

**TEAM 1**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - April 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	ISSUE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE	
	CASES		CASE				NO		NO		NO	RATE		
11 BUNCOMBE	12	\$3,036	12								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
20 CHEROKEE	3	\$508	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
22 CLAY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	1	\$399	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
44 HAYWOOD	5	\$730	4					1	\$68		1	\$68	9.32%	90.68%
45 HENDERSON	5	\$555	5								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
50 JACKSON	2	\$248	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
56 MACON	2	\$303	1					1	\$43		1	\$43	14.19%	85.81%
57 MADISON	2	\$180	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
75 POLK	1	\$290	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
87 SWAIN	2	\$288	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	2	\$630	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>\$7,167</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$111</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$111</b>	<b>1.55%</b>	<b>98.45%</b>	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
<b>STATE</b>	<b>609</b>	<b>\$130,124</b>	<b>585</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$833</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$940</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$866</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>\$2,639</b>	<b>2.03%</b>	<b>97.97%</b>	

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
6%	6%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	13%	8%	4%

**TEAM 2**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - April 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY		
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE	
2 ALEXANDER	1	\$315	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
3 ALLEGHANY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
5 ASHE	1	\$155	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
6 AVERY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
12 BURKE	6	\$873	6								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
14 CALDWELL	6	\$1,042	5					1	\$28		1	\$28	2.69%	97.31%	
23 CLEVELAND	8	\$1,966	8								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
59 MCDOWELL	3	\$334	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
61 MITCHELL											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
81 RUTHERFORD	6	\$1,940	6								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
95 WATAUGA	2	\$293	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
97 WILKES	5	\$771	2				2	\$129		1	\$261	3	\$390	50.58%	49.42%
00 YANCEY	6	\$973	6								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>\$8,662</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$129</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$289</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$418</b>	<b>4.83%</b>	<b>95.17%</b>		
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****		
<b>STATE</b>	<b>609</b>	<b>\$130,124</b>	<b>585</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$833</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$940</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$866</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>\$2,639</b>	<b>2.03%</b>	<b>97.97%</b>		

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
7%	7%	7%	0%	0%	20%	14%	20%	33%	17%	16%

**TEAM 3**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - April 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
4 ANSON	6	\$954	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
13 CABARRUS	8	\$1,649	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	13	\$2,843	13							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	15	\$3,669	13			2	\$169			2	\$169	4.61%	95.39%
49 IREDELL	7	\$814	6			1	\$96			1	\$96	11.79%	88.21%
55 LINCOLN	4	\$185	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	46	\$9,344	43			2	\$353	1	\$37	3	\$390	4.17%	95.83%
62 MONTGOMERY	2	\$441	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
77 RICHMOND	6	\$1,113	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	8	\$1,824	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
84 STANLY	4	\$967	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	5	\$1,894	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>\$25,697</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$618</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$37</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>\$655</b>	<b>2.55%</b>	<b>97.45%</b>
*****													
<b>STATE</b>	<b>609</b>	<b>\$130,124</b>	<b>585</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$833</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$940</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$866</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>\$2,639</b>	<b>2.03%</b>	<b>97.97%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
20%	20%	20%	0%	0%	50%	66%	10%	4%	25%	25%

**TEAM 4**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - April 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
1 ALAMANCE	6	\$1,482	5			1	\$67			1	\$67	4.52%	95.48%
17 CASWELL	1	\$16	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	9	\$1,853	8					1	\$222	1	\$222	11.98%	88.02%
30 DAVIE	3	\$491	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
34 FORSYTH	21	\$4,365	20			1	\$81			1	\$81	1.86%	98.14%
41 GUILFORD	33	\$9,273	32					1	\$57	1	\$57	0.61%	99.39%
68 ORANGE	5	\$1,193	4					1	\$54	1	\$54	4.53%	95.47%
73 PERSON	4	\$830	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
76 RANDOLPH	8	\$1,673	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	7	\$905	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
85 STOKES	3	\$876	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	6	\$1,317	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN	1	\$38	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>\$24,312</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$148</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$333</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$481</b>	<b>1.98%</b>	<b>98.02%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>609</b>	<b>\$130,124</b>	<b>585</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$833</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$940</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$866</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>\$2,639</b>	<b>2.03%</b>	<b>97.97%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	19%	17%	0%	0%	20%	16%	30%	38%	21%	18%

**TEAM 5**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - April 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
19 CHATHAM	1	\$59	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
32 DURHAM	15	\$3,208	15							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
35 FRANKLIN	3	\$577	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE	5	\$578	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
42 HALIFAX	8	\$2,000	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	7	\$1,493	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	12	\$1,971	12							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
53 LEE	3	\$868	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	8	\$2,071	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	6	\$537	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
92 WAKE	27	\$7,123	25	2	\$435					2	\$435	6.11%	93.89%
93 WARREN	4	\$622	3	1	\$243					1	\$243	39.07%	60.93%
98 WILSON	8	\$2,087	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>\$23,194</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$678</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$678</b>	<b>2.92%</b>	<b>97.08%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>609</b>	<b>\$130,124</b>	<b>585</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$833</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$940</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$866</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>\$2,639</b>	<b>2.03%</b>	<b>97.97%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	18%	18%	75%	81%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	26%

**TEAM 6**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - April 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
9 BLADEN	7	\$384	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	6	\$1,343	5					1	\$38	1	\$38	2.83%	97.17%
24 COLUMBUS	7	\$1,982	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	27	\$5,754	26	1	\$155					1	\$155	2.69%	97.31%
31 DUPLIN	4	\$1,131	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	4	\$883	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	5	\$1,261	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	10	\$2,496	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
71 PENDER	2	\$183	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
78 ROBESON	20	\$5,482	20							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
82 SAMPSON	6	\$1,820	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	5	\$1,118	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>\$23,837</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$155</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$38</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$193</b>	<b>0.81%</b>	<b>99.19%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>609</b>	<b>\$130,124</b>	<b>585</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$833</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$940</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$866</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>\$2,639</b>	<b>2.03%</b>	<b>97.97%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
17%	18%	17%	25%	19%	0%	0%	10%	4%	8%	7%



**TEAM 8**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - April 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
7 BEAUFORT	5	\$877	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	6	\$974	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	6	\$932	5					1	\$58	1	\$58	6.22%	93.78%
28 DARE	2	\$439	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
40 GREENE	1	\$495	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
52 JONES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	7	\$1,599	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	8	\$1,121	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	14	\$2,830	13			1	\$45			1	\$45	1.59%	98.41%
89 TYRRELL	1	\$38	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
96 WAYNE	10	\$2,194	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>\$11,499</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$45</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$58</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$103</b>	<b>0.90%</b>	<b>99.10%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>609</b>	<b>\$130,124</b>	<b>585</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$833</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$940</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$866</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>\$2,639</b>	<b>2.03%</b>	<b>97.97%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
10%	9%	10%	0%	0%	10%	5%	10%	7%	8%	4%