 Is the child legally free for adoption Current efforts to identify an adoptive family 	v or logolly fro	a the shild for adaption					
 Current efforts to identify an adoptive family Barriers to placing the child for adoption 	y or legally fre						
 Barriers to placing the child for adoption Barriers to freeing the child for adoption 							
Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength	Area Needing Improvement	Not Applicable				
	ottorigti			, ppin	JUDIO		
		•					

Outcome P1. Children have permanency and stability in their living situations. Item 11. Permanency Goal of Other Planned Permanent Living Arrangement

This item focuses on the child's permanency goal of "other planned living arrangement."

This item should be completed only for children with a permanency goal of a planned living arrangement other than adoption, or return to family. If the child does not have a permanency goal of "other planned living arrangements," this item should be left blank.

This item is used, in part, to determine whether or not the agency is in substantial conformity with ASFA requirements that services be provided to assist children to attain permanency in their living arrangements.

Special Considerations:

If reviewers check "yes" for item 11-A, they must note the child's permanent living arrangement.

For item 11-B, reviewers should explore the reasons why other permanency goals were not considered.

For item 11, exploratory issues, reviewers should examine the appropriateness of a goal that ultimately rules out adoption, or return to family. The goal is to assess that the child's best interests have been thoroughly considered by the County agency in setting a goal of "other planned living arrangement," and that such a decision is continually reviewed for ongoing appropriateness.

Outcome P1: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.								
Item 11. Permanency Goal of other Planned Permanent Living Arrangement – (Case Record, Interviews with Caseworker, Foster Parent, Child, Parent)								
***Rate this item for all children who have goals of:								
1. guardianship to relatives,	_							
2. guardianship to other court appro	oved caretaker	S,						
 custody to relative and custody to other court approved of 	arotakar							
				Yes	No			
A. Is the child's permanency goal a planned liv	ving arrangeme	ent other than adoption, or return	to	103				
family? If yes, specify the permanent living		• · · ·						
B. Have other more permanent goals been co			d?					
C. Are services being provided to help the chi arrangement?	ld attain the go	al of another planned living						
Exploratory Issues								
Factors that were considered in determinir								
Reasons this goal was selected rather than		a the goal was initially establis	had					
Reviews of the goal for continuing appropriating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength	Area Needing Improvement	Not A	nnlica	ahla			
Rating for this indicator. (Oneck one)	Orengin	Area Needing improvement		ppnee				
			1					

Discussion of Permanency Outcome #1

Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings and all items in this outcome section (6-11).

No more than one of the six indicators may be determined to be "an area needing improvement" for this outcome to be rated as substantially achieved. If an indicator is marked "not applicable," then it is not counted in that tally.

DISCUSSION OF PERMANENCY OUTCOME # 1

Outcome P1. Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.

- Check the level of outcome achievement that best describes the extent to which this outcome is being or has been achieved, based on the interviews and case record review. In the box, support the level of outcome achievement selected by describing the indicators in the instrument that relate to the outcome.
- No more than one of the six indicators (items 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 & 11) may be determined by the reviewer to be an "area needing improvement" in order to rate this outcome as "substantially achieved."

an "area needing improvement" in order to rate this outcome as "substantially achieved."								
	Substantially	Partially	Not	Outcome Not				
	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	Applicable to				
				this Case				
Level of Outcome Achievement								
Level of Outcome Achievement								
Information from items 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 & 11 that suppor	ts rating							

Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children. Item 12. Proximity of Foster Care Placement

This item focuses on the proximity of a child's placement to the community in which the child's parent(s) reside.

Reviewers respond to the questions in this item using the child's current placement setting or the most recent foster care placement if the child has been discharged from foster care.

If the child's two parents are living separately, reviewers must determine which parent is the most involved in case planning and is most likely to be reunified with the child and then base decisions on the location of that parent's residence.

Reviewers will need to determine if the child is placed in the "same community" or "outside the community" in which the primary parent (as determined above) resides. In making this determination, reviewers should consider the following (1) identifiable neighborhoods, (2) school districts, (3) the actual distance between the parent's home and the placement, and (4) the general accessibility of the child in placement to family and other social institutions familiar to the child.

Reviewers should note when a child is placed "outside the community" for specific purposes such as meeting the individualized needs of the child or keeping the child in closer contact with the family than a same community placement would allow.

Special Considerations:

For item 12-A, proximity of placement, reviewers should consider the parent with whom the child has the most established relationship and/or is most likely to be reunified with.

For item 12-B, reviewers should distinguish between a placement that is made outside the community to provide a specialized service/environment for the child and a placement made because there were no existing resources in the community.

For item 12-C, reviewers should note that this item addresses the Federal visitation requirement when a child is placed outside the State. They should inquire about the supervision of the placement by the receiving State.

Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.								
Item 12. Proximity of Foster Care Placement (Case Records, Interviews with Caseworker and Parents)								
			Same	Same	Out of		Out of	
			Community	County	Cou	inty	State	
A. What is the proximity of the child's current, o	r most recent							
placement to the parents?							1	
					Yes	No	N/A	
B. For children placed outside the community o								
reason for the location of the placement clearly related to helping the child achieve his or her case plan goals? (N/A = Child not placed outside the County)								
C. For children placed outside the state, is the child visited at least quarterly by a								
caseworker of the supervising agency and a	a report filed to	the age	ncy holding cu	ustody?				
(N/A = Child not placed outside the State)								
Exploratory Issues								
Which parent is working with agency and m	lost likely to be	e reunifie	ed with child					
Reasons for placement settings								
How the placement location supports or inh				•				
Impact of placement location on maintaining								
Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength	Area N	leeding Impro	ovement	Not	Appl	icable	

Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children. **Item 13. Placement with Siblings**

This item attempts to distinguish the extent to which siblings are separated due to factors such as the availability of resources or disrupted placements rather than circumstances in which siblings' individual needs can only be met in separate placements.

Reviewers should consider siblings with whom the child lived prior to foster care placement or with whom the child would be expected to live if the child were not in foster care.

Special Considerations:

For item 13-B, reviewers should explore and then note the reasons why siblings are separated.

Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.							
Item 13. Placement with Siblings (Case Record and Interviews)							
			Yes	No	No siblings in foster care		
A. If the child has siblings, who also are in t							
B. If no, is there clear evidence that separa	ition is necessa	ry to meet the needs of					
the children?							
Exploratory Issues	or if applicable						
 Reasons siblings are not placed togeth Efforts made to place or keep siblings to 							
 History of siblings' placement together, 		ons for prior separations					
Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength	Area Needing Improve	ement	No	ot Applicable		
				_			

Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children. **Item 14. Visiting with Parents and Siblings in Foster Care**

This item focuses on the child's visitation with parents or siblings while in foster care.

Reviewers should determine the most typical visitation pattern in responding to questions in this item.

When reviewers note that visits occur infrequently, they should use the Exploratory Issues to determine if there are barriers to more frequent visiting.

Reviewers also should determine if other forms of contact are occurring, such as by telephone or mail.

Special Considerations:

For this item, it is very important that reviewers explore the reasons for visitation restrictions. If reviewers mark the box for "not applicable" because parental rights have been have been terminated, they need to note that information.

Visitation patterns may vary over time. Reviewers should look for the most typical visitation pattern.

For item 14E, the reviewer should look for the frequency of visits as ordered by the court and the agency's compliance with court ordered visitation. If the court order does not address visitation or leaves visitation to the county's discretion, the reviewer will need to make a determination as to the appropriateness of the frequency based on the child's age, needs, relationship with parents, etc.

Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.									
Item 14. Visiting with Parents and Siblings in Foster Care (Case Record, Interviews with Caseworker									
and Parents)									
		weekly		Les tha Mont	n	No Visits	N/A		
 A. What is the most typical pattern of visiting frequency between the child and parents? (N/A = Contact with parents is contrary to child's permanency goal) B. What is the most typical pattern of visiting frequency 									
between the child and siblings placed separa foster care? (N/A = No siblings placed separa	itely in								
						Yes	No	N/A	
C. Are other forms of contact in place between									
D. Are other forms of contact in place between	the child a	nd sibling	gs?						
E. Are visiting frequency and arrangements in a	accordance	e with Sta	ate policy?						
 Exploratory Issues Reasons for restrictions or prohibitions on visits Reasons for visiting less frequently than weekly 									
 Agency services/supports to encourage more frequent visiting Custody status of child, including termination of parental rights 									
Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength		a Needing	Improver	nent	Not	t Applic	able	
	j								

Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children. **Item 15. Preserving Connections**

This item focuses on the preservation of the child's connections.

Connections refer to ties with family members and other related or nonrelated individuals with whom the child in foster care had a significant, positive relationship prior to entering foster care.

The "characteristics" of the child refer to positive aspects of the values, beliefs, religion, language, traditions, and other factors that distinguish the identity of the child and the child's family.

Reviewers determine if a child's primary connections are preserved during the foster care placement.

Section B of this item pertains specifically to American Indian Children.

Special Considerations:

For item 15-A, reviewers need to make a professional judgment about the child's primary connections and then explore if those connections have been preserved through case planning and service delivery.
Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationsh						
Item 15. Preserving Connections (interviews	with Casew	orker, Paren				
			Substantially	Partially	Not	t at all
A. Are the primary connections and characterist	tics of the chi	d being				
preserved in the foster care placement?				Vaa		
D. Are the interacts of American Indian shildren				Yes	No	N/A
B. Are the interests of American Indian children Placement with American Indian families		sea mough.				
Referral to tribes?) { 					
Other ICWA provisions? (Specify)						
(N/A = child not American Indian)						
Exploratory Issues						1
 Primary connections for the child to neighbor 	orhood, comm	unity family	friends			
 Unique characteristics of the family and child 		•		beliefs. tra	aditior	ns.
background, etc.	a,					,
• How they are addressed in the agency's wo	rk with the fa	mily and child				
• How the foster care provider supports these		-				
Is the child an American Indian child						
Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength	Area Needi	ing Improveme	nt Not A	Applio	cable

Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children. **Item 16. Relative Placement**

This item focuses on placement of children with relatives, when possible.

Reviewers must determine the extent to which the agency identified relatives who had some reasonable degree of relationship with the child and with whom the child might reside. There does not need to be in the case record a formal evaluation of relatives with whom the child might reside, but for reviewers to answer yes to 16-B, there does need to be evidence, either through the case documentation o the case interviews, that relatives were evaluated and considered.

Reviewers should determine through case record review or interviews if relatives were identified ad considered as the placement for the child. They also should note the reason(s) for the child not being placed with a relative who was considered.

Special Considerations:

For item 16-B, reviewers must focus on the title IV-E requirement giving preference to placing the child with relatives and determine if the County considered such a placement and how (for example, seeking out and evaluating the child's relatives).

Outcome P2. The continuity of family relations	hips and con	nections is preserved for children.			
Item 16. Relative Placement (Case Record,	Interviews w	ith Caseworker, Child's Caretai	Yes	nents) No	N/A
A. Is the child in foster care placed with relativ	<u>es</u> ?		Tes	INU	IN/A
B. For children not placed with relatives, were		sidered for placement of the			
child? (N/A = Child placed with relatives)					
C. For children not placed with relatives, state	the reason:				
Exploratory Issues					
Extent to which relatives were sought out a					
 Reasons relatives were not evaluated, if a Reasons relatives were not used for place 		abla			
Rating for this indicator (Check one)	Strength	Area Needing Improvement	Not A	Applic	able
	ouongui	Allow Needing improvement		ppilo	
			1		

Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationships and connection is preserved for children. **Item 17. Relationship of Child in Care with Parents**

This item focuses on the nature of the parent/child relationship and requires that reviewers make professional qualitative judgments.

Reviewers can best make those judgments by considering the following when evaluating the parent-child relationship: (1) the child's and parents' expressed feeling toward each other, (2) evidence of sustained attachment throughout the placement, (3) the level of ongoing involvement of the parent(s) in the child's life during the placement, (4) the level of support the parent is able to give the child in care, and (5) the extent to which the integrity of the parent-child relationship is maintained.

If there is no evidence of a supportive parent-child relationship, reviewers should explore and note the reasons, for example, "parents whereabouts unknown."

Special Considerations:

For items 17 A and B, if reviewers check "yes" to A and B, they must note specific information showing how the County is supporting positive relationships between the child and parents.

In some cases, there are circumstances that preclude the County from attempting to support a relationship between the child and parent(s). These might include, for example, serious indicated abuse or other circumstances that might be harmful to a child. Under these circumstances, reviewers should check N/A for item 17B. Reviewers should not assume however that an emotionally supportive relationship between the parent(s) and child is inappropriate simply because the child is in foster care and/or was maltreated by the parent(s).

Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationships and connection is preserved for children.							
Item 17. Relationship of Child in Care with Parents (Interviews with Child, Parents, Foster Parent,							
Service Provider)							
			Yes	No	N/A		
A. Is there evidence of a strong, emotionally su	onship between the child in						
foster care and the child's parent(s)? (N/A =							
	Such a relation	Iship is contrary to the child's					
safety or permanency goal)	to on mointain a atnoner						
B. Where appropriate, has the agency made e							
emotionally supportive relationship between							
parent(s)? (N/A = Efforts not appropriate ba		safety or permanency goal)					
C. If no for either A or B above, specify the rea	ISON:						
Exploratory Issues							
Nature of current relationship from child's a	and parents' pe	rspectives					
• Factors impacting the child/parent relations	• •	•					
 Parental participation in activities with child 	-	unctions and special occasions					
Agency efforts to support a positive relation							
Rating for this indicator (Check one)	Strength	Area Needing Improvement	Not	Applic	able		

Discussion of Permanency Outcome #2

Reviewers should clearly record the link between their ratings and items 12-17 by providing supporting information.

For example, "Outcome is substantially achieved because the child is placed in close proximity to his parents, extended family, and neighborhood. Regular visitation with his parents and siblings has been facilitated by the agency. Relatives were assessed for placement, but not approved.

DISCUSSION OF PERMANENCY OUTCOME #2								
Outcome P2. The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.								
 Check the level of outcome achievement that best describes the extent to which this outcome is being or has been achieved, based on the interviews and case record review. In the box, support the level of outcome achievement selected by describing the indicators in the instrument that relate to the outcome. 								
 No more than one of the six indicators (items 12, 13, to be an "area needing improvement" in order to rate 								
	Substantially Achieved	Partially Achieved	Not Achieved	Outcome Not Applicable to this Case				
Level of Outcome Achievement								
Information from items 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 & 17 that su	pports rating							

Section III: Child and Family Well-Being

Outcome WB1. Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs. Item 18. Needs and Services of Child, parents, Foster Parents

This item focuses on the needs and services of the child, parent, and foster parents.

Reviewers must determine if the agency has identified the individual needs of the child(ren) and family in relation to the case goals or the agency's involvement with them.

The needs of foster parents refer to what they need to provide appropriate care and supervision to the child in their home.

Reviewers must identify which services are being provided and determine if those services match the identified needs.

Reviewers should note in particular whether or not the following services are needed and provided: (1) community based family support services, (2) time-limited family reunification services, and (3) adoption promotion and support services.

Reviewers should take special care to note whether the services provided are appropriately matched to the identified need. For example, if the agency identified the need to address parental substance abuse, reviewers should explore whether substance abuse assessment, prevention, education, or treatment services were provided.

Reviewers also should explore the accessibility and availability of services provided (for example, location and schedule).

For foster care cases in which the child is in a preadoptive home with termination of parental rights or relinquishment for adoption has been achieved, the adoptive family is considered the child's "family" for purposes of this question.

Special Considerations:

For items 18 A and B, reviewers should thoroughly explore the individual needs of each participant by determining if the needs were adequately identified and assessed and listing the services provided in relation to those needs. They should further explore and note the accessibility and availability of the services.

For item 18-B, services provided, it is important for reviewers to note identified needs for which services have not been provided.

Assessment of needs may take different forms, (a psychological or social evaluation conducted by another agency or by contract purchase). Reviewers also may find evidence during interviews with case workers or service providers that they have an in-depth understanding of the needs of the child and family.

SECTION III: CH	IILD AND	FAMILY WELL-BEI	NG		
Outcome WB1. Families have enhanced c	apacity to provid	le for their children's needs.			
Item 18. Needs and Services of Child, P	arents, Foster I	Parents (Case Record, Interview	s with		
Caseworker, Child, Parents, Fo	ster Parents, Se	ervice Providers)			
			Yes	No	N/A
A. Indicate below whether or not the majo	r needs of the ch	nild, parents, and foster parents,			
as they relate to the safety, permanency	and well-being,	have been adequately			
assessed and identified.					
1. The child					
The child's parents (N/A = services to permanency goal)	parent are cont	rary to child's safety or			
3. The child's foster parent (N/A = child	not in foster car	e)			
B. Indicate below whether or not the identi					
parents are addressed through appropri		· •			
indicated,community-based family supp					
services, and adoption promotion and se					
1. The child					
2. The child's parents (N/A = services to	parent are cont	rary to child's safety or			
permanency goal)					
3. The child's foster parent (N/A = child	not in foster car	e)			
List any services not provided for which a					
Exploratory Issues					
 What services are being provided in re 	lation to current	needs			
 Match of services to needs 					
 Accessibility and availability of services 	s e.g. location	schedule cost			
 Worker accessibility to foster parents 	s, e.g., lecatori,				
 Is child placed in setting most appropria 	ate and family-lil	ke and suited to the child's needs			
 Are services intensive enough to meet 					
Rating for this indicator (Check one)	Strength	Area Needing Improvement	Not	Applic	rahle
Rating for this indicator (oneck one)	Ollength	Area Needing improvement	Not	лррік	Japie
		I			

Outcome WB1. Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs. **Item 19. Child and Family Involvement in Case Planning**

This item is used, in part, to determine the agency's substantial conformity with regulatory requirements that the case plan be developed jointly with the parents.

Reviewers will need to determine the ability of the child(ren) to participate actively in case planning activities. This capacity will vary among children. Most school-age children can be expected to participate to some extent if they are verbal and understand most of the events occurring in their lives.

To determine the level of participation by parents and children, reviewers should identify the specific activities in which they have input or involvement. These might include, for example: (1) identifying strengths and needs, (2) requesting services and service providers, (3) establishing goals in case plans, (4) evaluating progress toward goals, and (5) attending case planning meetings.

Reviewers also should identify barriers to child and parent participation in the case planning process.

Item B should be completed for children in foster care. reviewers should look for procedural safeguards that protect the parent's interests, such as being notified of their visitation rights or changes in their child's placement, or regarding parental rights in relation to removal of a child or determinations affecting visitation privileges.

Special Considerations:

For item 19-A, reviewers should thoroughly explore specific case planning activities open to child and parent participation and not assume that a parent's signature on a case plan signifies involvement. Moreover, reviewers should explore how the agency engaged the child and parent and in what specific activities.

In general, case plan development by the agency for the parents' review and signature does not constitute active parental involvement in case planning.

Outcome WB1. Families have enhanced capa			Parent(s) ()	hild			
Item 19. Child and Family Involvement in Case Planning (Interviews with Caseworker, Parent(s), Child, Service Providers)								
					N/A			
A. Indicate below whether or not the parent/ca								
actively involved in the case planning activi								
1. Child (N/A = child not old enough or inc								
2. Parent/caretaker (N/A = parental/careta	aker involveme	nt is contrary to child's safety or						
permanency goal) B. Are procedural safeguards in place with re-	coact to parant	al rights portaining to the						
removal of children from home, changes in								
child not in foster care)	placemente, a							
Exploratory Issues								
 Involvement in identifying needs and service 	ices, establishi	ng goals and evaluating progress						
 Reasons for noninvolvement 								
 Notification of parents/caretakers when ch 								
Rating for this indicator (Check one)	Strength	Area Needing Improvement	Not A	Applic	able			

Outcome WB1. Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs. **Item 20. Worker Visits with Child**

This item focuses on worker visits with the child.

Visits are defined as face-to-face contacts between the worker and child.

Reviewers should determine the most typical pattern of visiting since the actual frequency may vary for different time periods.

When reviewers note that visits occur infrequently, they should use the Exploratory Issues to determine barriers to more frequent visiting.

Special Considerations:

If worker contacts with the child are less than monthly, the reviewers should explore and note the reasons why.

Reviewers should consider agency policies regarding visitation frequency when addressing this item.

Outcome WB1. Families have enhanced capa	city to provide	for their chi	ildren's nee	eds.			
Item 20. Worker Visits with Child (Case rec					ster Par	ents)	
			Weekly Bi- weekly		Monthly	ť	_ess han onthly
A. What has been the most typical pattern of v between the caseworker and the child durin the last 6 months before the case was close	g the last 6 m	onths (or					
		•/·		11	Yes	No	N/A
B. Where visits are occurring less frequently the service providers who are visiting the child a standards?							
 Exploratory Issues Child's needs for contacts with worker Factors impacting frequency of visits 							
 Reasons for infrequent visiting, if applicable 	e						
Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength	Area Nee	eding Impr	ovement	Not A	\pplic	able
		_					

Outcome WB1. Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs. **Item 21. Worker Visits with Parent(s)**

This item focuses on worker visits with the parent(s).

Visits are defined as face-to-face contacts between the worker and the parent(s).

Reviewers should determine the most typical pattern of visiting since the actual frequency may vary for different time periods.

When reviewers note that visits occur infrequently, they should use the Exploratory Issues to determine barriers to more frequent visiting.

Special Considerations:

Reviewers should consider agency policies regarding visitation frequency when addressing this item.

If worker contacts with the parent(s) are less than monthly, the reviewers should explore and note the reasons why.

Outcome WB1. Families have enhanced capa	city to provide f	or their child	ren's needs	5.			
Item 21. Worker Visits with Parent(s) (Case					s), Fo	oster	
Parents) Weekly Bi-Weekly A. What has been the most typical pattern of visiting frequency between the caseworker and the parent(s) during the last 6 months (or the last 6 months before the case was closed, if Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2" A. What has been the most typical pattern of visiting frequency between the caseworker and the parent(s) during the last 6 Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2				Monthly	th	ess nan nthly	N/A
applicable)? (N/A = Visiting with parents is contrary to child's safety or permanency goal)							
Yes B. Where visits are occurring less frequently than monthly, are there other social work staff or service providers who are visiting the parent(s) at least monthly or as required by State standards? Exploratory Issues • Parents' needs for contacts with worker • Factors impacting frequency of visits						No	N/A
Reasons for infrequent visiting, if applicable Rating for this indicator (Check one)	e Strength	Area Needi	ng Improv	ement	Not /	Applic	able

Discussion of Child and Family Well-Being Outcome #1

Reviewers should link the rating to items 18-21. For example, "this outcome was partially achieved because the child's need to develop skills to manage her behavior was not met through appropriate services, and worker contact with the child and parents was sporadic."

DISCUSSION OF CHILD AND FAMILY WELL-BEING OUTCOME #1								
Outcome WB1. Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs.								
 Check the level of outcome achievement that best describes the extent to which this outcome is being or has been achieved based on the interviews and case record review. In the box, support the level of outcome achievement selected by describing the indicators in the instrument that relate to the outcome 								
 No more than one of the four indicators (items 18, 19 an "area needing improvement" in order to rate this of 				viewer to be				
	Substantially Achieved	Partially Achieved	Not Achieved	Outcome Not Applicable to this Case				
Level of Outcome Achievement								
Information from items 18, 19, 20 & 21 that supports	rating	I						

Outcome WB2. Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs. **Item 22. Educational Needs of the Child**

This item focuses on the educational needs of the child.

If the child is in foster care, reviewers should answer questions A and B.

If the child is receiving CPS Case Planning and Case Management services, reviewers should respond to question B only; (item A is checked "N/A" if the child is not in placement).

Reviewers should note if the child has attended multiple schools as a result of being in foster care. Only those changes in schools resulting from the child's placement in foster care should be recorded in this item; normal changes, such as the transition from elementary to middle school, should not be noted.

Reviewers should determine whether or not the child has any identified educational needs that should be addressed through appropriate services.

The term "early intervention" refers to early intervention programs operated by the State's education system for infants and toddlers who have developmental delays.

For item A-6, "advocacy" refers to efforts by the agency or caseworker to obtain educational services for the child. This might include, for example, arranging for priority testing for special education or other special placement classes or meeting with school personnel to address the child's performance. This item is applicable whether or not the child is in special education.

Outcome WB2. Children receive appropriate s	services to meet	t their educational needs.					
Item 22. Educational Needs of the Child (Case Record, Interviews with Caseworker, Child, Foster							
Parents, Parents)							
			Yes	No	N/A		
A. If the child is in foster care, has the child be	een enrolled in r	nultiple schools as the result of					
being placed in foster care? (N/A = child is							
B. Indicate below whether or not the child's ed							
following ways:		3					
1. Special education classes (N/A =	no identified sp	pecial education needs)					
2. Normal grade placement (N/A = 0							
3. Services for identified educationa							
noted)	(
4. Early intervention for preschool c	hildren (N/A = e	arly intervention not needed)					
5. Inclusion of school records in cas							
foster care)	,	5					
6. Advocacy with the education/sch	ool system (N/A	= no identified needs/child not					
school age)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
7. Attention to education in case pla	anning (N/A = no	o identified needs/child not					
school age)	•						
Giving child's education records t	to foster parents	s (N/A = child not in foster care)					
Exploratory Issues							
• Reasons for changing schools, if applicab	le						
Testing/evaluation to determine education		d					
Current functioning in school							
• Identified needs of child related to school	performance						
• Services provided to address educational							
Match of services to identified needs							
Worker activities to address educational n	leeds						
Rating for this indicator (Check one)	Strength	Area Needing Improvement	Not A		able		
······································	j	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			1				

Discussion of Child and Family Well-Being Outcome #2

Reviewers should link their ratings to item 22, providing supporting information.

For example, "This outcome was not achieved because the child had identified educational needs that were not addressed. The worker informed the teacher that he would discuss the child's needs with the parents and schedule a conference between the parents and teacher but then never made contact with the parents."

DISCUSSION OF CHILD AND FAMILY WELL-BEING OUTCOME #2

Outcome WB2. Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs.

- Check the level of outcome achievement that best describes the extent to which this outcome is being or has been achieved based on the interviews and case record review. In the box, support the level of outcome achievement selected by describing the indicators in the instrument that relate to the outcome
- This one indicator for this outcome (item 22) must be determined by the reviewer to be a "strength" in order to rate this outcome as "substantially achieved."

	Substantially	Partially	Not	Outcome not
	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	Applicable to this Case
Level of Outcome Achievement				
Information from item 22 that supports rating				

Outcome WB3. Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs. Item 23. Physical Health of the Child

This item focuses on the physical health needs of the child.

Reviewers should answer questions A and B if the child is in foster care.

Reviewers should answer question B only for children receiving CPS Case Planning and Case Management services.

Health care screening in this item refers to the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment (EPSDT) or other comprehensive medical examinations.

Preventive health and dental care refers to both the initial and periodic age-appropriate examinations and maintenance procedures designed to avoid, detect, and treat health or dental problems.

If reviewers check "yes" for item 23B, 4 and 5, treatment of identified health and dental needs, reviewers should note what those needs are and how they are being met.

Reviewers must determine if the child has any identified health needs. If this information is not in the case record, reviewers should address this item through interviews with the child, parents, or foster parents.

Outcome WB3. Children receive adequate se	rvices to meet t	heir physical and menta	al health n	eeds.		
Item 23. Physical Health of the Child (Case					Pare	nts.
Parents)	·····, ·····		.,,			,
				Yes	No	N/A
A. If the child is in foster care, was an initial he	ealth screening	or other medical exam	ination)			
provided within the time frame specified in t						
care)	0	,				
· · · · · ·		Substantially	Partially	Nota	at all	N/A
B. Indicate below whether or not the child's ph	ysical health ne	eds	•			
are being addressed in the following way:						
1. Preventive health care						
2. Preventive dental care						
3. Immunizations						
4. Treatment for identified health needs (N/	A = no identified					
needs)						
5. Treatment for identified dental needs (N/	A = no identified					
needs)						
6. Giving health records to foster parents (N	I/A = child not ir					
foster care)						
Exploratory Issues						
State's guidelines for timing of initial health	n examinations f	or children entering fos	ster care			
 How comprehensive medical examinations 	s, beyond initial	screenings, are handle	ed			
 Type and timing of initial screening received 	ed by child in for	ster care				
Recency of immunizations	-					
 Periodicity of subsequent health screening 	s and preventiv	e dental care				
Current identified health and dental needs						
How identified health and dental needs are	e being treated					
 How the agency tracks medical needs and 	•					
Does foster parent (provider) have copies		records				
Rating for this indicator (Check one)	Strength	Area Needing Improv	vement	Not A	solica	able
3 1 1 1 1 1	J	J				

Outcome WB3. Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs. **Item 24. Mental Health of the Child**

This item focuses on the mental health needs of the child.

Reviewers should answer questions A and B for children in foster care. These questions should be answered whether or not the State has guidelines that require initial mental health evaluations upon a child's entry into foster care or that specify time frames for conducting those evaluations.

Reviewers should answer items 24 A and B if the child is in out-of-home care; for CPS Case Planning and Case Management services, reviewers answer item B only.

Mental health screening or assessment refers to a psychological or medical evaluation designed to detect mental, emotional, social, or psychological needs of the child that should be addressed through appropriate services.

For item B, reviewers should determine if the child has specific mental health needs and, if so, are services being provided that appropriately address the identified needs.

Outcome WB3. Children receive adequate service	ces to meet t	heir r	hvsical and m	ental	nealth	needs.	
Item 24. Mental Health of the Child (Interviews							
Providers)							
					No	No State	N/A
						Guidelines	
A. If the child is in foster care, was an initial menta							
assessment provided upon entry into foster car							
specified in the State's guidelines, if applicable	e)? (N/A = ch	ild no	ot in foster				
care)							
			Substantially	' Pa	rtially	Not at all	N/A
B. Indicate below whether or not the agency is ad							
child's mental health needs in the following way	ys:						
1. Assessment or screening				_			
2. Treatment for identified needs (N/A = no iden	ntified needs)					
Exploratory Issues				4 a ulua au	f		
Assessment for mental health needs at initial	agency invol	veme	ent or upon en	tering	roster	care	
Current mental health needs Samiana provided for mental health needs							
Services provided for mental health needs							
Match of services to identified needs	Strop oth	A				Not Applia	abla
Rating for this indicator: (Check one)	Strength	Area	a Needing Imp	provei	nent	Not Applic	able

Discussion of Child and Family Well-Being Outcome #3

Reviewers should link their ratings to items 23 and 24, providing supporting information. For example, "Outcome is partially achieved because the child has unmet treatment needs in the areas of health and dental care (the child needs to see a specialist for asthma and requires specialized orthodontic work; the foster parents cannot find a local provider who accepts Medicaid so treatment has been delayed). The child's need for assistance in managing her behavior is being met through counseling services at the local mental health clinic.

DISCUSSION OF CHILD AND FAMILY WELL-BEING OUTCOME #3

Outcome WB3. Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.

- Check the level of outcome achievement that best describes the extent to which this outcome is being or has been achieved based on the interviews and case record review. In the box, support the level of outcome achievement selected by describing the indicators in the instrument that relate to the outcome
- Both indicators for this outcome (items 23 & 24) must be determined by the reviewer to be a "strength" in order to rate this outcome as "substantially achieved."

this outcome as "substantially achieved."				
	Substantially	Partially	Not	Outcome Not
	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	Applicable to
				this Case
Level of Outcome Achievement				
Information from Items 23 & 24 that supports rating				

		ating Sur						
Check the nonshaded box for each outcome and	d performance indicator that correspor Performance Indicator Ratings			onds to the rating assigned by the reviewer Outcome Ratings				
Outcome or Performance Indicator	Strength	Area Needing Improvement	N/A	Substantially Achieved	Partially Achieved	Not Achieved	N/A	
Item 1. Assessment of Reports Not Accepted	_							
and thoroughness of investigations.								
Item 2. Timeliness of initiating investigations of								
reports of maltreatment.								
Item 3. Repeat maltreatment								
Outcome S1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse/neglect.								
Item 4. Services to family to protect child(ren)								
in home and prevent removal								
Item 5. Risk of harm to child(ren)								
Outcome S2. Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and								
appropriate.								
Item 6. Foster care re-entries								
Item 7. Stability of foster care placements								
Item 8. Permanency goal for child								
Item 9. Independent living services								
Item 10. Adoption								
Item 11. Permanency goal of other planned								
permanent living arrangement								
Outcome P1. Children have permanency and								
stability in their living situation.								
Item 12. Proximity of foster care placement								
Item 13. Placement with siblings								
Item 14. Visiting with parents and siblings in								
foster care								
Item 15. Preserving connections								
Item 16. Relative placement								
Item 17. Relationship of child in care with								
parents								
Outcome P2. The continuity of family								
relationships and connections is preserved for children.								
Item 18. Needs and services of child, parents, foster parents								
Item 19. Child and family involvement in case planning								
Item 20. Worker visits with child			ſ					
Item 21. Worker visits with parents								
Outcome WB1. Families have enhanced								
capacity to provide for their children's needs.								
Item 22. Educational needs of the child								
Outcome WB2. Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs.								
Item 23. Physical health of the child								
Item 24. Mental health of the child								
services to meet their physical and mental								
Outcome WB3. Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.								

bireview\bireview:biennialreviewinstrument