

## North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services **Division of Social Services**

325 North Salisbury Street • Mail Service Center 2439 Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-2439

Courier # 56-20-25

Beverly Eaves Perdue, Governor Lanier M. Cansler, Secretary

Sherry S. Bradsher, Director (919) 733-3055

March 15, 2011

## DEAR COUNTY DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

#### ATTENTION: CHILD WELFARE PROGRAM MANAGERS. SUPERVISORS AND CHILD WELFARE WORKERS

#### **REACHING FOR EXCELLENCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN PRACTICE (REAP)** SUBJECT:

This letter is to provide an update regarding the Reaching for Excellence and Accountability in Practice (REAP) Initiative, whose leadership is shared by the North Carolina Division of Social Services and the North Carolina Association of County Directors of Social Services. North Carolina continues to receive assistance from the Atlantic Coast Child Welfare Implementation Center (ACCWIC) in the development and implementation of this technical assistance model.

REAP is intended to change the way in which county departments and the state division interact to improve outcomes. It is focused on achieving a standard set of outcomes, promoting best practices, and engaging local communities in the work of child safety, permanency and well-being. REAP is also a joint response to address concerns about some inconsistency in the Division's provision of technical assistance.

REAP has two essential components. One is a web-based Technical Assistance Gateway, which is being developed in partnership with UNC-Chapel Hill. The TA Gateway will provide efficient customer service to county departments and allow for a coordinated approach to the policy and practice guidance provided by Division staff. The second component of REAP is a new self-assessment model. This model helps county departments evaluate their performance in certain key child welfare achievement areas, and then engage community stakeholders in developing an Achievement Plan in response to identified strengths and needs. This self-assessment model is currently being piloted with eight county departments.

The REAP pilot began February 2011. Congratulations to the following counties for making their commitment to the process:

- Pitt Union Craven Hoke • Pender
- Cumberland

# Scotland

Wilson

### What is the counties' commitment?

To enhance use of data to track their performance and manage their resources to positively move Child Welfare Achievements in the county.

- Completion of a community-driven self assessment with input from parent and youth stakeholders, and the development and implementation of an achievement plan.
- Assign a staff member who will help facilitate the REAP process

## What is the Division's commitment?

- Provide the pilot counties with clear data regarding county level performance on Child Welfare Achievements.
- Support the pilot counties through a training plan, and
- Assign a staff member who will help facilitate the REAP process

Division and County staff entered into REAP with the expectation that this is a *pilot and learning process* of the self-assessment and the achievement plan. It is fully *expected and hoped* that much will be learned as to what is effective and what is not. Those lessons learned will be applied as REAP is expanded into additional counties. Leadership for the REAP pilot is shared by the county and state, with a county co-leader and a Division co-leader.

In an effort to communicate the energy and commitment level of the pilot counties with all 100 counties, the following statements were shared during the February kickoff meeting:

"This is a good opportunity to look at ourselves. Excited about seeing uniform practice."

"Looking forward to helping us rebuild community partnerships."

"Build on our strengths and needs for services to families we work with."

"Results do matter."

"Eager to learn how to help the Division better serve counties."

"This will enhance what we do and make our community meetings stronger."

"I'm excited and charged up about REAP."

"This is a partnership which allows counties to be vulnerable."

"Every day we ask families to be vulnerable and to call me when life is rough. I can't ask that of families without asking that of myself."

The directory of co-leads for each county is included along with the Child Welfare Achievements document that each county will use to guide their assessment and achievement plan. If you have questions about REAP, you may contact Rita Bland, <u>rita.bland@dhhs.nc.gov</u>, 919-334-1167.

Sincerely,

hevin Weller

Kevin Kelley, Interim Section Chief Child Welfare Services

# **REAP PILOT CO-LEAD DIRECTORY**

Pilot county	Division Support Staff	County Lead Contact
Craven	Evan Friedel	Terry Brubaker
	evan.friedel@dhss.nc.gov	terry.brubaker@craven.nc.gov
	Ph:336-761-2377	Ph: 252-636-4900-Ext. 3081
Cumberland	Rick Zechman	Sarah Guill
	eric.zechman@dhss.nc.gov	sarahguill@ccdssnc.com
	Ph:919-334-1108	Ph: 910-677-2058
Hoke	Ellen Thomas-Pullen	Kristi Pigford
	ellen.pullen@dhss.nc.gov	kpigford@hokecounty.org
	Ph:919-334-1118	Ph: (910) 878-1925
Pender	Heather Lockey	Dara Burleson
	heather.lockey@dhss.nc.gov	dburleson@pendercountync.gov
	Ph: 919-334-1077	Ph: (910) 259-1377
Pitt	Emily Jackson	Margaret Dixon
	emily.jackson@dhhs.nc.gov	mmdixon@pittcountync.com
	Ph: 919-334-1094	Ph: (252) 902-1068
Scotland	Janet Thursby	April Snead
	janet.thursby@dhhs.nc.gov	asnead@scotlandcounty.org
	Ph:252-446-2166	Ph: (910) 277-2525 Ext. 3371
Union	Joyce Enos	Dr. Pat Krikorian
	joyce.enos@dhhs.nc.gov	patricia.krikorian@co.union.nc.us
	Ph:336-315-7073	Ph: 704-296-4401
Wilson	Cynthia O'Briant	Kathy Stone
	cynthia.obriant@dhhs.nc.gov	kstone@wilson-co.com
	Ph:919-334-1165	Ph: 252-206-4000
Jeff Olson		
Jeff.olson@dhhs.nc.gov		
Ph: 919-334-1137		
Rita Bland		
Rita.bland@dhhs.nc.gov		
Ph: 919-334-1167 or 252-		
363-2744		

## CHILD WELFARE ACHIEVEMENTS

Core Child Welfare Achievements	What is the	What was NC's	Is this a Federal or
	Expected	Performance in	NC Outcome?
Prevention	Statewide	FY2010?	
	Performance		
	Standard for		
	FY2011?		
The annual rate of child maltreatment	TBD	TBD	
Core Child Welfare Achievements	What is the	What was NC's	Is this a Federal or
	Expected	Performance in	NC Outcome?
Child Protective Services	Statewide	FY2010?	
	Performance		
	Standard for FY2011?		
The annual % of maltreated children who are not repeat	94.6%	93.42	Federal
victims of indicated maltreatment	94.0%	93.42	reuerai
The annual % of maltreatment following In-Home Services	TBD	TBD	NC
The annual % of matreatment following in-fiome services	IDD	IDD	NC
The annual 0/ of CDS generate servered out empropriately	TBD	TBD	
The annual % of CPS reports screened out appropriately Core Child Welfare Achievements	What is the	What was NC's	Is this a Federal or
Core China Wenare Achievenients	Expected	Performance in	NC Outcome?
Foster Care	Statewide	FY2010?	
roster Care	Performance	1 1 2010.	
	Standard for		
	FY2011?		
The annual % of children in foster care who have not been	99.68%	99.86%	Federal
maltreated by their foster home			
The annual % of foster youth with 2 or fewer placements who	86%	88%	Federal
are in care for 12 months or less			
The annual % of foster youth with 2 or fewer placements who	65.4%	69.11%	Federal
are in care for 12 months but less than 24 months			
The annual % of foster youth with 2 or fewer placements who	41.8%	37.85%	Federal
are in care for more than 24 months			
Achieving permanency for children in foster care			Federal
{Permanency Composite 3}			
1. Achieving permanency for children in foster care for			
extended periods of time.			
2. Children growing up in foster care The annual % non white children who enter foster care	TBD	TBD	NC
The annual % of non white children who enter toster care	TBD	TBD	NC NC
North Carolina Passing the Federal IV-E Audit		עמז	INC
Core Child Welfare Achievements	What is the	What was NC's	Is this a Federal or
	Expected	Performance in	NC Outcome?
Adoptions/Permanency	Statewide	FY2010?	ite outcome.
	Performance		
	Standard for		
	FY2011?		
The annual % of children experiencing re-entries into foster	9.9%	5.7%	Federal
care within 12 months of their discharge			
Reunification			
	<b>55.0</b> 0/	58.11%	Federal
The percentage of youth who achieve permanency through	75.2%		
The percentage of youth who achieve permanency through reunification within 12 months (assuming in care 8 days)	75.2%		
The percentage of youth who achieve permanency through reunification within 12 months (assuming in care 8 days) Adoption			
The percentage of youth who achieve permanency through reunification within 12 months (assuming in care 8 days) Adoption Annual percentage of children who left foster care through	36.6%	35.11%	Federal
The percentage of youth who achieve permanency through reunification within 12 months (assuming in care 8 days) Adoption Annual percentage of children who left foster care through adoption in last 12 months who were adopted within 24		35.11%	Federal
The percentage of youth who achieve permanency through reunification within 12 months (assuming in care 8 days) Adoption Annual percentage of children who left foster care through adoption in last 12 months who were adopted within 24 months of their last entry into foster care	36.6%		
The percentage of youth who achieve permanency through reunification within 12 months (assuming in care 8 days) Adoption Annual percentage of children who left foster care through adoption in last 12 months who were adopted within 24 months of their last entry into foster care The annual % of adoptions that disrupt or dissolve	36.6% TBD	TBD	NC
The percentage of youth who achieve permanency through reunification within 12 months (assuming in care 8 days) Adoption Annual percentage of children who left foster care through adoption in last 12 months who were adopted within 24 months of their last entry into foster care The annual % of adoptions that disrupt or dissolve The annual % of non-white children who are free for	36.6%		
The percentage of youth who achieve permanency through reunification within 12 months (assuming in care 8 days) Adoption Annual percentage of children who left foster care through adoption in last 12 months who were adopted within 24 months of their last entry into foster care The annual % of adoptions that disrupt or dissolve	36.6% TBD	TBD	NC

Core Child Welfare Achievements LINKS	What is the Expected Statewide Performance	What was NC's Performance	Is this a Federal or NC Outcome?
LINKS ANNUAL SURVEY	Standard for FY2009?	in FY2011?	
The annual % of youth who left the foster care system who had sufficient economic resources to meet their daily needs from age 18 – 21			NC
The annual % of youth who left the foster care system who had a safe and stable place to live from age 18 – 21			NC
The annual % of youth who left the foster care system who attained academic or vocational/educational goals that were in keeping with the youth's abilities and interests from age $18 - 21$			NC
The annual % of youth who left the foster care system who had, upon exiting foster care, a personal support network of at least five responsible adults who remained supportive of the young adult over time from age $18 - 21$			NC
The annual % of youth who left the foster care system who avoided illegal/high-risk behaviors from age 18 – 21			NC
The annual % of youth who left the foster care system who postponed parenthood until financially established and emotionally mature from age 18 - 21			NC
The annual % of youth who left the foster care system who had access to physical and mental health services, as well as a means to pay for those services from age $18 - 21$			NC
The annual % of youth (age 18-21) eligible to sign a CARS agreement who sign a CARS agreement	TBD	TBD	NC
Core Child Welfare Achievements WELL-BEING	What is the Expected Statewide Performance	What was NC's Performance	Is this a Federal or NC Outcome?
	Standard for FY2011?	in FY2011?	
Fostering Connections Outcomes (TBD)   Core Child Welfare Achievements	TBD What is the Expected Statewide	TBD What was NC's	NC Is this a Federal or
SYSTEMIC FACTORS FY2009 CW Staffing Survey	Performance Standard for	Performance in FY2010?	NC Outcome?
The annual social worker departure rate (excluding retirements, deaths, and promotions)	TBD	TBD	NC
The annual % of supervisors certified in child welfare supervision	TBD	TBD	NC
The annual % of managers certified in child welfare supervision	TBD	TBD	NC
The annual % of local DSS's that meet minimum child welfare caseload standards	TBD	TBD	NC

Core Child Welfare Achievements Child Protective Services	What is the Expected Statewide Performance Standard for FY2011?	What was NC's Performance in FY2011?	Is this a Federal or NC Outcome?
The annual percentage of children who were initiated for a CPS assessment within policy timeframes (timeliness)			Federal
Core Child Welfare Achievements Foster Care	Standard	Current NC Performance	Federal or NC Outcomes?
The annual % of foster children who have been in care "X" amount of time that passed end of grade testing or reached grade level	TBD	TBD	NC
The annual % (number) of children under the age of 12 in group care		1.55% age 1-5 12.27% age 6-12	
The annual % of children for whom a monthly caseworker Visit was conducted	90%	67.1%	Federal

Core Child Welfare Achievements NYTD*	What is the Expected Statewide Performance Standard for FY2011?	What was NC's Performance in FY2011?	Is this a Federal or NC Outcome?
The annual % of youth who exit foster care after the age of 14, and can demonstrate financial self-sufficiency	TBD	TBD	Federal
The annual % of youth who exit foster care after the age of 14 and do not have an experience with homelessness	TBD	TBD	Federal
The annual % of youth who exit foster care after the age of 14 and meet educational attainment	TBD	TBD	Federal
The annual % of youth who exit care after the age of 14 who have positive connections with adults	TBD	TBD	Federal
The annual % of youth who exit care after the age of 14 who do not experience high risk behaviors	TBD	TBD	Federal
The annual % of youth who exit care after the age of 14 who have access to health insurance.	TBD	TBD	Federal

\*NYTD information will not be available until FY2012.