No.	Requirement	Rating	Findings	Task	Date	Notes
	Care Population	J	J			
	For the purpose of foster care reporting, each data transmission must include all children in foster care for whom the title IV-E agency has responsibility for placement, care, or supervision. (45 CFR 1355.40(a)(2)). The [foster care] population to be included in this reporting system includes all children in foster care under the responsibility of the title IV-E agency administering or supervising the administration of the title IV-B Child and Family Services State plan and the title IV-E plan; that is, all children who are required to be provided the assurances of section 422(b)(8) of the Social Security Act. (Appendix A to Part 1355Foster Care Data Elements, Section II Definitions).		Program Code (Pqa847 LNs 84700- 84900): The program code incorrectly includes children whose only living arrangement in the removal episode is a hospital. <i>Post Site-Visit Finding:</i> The program code has been modified to exclude a child whose only living arrangement type is "53, therapeutic home." "Therapeutic homes" are to be included even if it is the first placement setting. The program code still incorrectly includes records of children whose only living arrangement type is "63, hospital" (pqa847, LN 84840).	 Correct the program code to not exclude records where the only living arrangement is "53, therapeutic home." Modify the program code to exclude records in which the only placement setting is "63, hospital." 	1) 11/2008 12/2010 2)	 1)This task was completed as part of the "quick fixes" that were submitted to the CB-Central Office in November 2008. Please see attached program code. CB10/2009: See the notes regarding code 53. NC11/2010: See attached program code. CB, 4/2011: There doesn't appear to be any changes. CB, 12/2011: The extraction code (in CURS2) continues to exclude all living arrangements where the living arrangement code is "53." CB, 5/2012: No modifications are found in the extraction code. NC 5/2014 – We are submitting requirements documentation to IT partners to correct. NC 10/2015 – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously. 2) CB, 12/2011: The extraction code (in CURS2) does not check for a living arrangement code of "63" but this code is checked and excluded from consideration in selecting first removal date and last foster care discharge date. CB, 5/2012: No modifications are found in the extraction code. NC 5/2011: The extraction code (in CURS2) does not check for a living arrangement code of "63" but this code is checked and excluded from consideration in selecting first removal date and last foster care discharge date. CB, 5/2012: No modifications are found in the extraction code. NC 5/2014 - We are submitting requirements documentation to IT

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: General Requirements

State: North Carolina

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008 (2008A)

No.	Requirement	Rating	Findings	ober 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008 Task	Date	Notes
	noqui enten	y			Dato	partners to correct. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time. <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously.
	<u>For States:</u> As noted in item #1, "The [foster care] population to be included in this reporting system includes all children in foster care under the responsibility of the title IV- E agency administering or supervising the administration of the title IV-B Child and Family Services State plan and the title IV-E plan; that is, all children who are required to be provided the assurances of section 422(b)(8) of the Social Security Act."		development grant.	What steps has the State taken to transfer AFCARS information on Tribal children that were in State custody at the time a title IV-E Tribe's plan was approved or who had previously been in the State's foster care system?		
	The reporting system includes all children who have or had been in foster care at least 24 hours. (Appendix A to Part 1355Foster Care Data Elements, Section II— Definitions).	2	The Program Code is not to just exclude same day entries/exits. The requirement is 24 hours.	<u>CB, 12/2014:</u> Describe how records are recorded for cases in which the child is in care for 24 hours or less.		<u>NC 10/2014</u> – At this time we have no time indicators in our system. NC FAST will address this item by including time as well as dates.
8 7	[The foster care population] includes youth over the age of 18 if a payment is being made on behalf of the child (CWPM, 1.3).		The 2008A report period data indicates the State is incorrectly reporting youth that are over the age of 18. The State is to report the youth as discharged for AFCARS purposes as of the youth's 18 th	CB will evaluate the 2009A and subsequent files file for improvement.	N/A	CB will review subsequent files for improvement. <u>NC 2/2010</u> - NCDSS submitted AFCARS 2009B file in Nov 2009. <u>NC11/2010-</u> NCDSS submitted the AFCARS 2010A & 2010B files.

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: General Requirements

State: North Carolina

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008 (2008A)

	1			ober 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008	· ·	
No.	Requirement	Rating	Findings	Task	Date	Notes
	A title IV-E agency that exercises the option to extend assistance to youth age 18 or older must collect and report data to AFCARS on all youth receiving a title IV-E foster care maintenance payment. (ACYF- CB-PI-10-11, Issued July 9, 2010).		birthday. See foster care elements #6, 56, and 58 for additional notes regarding changes. <u>CB, 3/2014:</u> The State has opted to not amend its title IV-E plan regarding the age of a child. The 2013B frequency report reflects there are records of youth over the age of 18 in the reporting population. There are years reported between 1980 and 1994 (266 records). <u>2014A and B Data</u> : There continues to be records of youth over the 18 reported in AFCARS.	1) <u>CB, 12/2014:</u> The agency must modify the selection logic to exclude records of youth who are over age 18. For youth who turn 18 in the period being reported, tasks are included in FC56 and 58.		NC10/2011-Submitted AFCARS 2011A file. CB, 12/2011: The State kept the same definition of child in its title IV-E plan. In the 2011B file there is one record of a 36 year old; 1 of a 24 year old; one 23 YO; seven 22 YOs; 30 records of 21 year olds; 81 records of 20 year olds; 159 records of 19 year olds. While this is only 2.53% of the records, these records are not to be included. NC4/2012-Submitted AFCARS 2011B File CB, 7/2012: The 12A file still indicates there are children being reported that are over the age of 18. NC 5/2014 – Our IT partners are examining code to ensure it is correctly excluding children over 18. The latest frequency reports we can access do not show children over 18. NC 10/2014 – We do not see that in our latest frequency reports. Can you show us precisely where you are seeing those youth over 18? CB, 12/2015 – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously.
	ion Population				No. 0000	
9	For the purposes of adoption	2	The status of "dismissed," "set aside,"	1) Modify the extraction code to not	INOV. 2008	Please Attachment 1 (AFCARS Adoption

No.	Doguiromont	Rating	Eindings	Task	Date	Notes
INO.	Requirement	U U	Findings			
	reporting, data are required to be transmitted by the title IV-E agency on all adopted children who were placed by the title IV- E agency. (45 CFR 1355.40(a)(3)).	3 4		include the status types of: a) "dismissed" b) "set aside" c) "transferred"	C, 10/2009	File Program Code). <u>CB, 10/2009:</u> The program code has been modified to correctly exclude status types "C, dismissed," "D, set-aside" and "E, transferred" from the adoption record selection condition. LNs 322-347.
	The title IV-E agency must report on all children who are adopted in the State or Tribal service area during the reporting period and in whose adoption the title IV-E agency has had any involvement. reports on the following are mandated: (a) All children adopted who had been <u>in foster care</u> under the responsibility and care of the child welfare agency and who were subsequently adopted whether special needs or not and whether subsidies are provided or not; (Appendix B to Part 1355Adoption Data Elements, Section II - Definitions).					
10 11	For the purposes of adoption reporting, data are required to be transmitted by the title IV-E agency on all adopted children for whom the agency is providing adoption assistance (either ongoing or for nonrecurring expenses), care or services directly or by contract or agreement with other private	2 3 4	The State's value "E, private out-of- state of agency" is incorrectly excluded from the reporting population.		Nov 2008 C, 10/2009	Please see attached AFCARS Adoption File Program Code. <u>CB, 10/2009</u> : The program code has been modified to correctly include "B, public – out of State agency" and "E, private – out of State agency" adoption types. LNs 322-347.

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008 (2008
--

No	Requirement			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· /	Notes
No.	Requirement or public agencies. (45 CFR 1355.40(a)(3)). The title IV-E agency must report on all children who are adopted in the State or Tribal service area during the reporting period and in whose adoption the title IV-E agency has had any involvement. reports on the following are mandated: (b) All special needs children	Rating	Findings	Task	Date	Notes
	who were adopted in the State or Tribal service area, whether or not they were in the public foster care system prior to their adoption and for whom non- recurring expenses were reimbursed; and (c) All children adopted for whom an adoption assistance payment or service is being provided based on arrangements made by or through the title IV-E agency. (Appendix B to Part 1355 Adoption Data Elements, Section I).					
Toohn	ical Doguiromente					
	ical Requirements	2	For Degulor Files	1) Implement Ctate with a sector	Dec. 2010	NODCC is summable used in a set the
13 12	The data must be extracted from the data system as of the last day of the reporting period	2	For Regular Files There are a couple of issues related to the storage of data. One is related	 Implement Statewide unique permanent record numbers for individuals. 	Dec. 2010 Mar 2011 October	NCDSS is currently working on the Statewide Unique ID project. Due to the amount of resources required to develop
	(45 CFR 1355.40(b)(1)): For foster care information		to the issued of the lack of Statewide unique record numbers that are	2) Add storage/history tables as	2012	and implement the statewide UID, the project has been broken up into phases.

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008 (2008A)

				ober 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008		
No.	Requirement	Rating	Findings	Task	Date	Notes
	[regular files], the child-specific data to be transmitted must reflect the data in the information system when the data are extracted. (45 CFR 1355.40(b)(2)). Report the status of all children in foster care as of the last day of the reporting period. Also, provide data for all children who were discharged from foster care at any time during the reporting period, or in the previous reporting period, or in the previously reported. (Appendix D, 45 CFR 1355 Foster Care and Adoption Record Layouts Section A.1.b(5)); (AFCARS Technical Bulletin #6, Data Extraction).		maintained for each individual regardless of where in the State they reside. Another issue relates to the storage of information on foster parents. Lastly, some fields do not have a history table associated with them. Due to issue that not all date elements are set to be extracted on the report period, inaccurate and invalid dates can be reported to AFCARS.		Mar 2011 Sept 2011 October 2012	The NCDSS History Table project will address this issue. <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> This needs to be discussed between the State and Feds. <u>NC4/2012</u> : NC is continuing to make progress on the Statewide Unique Identifier. Waiting on feedback to schedule meeting with ACF to further discuss this issue (April or May 2012). http://www.ncdhhs.gov/ncfast/index.htm <u>NC 5/2014</u> – Both the statewide identifier and history table issue will be resolved with the implementation of NCFAST. See notes regarding these at the end of this document. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – At this time NC FAST continues to be the official plan for NC. <u>NC 10/2015</u> – NC FAST is still the plan for NC. We have done some work with the NC FAST team regarding requirements for the Child Welfare portion, so this work is moving forward
20 13	The data must be extracted from the data system as of the last day of the reporting period (45 CFR 1355.40(b)(1)): <u>For foster care information</u> [subsequent files], the child- specific data to be transmitted must reflect the data in the information system when the data are extracted. (45 CFR 1355.40(b)(2)).	3 2	For subsequent files Given the findings in GR12 and the item previously numbered as 26, the information in subsequent files will also be incorrect. The methodology used by the State to extract its files does not correctly pull the data for subsequent files. The data for subsequent submissions must reflect the activities and conditions for the report period being submitted. <i>Post site-visit changes:</i>	corrections. Implement history tables and extraction routine so that data are reflective of the report period being transmitted.	Mar 2011 Sept 2011 October 2012	http://www.ncdhhs.gov/ncfast/index.htm The History table project will address this issue. <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> This needs to be discussed between the State and Feds. <u>NC4/2012:</u> Meeting will be scheduled to further discuss this issue. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – The history table issue will be resolved with the implementation of NCFAST. See notes regarding these at the end of this document.

No	Dequirement			ober 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008	<u>`</u>	Notos
No.	Requirement	Rating	Findings	Task	Date	Notes
	Report the status of all children in foster care as of the last day of the reporting period. (AFCARS Technical Bulletin #6, Data Extraction)		The program code has been updated to restrict the selection logic to records for which the placement authority begin date is no later than the last day of the reporting period. Changes to history tables must still be implemented before subsequent files can be correctly extracted for submission (pqa847).			<u>NC 10/2014</u> – At this time NC FAST continues to be the official plan for NC <u>NC 10/2015</u> – NC FAST is still the plan for NC. We have done some work with the NC FAST team regarding requirements for the Child Welfare portion, so this work is moving forward
22 15	The title IV-E agency extracts all records based on the transaction date of discharge (foster care element #57) or the date of latest removal (foster care element #21), if the child has not been discharged. (ACYF-PI-CB-95-09, Reissued May 23, 1995 and Technical Bulletin #6, AFCARS Data Extraction)		The State has had issues with records being dropped from one reporting period to the next. This has impacts on the Child and Family Services Data Profile. In the period under review, there was one record with a date of removal of April 15, 2008. The State's methodology does not fully meet the requirements of Technical Bulletin #13.	Modify the extraction routine to follow the guidance in Technical Bulletin #13.	Dec 2010	<u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The transaction dates are referenced only when they are set from timestamp fields for the AFCARS output record. These timestamps are also used in selecting placement begin and end dates in relation to the report period dates, but they are not used consistently for selecting all AFCARS data. <u>CB, 5/2012:</u> No modifications are found in the extraction code. The State has not addressed how/when it will implement corrections. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – We are writing requirements documents to have IT partners modify the extraction code to follow the instructions in Technical Bulletin #13. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time. <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously.

Data Quality

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008 (2008A)

No.	Requirement	Rating		Task	Date	Notes
21	General Data Quality	Ŭ	Report Findings:	1) Describe, develop, and implement	Duto	1) The Supervisory Best Practices Work
		Ū		a method to ensure the accurate and		Group and the Children Services
	For data to be considered			timely entry of the AFCARS data;		Committee will serve as advisory groups
	"quality" it must be accurate,			including but not limited to		to the State DSS Office on issues related
	complete, timely, and consistent			supervisory oversight and		to accurate and timely data entry Mar
	in definition and usage across			management reports.		2011
	the entire IV-E agency and		the case worker and check for			Data Quality will be a component of the
	State/Tribal service area. The		accuracy and timely data entry. The	1a) In the above plan, address how		technical assistance model that the state
	quality of the AFCARS data is		overall accuracy of the AFCARS data	supervisors ensure accurate data		office provides to the 100 local county
	assessed by the agency on a		is crucial for the Children's Bureau's	entry.		DSS departments.
	regular and continuous basis in		use of it in the Child and Family			
	order to sustain a high level of		Services Review, the Child Welfare	2) Describe how the agency will		2)
	quality data. The agency		1 0	monitor the accuracy of AFCARS		
	incorporates AFCARS data into		well as many other purposes.	data, including completeness of the		
	its quality assurance/continuous			data and timely entry of the data,		
	quality improvement plan. The			over time.		
	agency involves staff from		data quality issues, were 22 (21%).			
	every level of the organization,		Also, 53 (52%) of the elements were	3) Describe how the title IV-E agency		3)
	and other stakeholders from			utilizes management reports and the		
	outside of the agency.		a 2).	data in its analyses. Provide brief		
			Data Callection	examples.		
			Data Collection:	1) Describe how the econor will		4)
	NC 10/2014 Those items are		AFCARS data is collected in North	4) Describe how the agency will		4)
	<u>NC 10/2014</u> – These items are addressed in the Data			incorporate the information collected in AFCARS as part of its monitoring		
	Quality/Supervision notes at the			and quality assurance process in		5) The training modules will consist of
	end of this document.			order to ensure accuracy of the data.		regionally based face to face sessions
	<u>CB, 12/2014:</u> The State sent		them to clerical staff for data entry			and web based training.
	comments to the archived			5) Include system and importance of		<u>NC 5/2014</u> – Our Policy Team will
	information. The state is to		5	data quality training in the agency's		respond to this item.
	address the 5 tasks listed for			training for staff and include in the		
	GR21.		Tracking System (CPPTS) for foster	State's training plan (in the State's		
				title IV-B, Child and Family Services		
				Plan and Annual Progress and		
			is to submit a form when a child			
			comes into care and then each time	5a) What ongoing training exists for		5a)

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008 (2008A)

No.	Requirement	Rating	Findings	Task	Date	Notes
			new information has been collected	caseworkers regarding the information system?	Duto	
22	System					

NC 5/2014 Notes regarding the unique statewide identifier and history tables.

Statewide identifier

North Carolina continues to work towards a single statewide identifier. Although we have made considerable progress, this project has experienced delays due to the previously mentioned staffing shortages, but we are well into the first phase of the project and have put in place a process to merge multiple IDs within a single county. To date, we have merged 24,901 IDs. While not all of these are in the AFCARS population, some of them most likely are, or may be included in the population in future submissions. Having eliminated certain conditions that were preventing the merger of some IDs, we are continuing periodic automated merges with the goal of eliminating multiple IDs within counties. County staff may also merge ID's when multiples are identified rather than wait for the next automated merge.

Merging ID's "across-county" to have a single statewide identifier for each child would require extensive modification of the core processes of our legacy systems. The current plan is still to move Child Welfare data collection systems into the statewide eligibility and case management system known as NCFAST. The NCFAST system is based on having a single statewide unique identifier and therefore once we begin utilizing this system, we will simultaneously begin using a single statewide ID for each child in the AFCARS population. The original schedule called for this migration to have begun by now, however a variety of issues have caused delays with the modules of NCFAST that were to be implemented prior to the Child Welfare modules. These delays have pushed back the original implementation dates and we do not, at this time, have a firm planned date to begin moving Child Welfare into NCFAST. In the absence of an alternative plan, coupled with a severe, prolonged lack of fiscal and personnel resources, it is not feasible from a business perspective undertake the extensive and risky modifications to our current legacy systems

History

After our review we examined our options regarding a "history table" and have made the determination that, again, this would require significant changes to our legacy systems. The alternative we had considered was to utilize our Client Services Data Warehouse (CSDW), which does retain historical data intact, to generate the AFCARS files, but before work could begin on that project, NCFAST established their initial timelines. By the time the project to pull AFCARS from CSDW would have been initiated, it would have been only a matter of time before Child Welfare would have been moving into NCFAST. The decision was made

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: General Requirements State: North Carolina Depart Paried Under Pariew October 1, 2007 – March 21, 2008 (2008A)

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008 (2008A)

to pend initiation of the CSDW project to avoid duplicating any work accomplished between the two project start dates, such as having to re-create data tables or rewrite extraction code. Unfortunately, we could not anticipate the delays that have occurred with the implementation of NCFAST, but as mentioned, that is still the plan for North Carolina.

North Carolina does take our AFCARS PIP seriously, and we sincerely regret not being able to complete this task earlier. Realizing an updated response was well overdue, and because the existing documents included a lot of questionable or contradictory responses, we began a completely fresh review of the entire AIP in September 2012, with the intention of clarifying the status of each item and moving forward from there. Although we made good progress easily on, our efforts were thwarted by nothing short of a staffing crisis. The team primarily responsible for AFCARS, Data Management, has not been fully staffed since 2011, permanently lost one position in 2012, and since July of 2013, all three consultant positions (one of which was assigned primarily to work on the AFCARS review and data) have been vacant. Other vacancies within the Section have resulted in the remaining staff having to perform tasks that would normally be completed by other teams. Our IT partners have had similar issues, having lost staff to retirement and an unexpected passing. The only remaining IT team member aside from the manager has been battling cancer and so is frequently on leave to deal with treatment and recovery. Recently the IT manager has been able to fill some positions. The Data Management Team, on the other hand, has not, but we are moving in the right direction. Our unprecedented staffing situation is not offered as an excuse, but rather as an explanation of why we have not previously submitted our updated PIP.

We have included comments and questions on each task in the attached documents; however I wanted to include some overall information regarding our strategies and plans for continuing to move forward here as well.

Coding/Extraction

After meeting with our IT partners, we have developed a specific plan where we are sending them information concerning all the coding questions. The team will review the code to identify precisely what is being extracted for each AFCARS data element in question and which lines of codes contribute to the extraction. Taking this extra time to have the IT team review the code ensures that we do not inadvertently miss something as relevant coding for a particular element may be found in more than one area of the extraction code. Once we have a definitive answer of how the current extract operates, we will submit requirement documents for necessary changes. As the IT team's staffing situation has improved, these changes should be able to be made in a timely manner.

Summary and Next Steps

We have responded to each of the items in the attached documents that have not been corrected. The two documents you sent us are attached as well as a copy of our Foster Care extraction code. We were unable to get a current copy of our Adoption extraction code in time to include in this submission, we will get that to you next week.

- We have met with our Policy team, and identified questions that they will need to answer. They have agreed to respond by June 13th .
- We are putting all the mapping and code issues into a working spreadsheet that we will use to track our IT partner's isolation of the code in question for a particular data element, our requirements documents to change the code appropriately, and the results of our testing of the changes.
- We are in process of writing requirement documents for changes we have already been able to identify.
- We have asked for clarification on the items we are unsure about.

NC 10/2014 – Notes regarding the overall PIP.

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: General Requirements State: North Carolina Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008 (2008A)

While we have not fully implemented any of the specific changes requested, we have been meeting with our IT folks on a regular basis. A new protocol was put in place a few months ago by our IT department that has greatly slowed their response time. In addition, we have spent most of the time available with IT discussing possible methods of incorporating a statewide identifier, which has not allowed us to complete the lengthy process for implementing the smaller changes. We have determined what it would take for NC to develop a statewide identifier for our current systems and are presenting that to Management for their review. At this time NC FAST remains the plan for North Carolina. We are now moving forward on the specific changes needed to the automation systems outside of the statewide ID.

Several changes were needed in the instructions for workers on completing the DSS-5094 (which captures the data that is used in AFCARS submission). The manual for that form was very out of date. Due to the large volume of changes needed to that manual, and the desire to ensure that the AFCARS related changes were clear and complete, we first did a revision of all the non-AFCARS related changes. That has been completed and is in the final stages of internal review and approval. As soon as that is a final document, we will begin a second revision that incorporates the AFCARS changes. We felt that doing it this way, while taking a bit longer, would better highlight the AFCARS changes specifically, thus resulting in better compliance with the AFCARS requirements.

NC 10/2015 – Notes regarding the overall PIP.

Our biggest challenge in making the necessary changes (outside of those changes that will be accomplished with the implementation of NC FAST) has been significant staffing shortages on both the Data Side and the IT side. Both these teams have been at 50% or more vacancy for the majority of the last 3 years. During the time since our last submission the IT manager responsible for the Foster Care side was also covering as manager for an additional team, further reducing his availability. He has now retired and there is someone new in place. The new manager is trying to get up to date on many things in addition to AFCARS. Due to our schedules, we were not able to plan a time prior to this submission, however, as indicated throughout the document, we have a meeting scheduled for 10/29/2015. We have met with the Adoption IT folks and have determined what needs to be done and submitted requirements to correct all the issues save one (which we have asked for further guidance on). These should be complete prior to our next submission.

NC 6/2017 - Notes Regarding the assignment of one Statewide ID per child

Throughout this document NC has indicated that many of the issues will be resolved with the implementation of NC FAST. Implementation is finally imminent, with the first wave of counties beginning use of NC FAST on July 31, 2017. As a part of NC FAST, NC will discontinue the use of county specific SIS IDs and begin use of a statewide ID, known as CNDS ID (Common Name Data Service). Beginning with the 2017A AFCARS submission we used CNDS IDs to identify children in the file, rather than SIS IDs. A file has been created that matches the CNDS ID to the old SIS ID (or IDs) so that previous history submitted with the SIS ID can be linked to the current file. Other issues with either be corrected due to the nature of how NC FAST captures data, or will be addressed as we build the extraction code from NC FAST for submission.

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Foster Care Data Elements

State: North Carolina

Element	Dating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
	Rating				
CB, 3/2014: Items noted	u as uala	quality, supervisory oversigni, traini	is item. Even if in each element the	eneral Require	ments #21. The State is to address the broader questions
					o data have been addressed, GR #21 must be completed.
		light issues that are specific to an ele			
#4 Record Number		A county case number and a	Implement statewide ID numbers	Dec 2010/	The Statewide Unique Identifier will assign one ID per
		Services Information System (SIS)		Mar 2011	client that will be used throughout the state. This project
		client identification (ID) number are	child's ID.	Sep 2011	will be completed in phases. To date, full implementation
		assigned to each child and each		October 2012	is scheduled for Mar 2011 .
		county assigns its own client ID			NC11/2010: The state is still involved in phase I of this
		numbers. The SIS ID is used for			project, which involves within county merging of duplicate
		the AFCARS record number, but			records. The targeted date for implementation of this
		this record does not remain the			project has been postponed to Sep 2011 due to the
		child's ID statewide and a child's			amount of resources both from the counties and state
		foster care history is not associated with the record number.			office required. NC10/2011- Still working in Phase I
		associated with the record number.			CB, 12/2011: This needs to be discussed between the
					State and Feds.
					<u>NC4/2012</u> : The state continues to make progress on this
					project in preparation for CNDS which is needed for the
					NCFAST Case Management System. See attached link
					for NCFAST TIMEFRAMES.
					http://www.ncdhhs.gov/ncfast/index.htm SEE
					ATTACHMENT 1A.
					NC 5/2014 – The statewide identifier issue will be
					resolved with the implementation of NCFAST. See notes
					regarding these at the end of this document.
					NC 10/2014 – At this time NC FAST continues to be the
					official plan for NC. we have spent most of the time
					available with IT discussing possible methods of
					incorporating a statewide identifier, which has not allowed
					us to complete the lengthy process for implementing the
					smaller changes. We have determined what it would take
					for NC to develop a statewide identifier for our current
					systems and are presenting that to Management for their
					review.
					NC 10/2015 – NC FAST is still the plan for NC. We have
					done some work with the NC FAST team regarding
					requirements for the Child Welfare portion, so this work is

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
					moving forward.
#5 Date of Most Recent Periodic Review (if applicable) 0115	2	 Program Code (pqa847 LNs 109600-113500): 1) The program code incorrectly extracts a review date that occurs after the end of the report period. 2) The program code excludes periodic reviews that occurred within the first seven months of a removal episode. The State conducts administrative reviews (agency review). These occur at 30 and 60 days, then every six months as needed. 	 <u>Program Code</u> 1) Modify the extraction code to only extract review dates that occur prior to the end of the report period being submitted. 2) Modify the program code to extract review dates regardless of how soon after a child's removal it occurred. 	1) Oct 2010 C, 6/2011 2) Oct 2010	 NC 11/2010. See attached extraction code CB, 4/2011: program PQS847 (LNs 108200 – 11620) gets the most recent periodic review that occurred within the reporting period. The review date is compared to the report period end date and not selected if it is greater. NC 11/2010. See attached extraction code CB, 4/2011: Does not appear that change has been made. CB, 12/2011: No changes to the program code. NC4/2012: see attached policy for periodic reviews. ATTACHMENT CB, 7/2012: The attached policy is not relevant to the task. The program code has not been corrected. The periodic review date is to be reported regardless of the length of time from removal to the end of the report
			3) <u>6/2014:</u> Based on note to DQ #1 (old dates), task is added to the program code section: <u>NC 5/2014</u> – our IT partners are reviewing the code and we will write requirements to modify code [to extract dates for the current removal episode] once current code is identified.	3)	 period. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – our IT partners are reviewing the code and we will write requirements to modify code once current code is identified. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time (see notes at end of this document). <u>CB, 12/2014</u>: It is not clear why this task hasn't been completed. <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously. <u>CB, 12/2014</u>: It is not clear why this task hasn't been complete this previously. <u>CB, 12/2014</u>: It is not clear why this task hasn't been complete this previously.

Flomont	Datir		<u>g Period: October 1, 2007 – 1</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Element	Rating	J			
Element	Rating	FindingsForm:DSS-5094, Child Placementand Payment Report (CPPR),section VII.Case ReviewsData QualityFrequency Report.There arerecords with review dates between1991 and 2006.It appears that thenumber of records reported witholder review dates and the numberof records indicating the youth isover the age of 18 are similar.Case File Review Findings.The majority of errors were due tothe extraction code not reporting	Tasks Form 1) Modify the instructions to field 43 on the DSS-5094 form to indicate which types of court reviews are applicable and to be recorded on the form. The State can include dispositional hearings. Data Quality 1) Identify the records with the old review dates and determine if these are actually closed foster care cases that were never entered as discharges on the system, or if there is another contributing factor	Date <u>Form</u> 1) Mar 2010 DQ 1) Mar 2011	Comments/Notes Form 1) NC 2/2010- NCDSS completed draft instructions to offer further guidance on this issue. CB, 12/2011: The State has not provided a copy of the updated instructions. NC 4/2012- See attached link to instructions ATTACHMENT_3. CB, 7/2012: The policy was attached (attachment 3) not the specific information on the type of court hearings that can be recorded as a periodic review. NC 5/2014 – We are working with our Policy team to revise the instructions for the DSS-5094. NC 10/2014 – We have made these changes and will be revising our manual (see notes at the end of this document). The text of the changes is included at the end of the General Requirements document. Data Quality 1) CB, 7/2012: The state has not addressed this task. There continues to be several records with old dates reported. For instance, the 12A file has dates back to 1991. NC 5/2014 – our IT partners are reviewing the code and we will write requirements to modify code once current code is identified. NC 10/2014 – No changes have been made at this time (see notes at end of this document). CB, 12/2014 – No information has been provided regarding DQ1. What steps have been taken to address a lack of a current periodic review being held? Also, see note for Code task 3. NC 10/2015 – We have not been able to address the apparent inclusion of children over 18. We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/2015 so that we can hopefully determine why this

Flame and	Detine		g Period: October 1, 2007 – I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
					referring to.
#6 Child Birth Date (mo) (day)(year)	3	<u>Frequency Report:</u> There are 114 (1%) of the records indicating the child is over the age of 18. The State is incorrectly including individuals over the age of 18 in its reporting population. (See General Requirements item #8 and foster care elements #56 and 58.) The State needs to ensure these data are accurate due to its use for the National Youth in Transition	The State must add instructions in the manual that if a child is abandoned (Safe Surrender), to use the 15 th day of the month for the estimated date of birth.	Oct 2010 A, 6/2014	NC11/2010: See attach policy on Safe Surrender http://info.dhhs.state.nc.us/olm/manuals/dss/csm- 60/man/CS1411-03.htm NC 5/2014 – Our Policy Team will respond to this item. CB, 6/2014: The agency's policy manual indicates to use 7 days prior to the date of the report. CB, 12/2014: CB has approved on 6/2014. The issue remaining with DOB is that there are youth over age 18 in the report.
Foster Care: #8 Child's Race Adoption: #7 Child's	3 4		1) The Children's Bureau will monitor data from the 2009A and B report periods for accuracy.	N/A	CB will contact the state based on the review of subsequent files.
Race		review. There were cases in which the reviewers did find that the child	The State should consider		Form, Suggested Changes
#25 Adoptive Mother's Race		information was not correctly	modifying the way race and Hispanic/Latino ethnicity information is collected. The State	Mar 2011	This correction will be made as part of the Statewide Unique Identifier. The Race and Ethnicity codes will be converted to match CNDS requirements.
#27 Adoptive Father's Race		<i>Post Site-Visit Corrections:</i> The	should consider assigning a value to each of the five individual race categories and instruct case	Sept 2011 June 2012	<u>NC 5/2014</u> – The modifications to the method of collecting race/ethnicity information will be resolved with the implementation of NCFAST, as the statewide
a. American Indian or Alaska Native b. Asian		to correctly report missing data as blanks in foster care elements #8	workers to enter all applicable race codes. The form, the manual, and the CPPS would have to be		identifier used in that system will match CNDS requirements. See notes regarding these at the end of this document.
c. Black or African American		Form: DSS-5094, Child Placement	modified to allow for the entry of		<u>NC 10/2014</u> – At this time NC FAST continues to be the official plan for NC
d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander e. White		J 1 ()/	to extract all race categories.		<u>CB, 12/2014</u> : As state moves forward, design of the system should include actual races and reasons why there is no race information. Such as:

		AFCAR5 Reporting	<u>g Period: October 1, 2007 – N</u>	viarch 51, 2	
Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
f. Unable to Determine		race and ethnicity information.	Additionally, in order to make the		Person/Parent/Child age appropriate Declined
		Each code represents a race and	collection of this data more clear,		Person identifies as being multi-racial but does not all
0 = Does not apply		ethnicity combination. Workers are	the State could add the option of		races - <u>Multi-racial/all races not known</u> .
1 = Apply		likely to select a combination that	"abandoned" that would be used		Abandoned - able to enter but must be updated once
		is only partially correct, or may try	for the infants entering foster care		parents have been located.
		to select a code from memory. An	through the State's Safe Surrender		Safe Haven Infant
		incorrect digit in the code can lead	program. Additionally, since		NC 10/2015 – NC FAST continues to be the plan for
		to reporting a race combination	AFCARS guidance for situations in		resolving race collection issues (See note of 5/2014),
		that does not reflect the race and	which an individual refuses to		however we have added two new race codes to our
		ethnicity of the client.	provide their race, the State can		current system in the meantime, "Unable to Determine
			report this as "unable to		(Abandoned)" and "Unable to Determine (Declined)"
			determine." However, it would be		
			clearer if the worker had the option		
			of "declined" to choose from when		
			recording race information.		
			The State will have to modify its		
			options for race reporting in order		
			to meet the National Youth in		
			Transition Database (NYTD)		
			requirements. NYTD includes the		
			values of "unknown" and		
			"declined." The NYTD value of		
			"unknown" encompasses two		
			situations in which it can be used.		
			See the Foster Care Findings		
			document for additional information		
Foster Care #9	2	Foster care, Program Code	for these suggested changes. Program Code	1) Dec 2010	1) This correction will be made as part of the Statewide
	2	(pqa847_LNs 126700, 13871-	1) Modify the extraction code to		Unique Identifier Project.
Hispanic/Latino Origin		<u>(pqa847 LINS 120700, 13871-</u> 138834):	initialize this element to blank.		<u>CB, 12/2011:</u> This needs to be discussed between the
Adoption #8 Child		1) The program code incorrectly			<u>State and Feds.</u>
Hispanic Origin		initializes this element to "2, no."			<u>NC 5/2014</u> – our IT partners are reviewing the code and
nispanic Ongin					we will write requirements to modify code once current
1 = Yes					code is identified.
2 = No					<u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time
3 = Unable to					NC 10/2014 – We have a meeting scheduled with the
					<u>INC TORZOTO</u> – WE HAVE A MEELING SCHEUUREU WILL LITE

Element	Rating		Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
Determine		2) The program code never sets	2) Add mapping and coding for	2) Dec 2010	new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lac of
		this element to "3, unable to	"unable to determine." If the State		staffing resources has prevented us from being able to
		determine."	implements the values		complete this previously.
			"abandoned" and "declined," these		
			would be mapped to AFCARS		2) <u>CB, 4/2011:</u> No change. Element #9 is never set to "3."
			value "unable to determine."		See attach coding changes-Attachment I
					NC,10/2011 See attached coding changes (Attachment I)
					<u>CB, 12/2011</u> : The attachment only has information on
					adoption elements and nothing related to child Hispanic
					origin. Also, this document is pseudo code and has no
					mapping nor does it replace the extraction code.
					NC4/2012 See attached manual information regarding
					race and ethnicity.
					ATTACHMENT 1 (Field 11)
					<u>CB, 7/2012:</u> The attached policy is not relevant to the
					task. The program code has not been corrected.
					<u>NC 5/2014</u> – We are developing requirements documents to add "Unable to Determine - Abandoned" and "Unable
					to determine - Declined" to our systems and will map
					those to "unable to be determined"
					NC = 10/2014 – No changes have been made at this time
					$\frac{NC}{NC} = \frac{10}{2015}$ – We have a meeting scheduled with the
					new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of
					staffing resources has prevented us from being able to
					complete this previously.
					complete this previously.
		Adoption			
		3) The program code maps	3) Modify the adoption program	3) 11/2008	3) FC#9=3 Please see attached AFCARS
			code to map missing data to	C, 10/2009	Adoption Program Code.
		of "0."	blanks.	0,10,200,	<u>CB, 10/2009:</u> The program code for adoption element #8
					has been modified to correctly map missing information to
					blank. NC_AFCARS_Adoption_File_Program_Code, LNs
		Form: DSS-5094, Child Placement			932-963.
		and Payment Report (CPPR),	Form		
		section I. SIS Information	1) Modify the form and codes to	Form	Form
			include the option "unable to	1) Dec 2010	1) <u>NC11/2010</u> : The state has added the field to captured
			determine." The State may want to		"Race Declined".

AFCARS Reporting	Period: October 1, 20	07 – March 31, 2008 (2008A)
-------------------------	-----------------------	------------------------------------

Element	Rating	L	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
		AFCARS value "unable to	use the options of "abandoned"		CB, 6/2011: No form provided.
		determine" for Hispanic origin.	and "declined."		NC10/2011 See attached link to the form.
					http://info.dhhs.state.nc.us/olm/manuals/dss/rim-
					01/chg/dss5027.pdf
					http://info.dhhs.state.nc.us/olm/manuals/dss/rim-
					01/man/SIS.htm#P173_6235
					CB, 12/2011: There are no fields for race information on
					this form.
					NC4/2012 - Race is "Field 11' on DSS-5027 Services
					Information System Data Entry Form See ATTACHMENT
					1.
					CB, 7/2012: The state has a value of 11 "unable to
					determine (non-Hispanic or Latino)" and 12 "unable to
					determine (Hispanic or Latino)." These two values have
					no meaning. If the child is abandoned or the parent is
					incapacitated, then there is also no way for the worker to
					know if the child is Hispanic/Latino or not. The State is to
					add a value for both race and Hispanic origin that reflects
					the child has been abandoned; a value that reflects the
					parent is incapacitated.
					The State add a field, box 29 RD, that only addresses
					that a parent or child if age appropriate, has declined to
					provide information regarding race but not of ethnicity.
					Also, the logic about when it is appropriate to a yes or a
		Data Quality			no in this field does not make sense in context of the two
		Data Quality			values listed above.
		<i>Frequency Report (n=13.114)</i> :			The Children's Bureau again strongly urges the State to eliminate its use of combinations for the collection of race
		Yes = 1,077 (8%); No = 12,037			
		(92%); Unable to determine = 0;			and ethnicity and instead have two fields, one for race
		Not reported = 0			and one for ethnicity, and within the race field have space
					for up to six entries (allowing for the options that are in NYTD and not currently in AFCARS).
					<u>NC 5/2014</u> – We are developing requirements documents
					to add Unable to Determine - Abandoned" and "Unable to
					Determine - Declined" to our systems and will map those
					to "unable to be determined". When NCFAST is
					implemented, race/ethnicity will be captured according to
	1				implemented, race/ethnicity will be captured according to

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
					CNDS requirements. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time. <u>NC 10/2015</u> - we have added two new race codes, "Unable to Determine (Abandoned)" and "Unable to Determine (Declined)". These are in our SIS manual (<u>http://info.dhhs.state.nc.us/olm/manuals/dss/ri</u> <u>m-01/man/SIS-02.htm#TopOfPage</u>) A copy of that manual is also attached to the email of 10/16/2015 that includes this submission.
#10 Has the child been clinically diagnosed as having a disability(ies)? 1=Yes 2=No 3=Not yet Determined	2	Program Code: 1) The program code derives the response to this element based on the information entered for elements #11 - 15.	Program Code 1) The system and program code need to be modified to collect the direct responses of "yes, no, and not yet determined."	1) Dec 2010 Sept 2011 Oct 2012	1) <u>NC-11/2010</u> : The state will need more time to make the necessary changes to the system and the form for data elements 11-15. This may involve adding a separate screen/page in order to collect disability information. <u>NC 10/2011-</u> due to the complexity of the suggested changes and extremely limited resources, the state is continuing to research available options <u>NC4/2012-</u> This issue may be addressed using the NCFAST Case Management System. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – We are developing requirements documents to change the way this information is captured on our DSS-5094, will update the instructions in the manual and revise extraction code accordingly such that direct responses are captured. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2015</u> – No changes have been made at this time
			2) The diagnosed condition must be reflective of the report period being sub	2) Dec 2010 Oct 2012	2) <u>NC-11/2010</u> : The state will need more time to make the necessary changes to the system and the form for data elements 11-15. This may involve adding a separate screen/page in order to collect disability information. <u>NC 10/2011-</u> due to the complexity of the suggested changes and extremely limited resources, the state is continuing to research available options <u>NC4/2012-</u> This issue may be addressed using the NCFAST Case Management System. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – Insuring the diagnosed condition will be

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Foster Care Data Elements

State: North Carolina

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
					reflective of the report period being submitted will be resolved with the implementation of NCFAST. See notes regarding these at the end of this document. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – At this time NC FAST continues to be the official plan for NC <u>CB, 6/2014</u> : Unresolved. State still working on fixing the code per recommendations and will update the extraction code and documentation accordingly. <u>NC 10/2015</u> – At this time NC FAST continues to be the official plan for NC
			Form 1) Modify the form to reflect the collection of this information as a question with the appropriate responses.	Form 1) Dec 2010 Oct 2012	Form 1) NC-11/2010: The state will need more time to make the necessary changes to the system and the form for data elements 11-15. This may involve adding a separate screen/page in order to collect disability information. <u>NC 10/2011-</u> due to the complexity of the suggested changes and extremely limited resources, the state is continuing to research available options <u>NC4/2012-</u> This issue may be addressed using the NCFAST Case Management System. <u>NC 5/2014 – We are developing requirements documents</u>
		Yes = 1,655 (13%); No = 10,881 (83%); Not yet determined = 578	Data Quality The Children's Bureau will monitor future and subsequent files for changes in the data.		to change the way this information is captured on our DSS-5094. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2015</u> – No changes have been made at this time

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
		element to blank (LN 151800).			
#11 - 15 Diagnosed Conditions	2	Form: DSS-5094, Child Placement and Payment Report (CPPR), section II. Child Information	1a) Modify the form to include	Form/Progra m Code 1a) Oct 2010	1a) <u>NC 10/2011</u> - due to the complexity of the suggested changes and extremely limited resources, the state is continuing to research available options
 #11 Mental Retardation #12 Visually/Hearing Impaired #13 Physically Disabled #14 Emotionally Disturbed #15 Other Diagnosed Condition 				Sept 2011	<u>NC4/2012</u> - This issue may be addressed using the NCFAST Case Management System. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – Insuring the diagnosed condition will be reflective of the report period being submitted will be resolved with the implementation of NCFAST. Our current system cannot accommodate adding dates to this field without extensive modifications. See notes regarding these at the end of this document.
[0 = Does not apply] 1 = Applies		1) There are no dates associated with each diagnoses.	1b) Modify the system to include fields for dates of diagnoses.	1b) Oct 2010 Sept 2011	<u>NC 10/2014</u> – At this time NC FAST continues to be the official plan for NC <u>NC 10/2015</u> – At this time NC FAST continues to be the
			1c) Modify the extraction code to select the diagnosed condition based on whether it occurred in the report period.	1c) Oct 2010 Sept 2011	official plan for NC
		manual regarding how to match a diagnosis to the appropriate AFCARS disability category.	2) The State needs to include guidance in the manual indicating which category a diagnosed condition belongs in, such as using the AFCARS disability resource list that is included in Technical Bulletin #14.	2) Oct 2010 Sept 2011	
		3) "ADHD" is incorrectly entered as "other medical conditions."		3) Oct 2010 Sept 2011	3) <u>NC 5/2014</u> – We will include this instruction in the DSS-5094 form instruction revisions. NC 10/2014 – No changes have been made at this time
			Data Quality/Training/ Supervision 1&2) Task moved to GR Document.		<u>NC 2015</u> – CPPS manual Field 14 instructs "Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is entered as "EMOTIONALLY DISTURBED"" http://info.dhhs.state.nc.us/olm/manuals/dss/csm- 80/man/CPPS_Manual-01.htm#P361_18500

AFCARS Reporting	Period: October 1	, 2007 – March 31,	2008 (2008A)
------------------	-------------------	--------------------	--------------

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
			monitor future and subsequent files for changes in the data.		
#16 Has this child ever been adopted? 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine		1) <u>Post Site-Visit Corrections</u> : The program code has been modified to correctly map missing data to blank (LNs 74000-74100). <u>Frequency Report</u> (n=13,114): Yes = 236 (2%); No = 12,810 (98%); Unable to determine = 68 (.52%); Not reported = 120 (4%) CB will contact the state based on the review of subsequent files.	 Form Make revisions to the manual in order to make it clear that "information unknown" is only to be used for situations in which the child is abandoned or the caretaker is incapacitated and unable to provide the information. Otherwise, if this information is not known due to the worker not collecting it or entering it onto the form, then this field must be reported blank. Task moved to GR Document. The Children's Bureau will monitor future and subsequent files for changes in the data. 	1a) Mar 2011	Form 1) CB, 4/2011: Provide changes NC10/2011: See links to the manual sections below http://info.dhhs.state.nc.us/olm/manuals/dss/csm- 60/man/CS1411.pdf http://info.dhhs.state.nc.us/olm/manuals/dss/csm- 60/man/CS1408-01.htm#P43_5001 CB, 6/2014: Information was found discussing use of "unable to determine" Safe Surrender infants. Did not find information on using "information unknown." NC 10/2014 - We will make these changes to the manual (see notes regarding manual changes at the end of GR document.) 1a) CB, 6/2014: Could not find any instruction in sections cited of the manual for this item. NC 10/2015 - No changes have been made to the manual at this time
 #17 If yes, how old was the child when the adoption was legalized? [0 = Not Applicable] 1 = less than 2 years old 2 = 2-5 years old 		<u>182300-184700):</u> 1) The program code does not	Program Code 1) Modify the extraction code to include the age of the child at the time of an international adoption.	1) Dec 2010	 <u>NC 11/2010</u> See attached program code <u>CB, 4/2011</u>: There don't appear to be any changes in the code for this requirement. <u>NC 10/2011</u>: See attached coding changes <u>CB, 12/2011</u>: There are no changes in the extraction code. <u>CB, 05/2012</u>: The code accounts for international adoptions for element #16 but doesn't seem to integrate that change consistently with the logic for element #17.

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
3 = 6-12 years old 4 = 13 years or older 5 = Unable to Determine 0125		2) The program code incorrectly maps the situation when the child has been previously adopted, but the age was unknown, to "4, 13 years or older." In that case, it should be mapped to blank.	2) If a child is known to have been previously adopted, but the age is unknown, modify the extraction code to report element #17 as blank.	2) Dec 2010 C, 12/2011	 <u>NC 5/2014</u> – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and we will write requirements to modify code once current code is identified. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously. <u>NC 11/2010</u> See attached program code <u>CB, 4/2011</u>: There don't appear to be any changes in the code for this requirement. NC 10/2011- See attached coding (Attachment 1) <u>CB, 12/2011</u>: The extraction code has been changed to map spaces to the child's age at adoption if the child was previously adopted but no age if found. <u>CB, 6/2014</u>: A review of the code for 16 and 17 plus the frequency report indicates that the routines for these two elements is not correct. <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously.
		3) NEW ITEM 7/2012: The frequency report for 2012A indicates: FC #16: Yes = 210; No = 10,431; Unable to determine = 56; Blank = 2 However, element #17 indicates there are only 118 records reported as not applicable instead of 10, 431.	3) Modify the program code to map element #17 to not applicable if the child was not previously adopted (element #16 = no).		3) <u>NC 5/2014</u> – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and we will write requirements to modify code once current code is identified. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously.

		· · · ·	g Period: October 1, 2007 – N	· · · · · ·	
Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
		<i>Visit Corrections:</i> The program code has been modified to	<u>Data Quality/Training/</u> <u>Supervision</u> 1) The State needs to ensure that caseworkers understand the use of "unknown" should be used if the child is abandoned or the parent is incapacitated. 2) Task moved to GR Document. 3) The Children's Bureau will monitor future and subsequent files for changes in the data.		 <u>Data Quality</u> 1) NCDSS TA-Data Quality will be a component of the technical assistance model that the state office provides to the 100 local county DSS departments. The training module will consist of regionally based face to face sessions and web based training. <u>CB, 05/2012</u>: See the new item added to the program code. Also, make sure caseworkers are entering this correctly. 2) Notes moved to GR Document. 3) CB will contact the state based on the review of subsequent files.
#18 Date of First Removal from Home (mo) (day)(year) 0110	2	 <u>Program Code (pqa847 LNs 185100-187600):</u> 1) The program code reports the begin date of the earliest of any living arrangement that is not "50, home of parents" or "51, home of legal guardian." This means that regardless of the type of out-of-home living arrangement the start date will be reported as the date of the first removal date. 2) If the first ever removal from home was less than 24 hours, the program code incorrectly includes 	 <u>Program Code</u> 1) If the first ever removal from home contained as a the first placement a locked facility or hospital and the child then went to a foster care setting, the program code must extract the start date of this foster care setting as the date of removal. 2) Modify the program code to exclude a removal episode of 24 hours or less if it is the first ever 	Mar 2011 October 2012 2) 10/2012	Subsequent nes. This issue will be corrected as part of the Statewide Unique Identifier Project. NC10/2011: The state plans to begin Phase II of this three phased project in the fall of 2012, which will involve cross county merging of client IDs. NC 5/2014 – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and we will write requirements to modify code once current code is identified. CB, 6/2014: L185800, 0127 Process 18- It appears that the code was modified to check for values related to Div. of Youth Services Residential Facility, hospital, jail, or trial home visit. It seems if the oldest date is for one of these it is not included. However, it is not clear why a first removal from home would be a trial home visit. Also, is the residential facility a secure one? Will need my IT team to look at more closely the next time. NC 10/2014 – No changes have been made at this time NC 10/2015 – Unsure if any further action is needed based on CB comment from 6/2014 . 2) This issue will be corrected as part of the Statewide Unique Identifier Project. CB, 12/2014: See GR5 as well. CB

Element	Rating	L	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
					NC 10/2014 – At this time we have no time indicators in
			Data Quality/Training/		our system. NC FAST will address this item by including
		Case File Review Findings. 7	Supervision		time as well as dates.
		(10%) of the records analyzed did	1) The State must devise a method		
			to report the first removal date that		Data Quality
			was associated with an earlier ID		Data Quality will be a component of the technical
			number. Identify the steps the		assistance model that the state office provides to the 100
			State is taking to correct this issue.		local county DSS departments.
		foster care are assigned unique			The training modules will consist of regionally based face
		J .	2) The Children's Bureau will		to face sessions and web based training.
			monitor future and subsequent files		
			for changes in the data.		
		and was discharged from foster			
		care in one county with one ID,			
		who later enters foster care in			
		another county with a different ID			
		will not have the earlier foster care			
		experience available for reporting			
		purposes.			
		Form, DSS E004 Child Discoment			
		Form: DSS-5094, Child Placement and Payment Report (CPPR),			
		section III. Placement Authority			
		<i>Post Site-Visit Corrections:</i> The			
		program code has been modified			
		to correctly exclude removal			
		episodes whose only living			
		arrangement type was a hospital or			
		locked facility (LN 185810).			
#19 Total Number of	2		Program Code	Code	1) CB, 10/2009: The program code has been modified to
Removals from Home		187900-191100,244300-267800.):	1) Modify the extraction code to	1) Nov 2008	correctly exclude removal episodes that begin and end on
			exclude removal episodes that are	C, 10/2009	the same day. Because the State uses whole dates in
			24 hours or less.	,	the program code instead of time-of-day, this is an
		previous removal episodes which			approximation to the goal of excluding removal episodes
		lasted less than 24 hours.			that are 24 hours or less in duration. However, the State
					needs to develop a process to ensure that if the child re-

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Foster Care Data Elements

State: North Carolina

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
		Data Quality 1) Post Site-Visit Corrections: The program code has been modified to correctly exclude from the count, removal episodes whose only living arrangement type was a hospital or locked facility (LN 185810). Case File Review Findings: 7 (10%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. 2) A child who entered and discharged from foster care in one county with one ID, who later enters foster care in another county with a different ID will not have the earlier foster care experience available for reporting purposes.	2) The State must devise a method to report the first removal date that was associated with an earlier ID number. Identify the steps the State is taking to correct this issue	2)	enters foster care in the future that the removal episode that is less than 24 hours is never included. pqa847 LNs 067500-067800. <u>NC 5/2014</u> - Corrected <u>CB, 6/2014</u> : This is not correct as the extraction code only checks if the removal started and ended on the same day. This will not prevent the reporting of removals that are 24 hours or less in duration or prevent the episode from being counted if the child re-enters foster care. <u>NC 10/2014</u> - We will review the code to ensure that prior removal episodes with the same begin and end date are not counted as a removal if the child re-enters care in the future. <u>CB, 12/2014</u> : See GR5 as well. The issue is more than just same day enter/exits. See CB, 10/2009 note. <u>NC 10/2014</u> - At this time we have no time indicators in our system. NC FAST will address this item by including time as well as dates. 2) Correction will be made as part of the Statewide Unique Identifier Project. <u>NC11/2010</u> : Full implementation of the Unique Statewide Identifier is scheduled for September 2011. <u>NC10/2011</u> : Full implementation has been delayed due to continued resource issues which has delay county data clean up efforts. <u>NC4/2012</u> - The state continues to make progress on county data clean up efforts. <u>NC 5/2014</u> - This issue will be resolved with the implementation of NCFAST. See notes regarding these at the end of this document. <u>NC 10/2014</u> - At this time NC FAST continues to be the official plan for NC <u>NC 10/2015</u> - NC FAST is still the plan for NC. We have done some work with the NC FAST team regarding requirements for the Child Welfare portion, so this work is moving forward

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
			3) The State needs to develop a process to ensure that if the child re-enters foster care in the future that the removal episode that is less than 24 hours is never included.	3)	3) <u>NC 5/2014 2)</u> – We will include this in code revision. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time (see notes at end of this document). <u>NC 10/2014</u> – At this time we have no time indicators in our system. NC FAST will address this item by including time as well as dates.
			Data Quality 1) The Children's Bureau will monitor future and subsequent files for changes in the data.		
#20 Date Child was Discharged from last foster care episode (if applicable) (mo) (day)(year)	2	<u>191600-194100):</u>	Program Code 1) Modify the program code accordingly.		 <u>NC11/2010:</u> See attached program code <u>CB, 4/2011:</u> There don't appear to be any changes. <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> There are no changes for this task. <u>CB, 05/2012:</u> no updates found. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and we will write requirements to modify code once current code is identified. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously.
			2) Modify the program code accordingly.		 2) <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> There are no changes for this task. <u>CB, 05/2012:</u> no updates found. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and we will write requirements to modify code once current code is identified <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2014</u> – At this time we have no time indicators in our system. NC FAST will address this item by including time as well as dates

AFCARS Reporting Period: October 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008 (2008A)	AFCARS	Reporting Period: Oc	tober 1, 2007 – Mar	rch 31, 2008 (2008A)
---	--------	-----------------------------	---------------------	----------------------

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
		3) The wrong date may be	3) Modify the program code		3) <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> There are no changes for this task.
			accordingly.		CB, 05/2012: no updates found.
		submissions, if a new removal			NC 5/2014 – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and
		episode begins after the reporting			we will write requirements to modify code once current
		period but before the date of			code is identified.
		submission of that subsequent			NC 10/2014 – No changes have been made at this time
		data file.			(see notes at end of this document).
					NC 10/2015 – We have a meeting scheduled with the
		Data Quality			new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of
		Post Site-Visit Corrections: The			staffing resources has prevented us from being able to
		program code has been modified			complete this previously.
		to correctly exclude removal			
		episodes whose only living			
		arrangement is a hospital or locked			
		facility.			
		Casa File Deview Findings, 7			
		Case File Review Findings: 7 (10%) of the records analyzed did			
		not match what was reported in			
		AFCARS.			
		A child who entered and			
		discharged from foster care in one			
		county with one ID, who later			
		enters foster care in another			
		county with a different ID will not			
		have the earlier foster care			
		experience available for reporting			
		purposes. The State must devise			
		a method to report the date of a			
		prior removal episode discharge			
		date.			
#21 Date of Latest	2		Program Code	Code	1) <u>CB, 4/2011:</u> There don't appear to be any changes.
Removal		The date of removal is associated	1) If the first ever removal from	1) Oct 2010	CB, 12/2011: The new extraction code does correctly
		with the date of the first living	home contained as a the first	C, 12/2011	check for and select the most recent start of a living
(mo)			placement a locked facility or		arrangement that is out of the child's parents home and is
(day)(year)		episode and not the date of legal	hospital and the child then went to		not a hospital or locked facility (jail or juvenile facility).
-		authority. This reflects the date the	a foster care setting, the program		

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
		instead of the date of the court	code must extract the start date of this foster care setting as the date of removal.		2) NC11/2010, See attached program and
			only check for dates that occur within the report period.	2) Oct 2010	2) <u>NC11/2010:</u> See attached program code <u>CB, 4/2011:</u> There don't appear to be any changes. <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> Unable to locate any date checking logic for insuring that the removal is prior to the end of the report period. <u>CB, 05/2012:</u> no updates found. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and we will write requirements to modify code once current
		Data Quality <u>Post Site-Visit Corrections</u> : The program code has been modified to correctly check for the earliest begin date of the child's living arrangement that is not a hospital or locked facility (LNs 88100- 88200).	Data Quality/Training/ Supervision 1) The Children's Bureau will monitor future and subsequent files for changes in the data.	N/A	code is identified. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously.
#23 Date of Placement in Current Foster Care Setting (mo) (day)(year)	3	<u>Post Site-Visit Corrections</u> : 1) The program code has been modified to correctly include living arrangements of "trial home visit" and "runaway" when reporting the date of placement in the current foster care setting (LNs 196400-196520).	 The Children's Bureau will monitor future and subsequent files for changes in the data. Describe, develop, and implement a method to ensure the accurate and timely entry of this data. 	N/A Mar 2011	CB will contact the state based on the review of subsequent files. NCDSS TA(See above comments concerning NCDSS TA)
		and Payment Report (CPPR),	3) Implement supervisory oversight for the correct and timely entry of this data.	Mar 2011	

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Foster Care Data Elements

State: North Carolina

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
		workers are instructed to use a second blank page if more than 3 updates are entered at a time. <u>Case File Review Findings</u> : 36 (49%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.			
#24 Number of Previous Placement Settings in This Episode	2	Program Code (Pqa847 LNs 203400-212900): 1) The program code currently includes all hospitalizations in the placement count. 2) When a child returns to the	Program Code 1) The State needs to determine if the Medicaid State plan defines a length of stay for "acute care." If so, provide the Federal team with a copy of the plan with this language. If it does not, then the State needs to determine what they are going to consider an acute care hospital stay and provide the Federal team with their proposal. 1a) The program code must be modified to exclude from the placement count hospitalizations for acute care. The code is to continue counting non-acute care hospital stays.		Program Code <u>NC 2/2010-</u> NCDSS is currently researching the state Medicaid plan for the definition of "acute care". <u>CB, 05/2012</u> : no updates found. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – We are working with our Policy Team to determine if acute care is defined in our Medicaid State Plan and, if not will establish a definition, update manuals and the DSS-5094 instructions, and work with IT to modify the code. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2015</u> – No changes have been made at this time
		same living arrangement from which he/she ran away or when placed in his/her own home, the program code is incorrectly counting the return to the same	2) Modify the program code to not increment the placement count of a return from a runaway or "trial home visit" to the same prior location.		2) <u>NC11/2010:</u> See attached program code <u>CB, 4/2011:</u> There don't appear to be any changes. <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The extraction code has been changed to correctly not increment the element #24 count for returns from a runaway episode.
			3) Modify the program code to initialize the placement count to zero.		3) <u>NC11/2010:</u> See attached program code. <u>CB, 4/2011:</u> There don't appear to be any changes. <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The extraction code has been changed to

Element	Rating	Findings	g Period: October 1, 2007 – N Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
Liement	кашу	Ŭ	IdSKS	Dale	
			3a) Modify the program code to not count the first placement setting of "runaway."	3a) Dec 2010	correctly initialize the placement count to zero. 3a) <u>NC11/2010:</u> See attached program code <u>CB, 4/2011:</u> There don't appear to be any changes. <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The extraction code has been changed (if I am reading this correctly) to not count <i>any</i> runaway, hospital, locked facility, respite or trial home visit (CURS4). The State must include non-acute hospital stays and locked placements. <u>CB, 7/2012:</u> No changes made to code. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and we will write requirements to modify code once current code is identified. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time (see notes at end of this document).
		increments the count when the placement setting changes status (e.g. a foster home becomes a pre- adoptive home). As part of the post site-visit phase the State modified the program code to incorrectly increment the count when the living arrangement type changes, despite the facility ID remaining the same (status change).	 count living arrangements as moves when only the status changes. 4) Modify the program code to no count a change in status of a foster home as a placement move. <u>Data Quality/Training/</u> <u>Supervision</u> 1) The Children's Bureau will monitor future and subsequent files 	C, 12/2011	4) <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The extraction code been changed to correctly check for a facility code to determine if a status change is an actual physical location change.

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Foster Care Data Elements

State: North Carolina

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
		2) The program code has been modified to correctly not count the "perm box" values of 3 or 4 <u>Case File Review Findings</u> : 33 (45%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in			
 #25 Manner of Removal From Home for Current placement Episode 1 = Voluntary 2 = Court Ordered 3 = Not Yet Determined 	2	AFCARS. <u>Program Code (Pqa847_LNs</u> <u>213400-215500):</u> <u>Post site-visit corrections (LNs</u> <u>214100-215300)</u> : The The value "10, transfer in from another NC county is mapped to "3, not yet determined." (See other issues related to cases being discharged and re-entered as a new removal for these situations.) The State mapped this value to "court ordered." This approach must be modified because a case that has the jurisdiction transferred from one county to another is not a new removal episode. The original removal manner is to still be reported for the child.	Program Code 1) The State must devise a method to maintain removal information.		This correction will be made as part of the History Table Project. <u>NC 2/2010</u> - NCDSS is currently working with NCDIRM (technical partners) on this ongoing long term project. <u>NC10/2011</u> : Full implementation of this project has been delayed due to limited resources and delay in county data clean up efforts. <u>NC4/2012</u> . This issue may be addressed using the NCFAST Case Management System. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – This issue will be resolved with the implementation of NCFAST which will allow the child's history to remain attached to a single identifier. See notes regarding these at the end of this document. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – At this time NC FAST continues to be the official plan for NC <u>NC 10/2015</u> – NC FAST is still the plan for NC. We have done some work with the NC FAST team regarding requirements for the Child Welfare portion, so this work is moving forward
		Data Quality <u>Post site-visit corrections (LNs</u> <u>214100-215300)</u> : The State has corrected the mapping of some values. State codes "5" and "6," "reserved for later use," "8, ICPC into NC" and "other values" are now correctly mapped to blank. "Relinquishment for adoption" is now correctly mapped to "court	Data Quality/Training/ Supervision 1) The Children's Bureau will monitor future and subsequent files for changes in the data.	N/A	

Element	Rating	J	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
		ordered." The State value "11, placement was originally VPA" is now correctly mapped to "voluntary."			
Actions or Conditions Associated With Child's Removal (Indicate all that apply with a "1".) #26 Physical Abuse #27 Sexual Abuse #28 Neglect #29 Parent Alcohol Abuse #30 Parent Drug Abuse #31 Child Alcohol Abuse #32 Child Drug Abuse #33 Child Disability #34 Child's Behavior Problem #35 Death of Parent #36 Incarceration of Parent #37 Caretaker Inability to Cope Due to Illness or Other Reasons #38 Abandonment #39 Relinquishment #40 Inadequate Housing [0-Does not Apply] 1-Applies	3	"voluntary." <u>Form:</u> DSS-5094, Child Placement and Payment Report (CPPR), section III. Placement Authority (CPPS Manual page 14) The State's list includes "domestic violence."	 Domestic violence can be mapped to "neglect." The State needs to provide training that Fetal Alcohol Exposure should be recorded for this element. The State needs to provide training that Fetal Drug Exposure should be recorded for this element. The State incorrectly records Safe Haven (Safe Surrender) as abandonment. The State indicated they will probably add an option of "Safe Surrender" to the options list. 	4) Oct 2010	 1)NC11/2010: See attached program code 2) NC 5/2014 – We are unclear about tasks #2 and #3. Do you mean NC should include 2 new data elements: 'Fetal Alcohol' and "Fetal Drug Abuse" on the form, or that if the child has fetal alcohol (drug), then parent alcohol (drug) abuse should be selected? 3) NC 10/2014 – Did not receive feedback on this item 6/2014. Still unsure how to properly correct this item. CB, 12/2014: As this element is rated a 3 tasks are for proper data entry. If a field is added to track this information, then the system and the extract logic must be modified. NC 10/2015 – Will plan to add additional fields 4) NC10/2011: See attached DSS5104 Form http://info.dhhs.state.nc.us/olm/forms/dss/dss-5104.pdf NC 5/2014 – At this time we have not added "Safe Surrender" to the options list. If we did, what would it map to? We are unclear why it is incorrect to map Safe Surrender to abandonment – the AFCARS definition "Child left alone or with others; caretaker did not return or make whereabouts known" describes Safe Surrender. CB, 6/2014: Safe Surrender is to be mapped to relinquishment as the child is not abandoned and instead was taken to a safe place and safely surrendered. This is more in line with relinquishment because an abandoned baby/child the agency will seek to find and identify the parent and then criminal charges could be pursued. NC 10/2014 – We will add this modification to the DS-

AFCARS Reporting Period: October 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008 (2008A)	AFCARS Reporting Peri	od: October 1, 2007	– March 31, 2008 (2008	8A)
---	-----------------------	---------------------	------------------------	-----

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
				October 2012	but we will include these in the changes submitted after the meeting with the new IT manager 10/19/2015.7) Statewide Unique Identifier and History Table projects will correct this issue.
#41 Current Placement Setting 1 = Pre-Adoptive Home 2 = Foster Family Home-Relative 3 = Foster Family Home-Non-Relative 4 = Group Home 5 = Institution 6 = Supervised Independent Living 7 = Runaway 8 = Trial Home Visit	23	Program Code Pqa847 LNs 215800-223500): Post Site-Visit Corrections: 1) Living arrangements with State codes "72" and "74" are no longer extracted as placement settings. Data Quality The State code for runaway (76) is now correctly mapped to AFCARS "7, runaway." The State code "53, therapeutic home" is now mapped to "foster family home non-relative." State codes "50, home of parents" and "51, home of legal guardian" are now correctly mapped to blank. "98, respite," is no longer extracted as a placement setting.	codes. <u>Data Quality/Training/</u> <u>Supervision</u> 1) The Children's Bureau will monitor future and subsequent files for changes in the data.		Please see attached definitions for the state codes in the CPPS Manual pgs 29-33.
#43 Most recent case plan goal 1 = Reunify With Parent(s) Or Principal Caretaker(s) 2 = Live With Relative(s)	2	Program Code (Pqa847 LNs 223800-226100) 1) "Guardianship with relative (04)" is incorrectly mapped to "guardianship,"	1) Modify the program code to map "guardianship with relative" to "live	Program Code 1) Oct 2010 Mar 2011	Program Code 1) <u>CB, 12/2011</u> : The extraction code has not been changed ("04" is still mapped to "06"). <u>CB, 05/2012</u> : No changes since last update. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and we will write requirements to modify code once current code is identified.

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Foster Care Data Elements

State: North Carolina

Element	Rating		Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
3 = Adoption 4 = Long Term Foster Care 5 = Emancipation 6 = Guardianship 7 = Case Plan Goal Not Yet Established		2) Finding as written in the Findings document: The program code maps the value "Custody with non-removal parent or relative" (code 6) to "live with other relative." The State must replace this value and separate it into two different values. <u>Post Site-Visit</u> <u>Corrections</u> : The State code "6, custody with non-removal parent"	parent or relative") with 2 different	2) Oct 2010 Mar 2011	 <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously. 2) <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The extraction code now maps "06" to "01" but no updated version of the case plan goal code values is provided so it isn't clear what the mapping really means. <u>CB, 7/2012:</u> Based on information in the CPPS manual (attachment 2), this value has not been corrected. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – We need some clarification on Finding and Task #2. As we are interpreting it, the first sentence in Findings #2 states what we are doing incorrectly. The second sentence of task #2 directs us on the change we should make. However those are the same. If we are supposed to separate into 2 values, what is the other value supposed to be? <u>CB, 6/2014</u>: I (AP) reviewed the findings document and rewrote the finding and task for #2.
			3) Since these youth are to be reported as discharged from foster care for AFCARS reporting purposes, this value should be commented out in the program code.	3) Oct 2010	3) <u>NC11/2010</u> : See attached program code <u>CB, 4/2011</u> : There don't appear to be any changes. <u>CB, 12/2011</u> : The extraction code still maps a code of "09" to an AFCARS case plan goal code of "05." <u>CB, 05/2012</u> : No changes since last update. <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously.

			g Period: October 1, 2007 – I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
		Term Foster Care 0; Emancipation = 299 (2%); Guardianship = 1,028 (8%); Case Plan Goal Not Yet Established = 107 (1%); Not reported = 77 <u>Case File Review Findings</u> : 14 (19%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS. <u>Post site-visit correction</u> : The State code "6, custody with non-removal parent" is now mapped to "reunification"	 3a) The State needs to identify if there are any other circumstances in which the AFCARS value "emancipation" would be used. <u>Data Quality/Training/</u> <u>Supervision</u> 1&2) Task moved to GR Document. 3) The Children's Bureau will monitor future and subsequent files for changes in the data. 	3a) Oct 2010 OK	 3a) <u>NC11/2010</u>: NCDSS will clarify whether or not there are circumstances in which the AFCARS value "emancipation would be used. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – There are no other circumstances where a child's plan goal would be emancipation. <u>DQ</u> 1 & 2) Notes moved to GR Document. CB will contact the state based on the review of subsequent files. The post site correction is also unclear to us, as it seems to imply we have made corrections, but the item is not listed as corrected. <u>CB, 6/2014</u>: Note that it is listed in the data quality section.
#44 Caretaker Family Structure 1 = Married Couple 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male 5 = Unable to Determine	3		1) The Children's Bureau will monitor future and subsequent files for changes in the data.	N/A	CB will contact the state based on the review of subsequent files.
#47 Mother's Date of TPR	2		Program Code/System A history of TPR dates must be maintained and the program code		This correction will be made as part of the History Table Project. NC 5/2014 – This will be resolved with the addition of a
#48 Legal or Putative Father's TPR		recent TPR date if more than one is present. The date that was	revised to pull the most recent TPR date and the for the report period		history table created with the implementation of NCFAST. See notes regarding these at the end of this document.

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Foster Care Data Elements State: North Carolina

Element	Rating		Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
(mo) (day)(year)		entered most recently will be reported. This is because only the recent TPR date is stored in the AFCARS table. Therefore, the most recently entered, rather than the most recent TPR date, is reported. If the State resubmits a prior report period, the latest TPR and not the one that may exist during the resubmitted report period is submitted. This would appear as a future date. <u>Case File Review Findings</u> : 10 (14%) of the records analyzed did not match what was reported in AFCARS.	being submitted. <u>Data Quality</u> 3) The Children's Bureau will monitor future and subsequent files for changes in the data.		<u>NC 10/2014</u> – At this time NC FAST continues to be the official plan for NC <u>NC 10/2015</u> – NC FAST is still the plan for NC. We have done some work with the NC FAST team regarding requirements for the Child Welfare portion, so this work is moving forward
#49 Foster Family Structure 0 = Not Applicable 1 = Married Couple 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male	2	 <u>Program Code (pqa847 LNs</u> <u>164500-164900):</u> 1) State staff indicated that the only table that does not keep a date history is 112T, which contains this information, as well as the information for FC elements #49, and #52-55. Therefore, if the State were to resubmit an AFCARS file, the appropriate information for the applicable report period would not be reported. 2) The program code has been modified to incorrectly report this element as blank if the current placement type is other than "1," 2", or "3" (LN 221900). 	table associated with foster family information. 2) When the placement type is not a foster home setting, this element		 NCFAST Case Management System. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – The history table issue will be resolved with the implementation of NCFAST. See notes regarding these at the end of this document. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – At this time NC FAST continues to be the official plan for NC <u>NC 10/2015</u> – NC FAST is still the plan for NC. We have done some work with the NC FAST team regarding requirements for the Child Welfare portion, so this work is moving forward 2) This correction will be made as part of the History Table Project. <u>NC4/2012</u>. This issue may be addressed

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Foster Care Data Elements

State: North Carolina

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
	V	Post site-visit corrections: Missing data are now correctly mapped to blanks (LNs 164500- 164600). Form: DSS-5094, Child Placement	Data Quality/Training/ Supervision 1&2) Task moved to GR Document. 3) The Children's Bureau will monitor future and subsequent files	1) N/A	Data Quality 1& 2) Notes moved to GR Document. CB will contact the state based on the review of subsequent files.
			for changes in the data.		
#50 1 st Foster Caretaker's Birth Year #51 2 nd Foster Caretaker's Birth Year	2	165000-166200): Review of the program code and data entry process indicates this information is not associated with a particular placement. It appears that if a new placement is entered	1) Modify the system to collect and store information related to each set of foster parents for each associated foster care setting the child is placed.	Mar 2011 October 2012	<u>NC4/2012-</u> This issue may be addressed using the NCFAST Case Management System. <u>http://www.ncdhhs.gov/ncfast/index.htm</u> <u>NC 5/2014</u> – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and we will write requirements to modify code once current
		is not updated, the previous foster	1a) Modify the extraction code to extract the correct foster parent's date of birth information for the correct living arrangement. <u>Data Quality/Training/</u>		code is identified. The historical data should be resolved with the implementation of NCFAST. See notes regarding these at the end of this document. <u>NC 10/2014</u> –No code changes have been made at this time. Regarding the history table, NC FAST continues to be the official plan for NC

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Foster Care Data Elements State: North Carolina

Element	Rating	L	g Period: October 1, 2007 – I Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
Eleinent	кашу	and Payment Report (CPPR),	Supervision	Dale	<u>NC 10/2015</u> – NC FAST is still the plan for NC. We have
		section XII. Substitute Parent	Form:	DQ	done some work with the NC FAST team regarding
		Information	1) If necessary, modify the form to	1)	requirements for the Child Welfare portion, so this work is
			ensure that the foster parent	1)	moving forward
			information is correctly associated		moving forward
			with the child's current foster care		
					Data Quality
			setting.		1)
		2) Case workers are not entering	2) Ensure that caseworkers are		1)
		,	entering information on relative		
			foster parents.		
		Case File Review Findings, #50:	3&4) Task moved to GR		
		15 (21%) of the records analyzed	Document.		
		did not match what was reported in	Document.		
		AFCARS.			
		Case File Review Findings, #51:			
		12 (16%) of the records analyzed			
		did not match what was reported in			
		AFCARS.			3&4) Notes moved to GR Document.
#52 1 st Foster	2	Program Code (pga847 LNs	Program Code/System		
Caretaker's Race	-	167800-181800):	1) Modify the extraction code to	1) July 2012	1) CB, 12/2011: The extraction code does not appear to
			extract the correct foster care race		have been modified for the requested change.
#54 2 nd Foster			information for the correct living		NC 5/2014 – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and
Caretaker's Race (if			arrangement.		we will write requirements to modify code once current
applicable)		possible that race is reported even			code is identified. The historical data should be resolved
		when it is not applicable to the			with the implementation of NCFAST. See notes regarding
a. American Indian or		current placement setting.			these at the end of this document.
Alaska Native					NC 10/2014 –No code changes have been made at this
b. Asian					time. Regarding the history table, NC FAST continues to
c. Black or African					be the official plan for NC
American					NC 10/2015 – NC FAST is still the plan for NC. We have
d. Native Hawaiian or					done some work with the NC FAST team regarding
Other Pacific Islander					requirements for the Child Welfare portion, so this work is
e. White					moving forward
f. Unable to Determine					Ť

Element	Rating	Findings	g Period: October 1, 2007 – M Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
Licition	Rating	Form: DSS-5094, Child Placement		Form/DQ	Form/DQ
		and Payment Report (CPPR),	Supervision	Mar 2011	1) The importance of timely and accurate data entry will
		section XII. Substitute Parent	1) The State needs to have		be addressed as part of the NCDSS TA that the state
		Information	supervisors check that this data is	Ongoing	office will provide to the local dss departments.
			being entered.	ongoing	
		the information for relative foster	being entered.		
		parents.			
			2) The Children's Bureau will	N/A	CB will contact the state based on the review of
			monitor future and subsequent files		subsequent files.
		recommendations regarding a date			
		history for these elements.			
		Case File Review Findings: 15			
		(21%) of the records analyzed did			
		not match what was reported in			
		AFCARS.			
		Post Site-Visit Corrections: The			
		program code has been modified			
		to correctly set this element to			
		blank if foster care element #41			
		has a value = 4, 5, 6, 7, or 8 (LNs			
		221300-221450). If all race values			
		are missing, the program code now			
		correctly sets all race values in			
		foster care element #52 a-f to			
		blank (LNs 181948-181961).			
#53 1st Foster	2	Program Code (pqa847 LNs	Program Code	Code	Code
Caretaker's Hispanic or		167800-181946) <u>:</u>	1) Modify the program code to	1) July 2012	1) <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The extraction code still incorrectly
Latino Origin		1) This element is incorrectly	initialize this element to blank.		initializes the Hispanic or Latino Origin code to "2."
#55 2 nd Foster		initialized to "2, no."			NC 5/2014 – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and
Caretaker's Hispanic					we will write requirements to modify code once current
Origin					code is identified.
0 = Not applicable					NC 10/2014 – No changes have been made at this time
1 = Yes					(see notes at end of this document).
2 = No					NC 10/2015 – We have a meeting scheduled with the
3=Unable to determine					new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
					staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously.
		2) The program code incorrectly does not set this element to "not applicable" if foster care element #41 has a value = 4, 5, 6, 7 or 8.	2) Modify the program code to check if the child's placement is a non-foster home setting, if it is then map this element to "not applicable."	2) July 2012 C, 12/2011	2) <u>CB, 12/2011</u> : The extraction code does check for and set "not applicable." <u>NC 5/2014</u> – So we have corrected #2? <u>NC 10/2014</u> – Is #2 corrected?
		3) The foster caretaker's Hispanic origin may not be associated with the current placement. In such cases, it is possible that Hispanic origin is reported even when it is not applicable to the current placement setting.	3) Modify the program code to associate the reporting of this information with the foster parents of the current, or if the child discharged from foster care the last, foster home.	3) July 2012	3) <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The extraction code does not appear to check for the Hispanic or Latino code being associated with the correct foster parents. <u>The state</u> has a question about whether or not a value for "unable to determine" needs to be included in FC Element #s 53 & 55. <u>CB, 10/2009:</u> Unable to determine would be used if the person refused to provide their Hispanic/Latino ethnicity. You could use the value "declined" and map it to AFCARS. <u>NC11/2010:</u> NC has added a field to capture "declined" . <u>CB, 4/2011:</u> Provide forms/screens <u>NC10/2011:</u> See attached form link DSS5027 <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The State provided the form but no
		Form: DSS-5094, Child Placement and Payment Report (CPPR), section XII. Substitute Parent Information 1) Case workers are not entering the information for relative foster	1) Provide training to case workers	DQ	instructions as to what values are used or their meaning. NC4/2012: See attached instructions and valid values: ATTACHMENT 2 <u>NC 5/2014</u> – We are developing requirements documents to add "declined" to our system. When NCFAST is implemented, race/ethnicity will be captured according to CNDS requirements. <u>NC 10/2014</u> –No code changes have been made at this time. Regarding race/ethnicity, NC FAST continues to be the official plan for NC <u>NC 10/2014</u> – We have added two new race codes that capture "declined", but since our current system does not capture Hispanic origin separate from race, they may not be applicable for all situations. Regarding race/ethnicity,

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
					NC FAST continues to be the official plan for NC
#56 Date of Discharge from foster care (mo) (day)(year)	2	Program Code The State is incorrectly including youth over the age of 18.	1) For youth that turn 18 within the reporting period, if element 56 is blank, set element 56 to the youth's 18 th birthday.	Code 1)	Program Code 1) CB, 12/2011: The extraction code does not appear to be corrected for this task. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and we will write requirements to modify code once current code is identified.
			Data Quality 1) Supervisors need to ensure this data is entered correctly and in a timely manner.	Data Quality 1) Mar 2011	<u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to
			2) CB will review and monitor the data in the 2009A and B report periods for improvement.	N/A	complete this previously.
#57 Date of Discharge Transaction Date (mo) (day)(year)	23	Program Code (pqa847 102100- 107700): The program code does not include a condition that checks if the placement authority termination date is no later than the end of the reporting period within the select statement itself. The current logic may result in transaction dates of discharge not being reported, especially for subsequent submissions in cases where another removal and/or discharge occur after the reporting period but before the time the subsequent data file is generated.	Program Code 1) Modify the program code to ensure that the correct date is extracted for subsequent submissions.	Oct 2010 C 12/2011	NC11/2010: See attached program code <u>CB, 4/2011</u> : There don't appear to be any <u>CB, 12/2011</u> : The extraction code has been changed to correctly check that the discharge transaction date selected occurs prior to the end of the reporting period.
#58 Reason for Discharge [0 = Not Applicable] 1 = Reunification with Parent(s) or Primary Caretaker(s)	2	Program Code (Pqa847 LNs 226600-233200): 1) The placement authority termination reason field is selected from the client_id table, as the record where the timestamp and removal dates are the maximum	Program Code 1) Modify the program code to ensure that the correct date is extracted for subsequent submissions.	Code 1) Oct 2010 Mar 2011	Program Code 1) CB, 12/2011: The extraction code does not appear to have been changed to address this task. CB, 05/2012: No logic found to check report period date when selecting discharge reason. NC 5/2014 – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and we will write requirements to modify code once current

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Foster Care Data Elements

State: North Carolina

Dation		, , ,		
Rating		I asks	Date	Comments/Notes
	could produce the wrong values for a subsequent data file submission, if a new record with a later timestamp and removal date has been entered prior to submission			code is identified. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously.
	maps the value "Custody with non-	parent" (i.e., non-custodial parent)	2) Oct 2010 Mar 2011	2) <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The extraction code does not appear to have been changed to address this task. <u>CB, 7/2012:</u> Based on the values listed in the CPPS submitted as attachment 2, the value "05" still is for custody with non-removal parent or relative. This value must be separated into two different codes. <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We will add an additional code and work to update the extraction code after our 10/29/2015 meeting with the new IT program manager.
		map "custody to a non-custodial	2a) Oct 2010 Mar 2011	2a) <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The extraction code does not appear to have been changed to address this task. <u>CB, 7/2012:</u> Based on the values listed in the CPPS submitted as attachment 2, the value "05" still is for custody with non-removal parent or relative. This value must be separated into two different codes. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – We have the same clarification questions here as we do for AFCARS element #43. <u>CB, 6/2014:</u> Unresolved. State should work with CB to clarify the questions. <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We will add an additional code and work to update the extraction code after our 10/29/2015 meeting with the new IT program manager
		RatingFindingsvalues in the table. This logic could produce the wrong values for a subsequent data file submission, if a new record with a later timestamp and removal date has been entered prior to submission of the data.2) The program code incorrectly maps the value "Custody with non- removal parent or relative" (code 05) to "live with other relative."	RatingFindingsTasksvalues in the table. This logic could produce the wrong values for a subsequent data file submission, if a new record with a later timestamp and removal date has been entered prior to submission of the data.2) Map "Custody with non-removal parent" (i.e., non-custodial parent) to "reunification."	 values in the table. This logic could produce the wrong values for a subsequent data file submission, if a new record with a later timestamp and removal date has been entered prior to submission of the data. 2) The program code incorrectly maps the value "Custody with non-removal parent or relative" (code 05) to "live with other relative." 2) Map "Custody with non-removal 2) Oct 2010 Mar 2011 2) Map "Custody with non-removal parent) to "reunification." 2) Map "Custody with non-removal parent or relative." 2) Map "Custody with non-removal parent" (i.e., non-custodial parent) to "reunification." 2a) Modify the program code to map "custody to a non-custodial

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
	3	3) The State is incorrectly including		3) Oct 2010	3) CB, 12/2011: The extraction code does not appear to
		youth over the age of 18.	reporting period, if element 56 is	Mar 2011	have been changed to address this task.
			blank, set element 58 to "4		CB, 05/2012: No changes found.
			(Emancipation)."		NC 5/2014 – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and
					we will write requirements to modify code once current
					code is identified.
					CB, 6/2014: Unresolved. State will update code per
					recommendations.
					<u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time
					(see notes at end of this document).
					<u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the
					new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of
					staffing resources has prevented us from being able to
					complete this previously.
		4) The value "custody with other	4) Modify the program code to map	1) Oct 2010	(1) CP 12/2011. The extraction code does not appear to
		court approved caretaker" is	"custody with other court approved		4) <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The extraction code does not appear to have been changed to address this task.
		incorrectly mapped to the AFCARS		C, 7/2012	<u>CB, 05/2012:</u> The extraction code correctly maps
		value "live with other relatives."	carctaker to guardiariship.	0, 112012	"Custody with other court approved caretaker" (code 04)
					to "5" (Guardianship).
				Form	Form
		Data Quality	Form:	1) Oct 2010	1) CB, 7/2012: Based on the values listed in the CPPS
		Form: DSS-5094, Child Placement	1) The State must replace the	Mar 2011	submitted as attachment 2, the value "05" still is for
		and Payment Report (CPPR),	value "Custody with non-removal		custody with non-removal parent or relative. This value
		section III. Placement Authority	parent or relative" (code 05) with		must be separated into two different codes.
		(CPPS Manual page 16)	two separate values.		
					<u>NC 5/2014</u> – We have the same clarification questions
		1) The State has an option of			here as we do for AFCARS element #43.
		"Custody with non-removal parent	3) The State must devise a method		CB, 6/2014: Unresolved. State should work with CB to
		or relative" (code 05).	to maintain removal information.		clarify the questions.
		Caseworkers are incorrectly			NC 10/2015 – We will add an additional code and work to
		entering situations in which the			update the extraction code after our 10/29/2015 meeting
		responsibility of a case is			with the new IT program manager
		transferred from one county title		1	

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Foster Care Data Elements State: North Carolina

AFCARS Reporting Period: October 1,	, 2007 – March 31, 2008 (2008A)
-------------------------------------	---------------------------------

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
		IVB/IVE agency to another as a discharge and the reason of "transfer to another agency." These are not discharges as the child is still in foster care in the responsibility of a county title IVB/IVE agency. Transfer to another agency is applicable for exits from foster care due to transfers of jurisdiction to an agency outside of the child welfare agency.		Duto	
Source(s) of Federal financial support/assistance for child	3	Form: DSS-5094, Child Placement and Payment Report (CPPR), section XI. Federal Assistance	 The Children's Bureau will monitor future and subsequent files for changes in the data. 	N/A	CB will contact the state based on the review of subsequent files.
0-Does not apply 1-Applies		The number of records reported as applies appears to be under reported.		Mar 2011	NCDSS TA
#63 Title XIX (Medicaid)			this data.	Mar 2011	NCDSS TA
Source(s) of Federal financial support/assistance for child	2	The program code must be modified to also check the field "child's resources." <u>Post Site-Visit</u> <u>Corrections</u> : The program code has been modified to set this	1) Please provide a definition of "CPARFEE."		1) <u>CB, 7/2012:</u> The State still has not provided this information. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – We are submitting this question to our IT partners.
0-Does not apply 1-Applies		element to "applies" when a non- zero value of CPARFEE is found in	2) Provide clarification to workers	Mar 2011	<u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to review this code. Lack of
#65 None of the Above		"applies" in one or more of the elements #59-64. <u>Form:</u> DSS-5094, Child Placement and Payment Report (CPPR),	and supervisors the instructions for this section of the form.3) The Children's Bureau will review the data for improvement.	N/A	staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously.

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Foster Care Data Elements

State: North Carolina

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
#66 Amount Of Monthly Foster Care Payment (regardless of source)	2	amount last paid to the provider, which may not be a full monthly payment. The extraction code must be modified to extract a full		Oct 2010	 <u>NC11/2010:</u> See attached program code <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The extraction code for element #66 has been changed from previous submissions but it isn't clear that the changes actually address the requirement to report a full month of payments. <u>NC4/2012</u>: See attached instructions to address monthly payments. <u>CB, 7/2012</u>: How does this address the task for correcting the program code? <u>NC 5/2014</u> – We will correct the extraction code, but we would like to clarify what should be extracted. When you ask about a full monthly payment, are you referring to the monthly rate, or the total amount of money a provider received. If the child was not in care a full month those would be different. <u>CB, 6/2014</u>: This is to be a full monthly payment. If the child was not in the same setting for the full month, then a full monthly payment was not ande to the provider. If the prior month's payment was to a similar type of provider and for the full month, the agency could report that amount. Otherwise, they would report zeroes for this

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Comments/Notes
					element. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – We will write requirements for our IT partners to change the code. <u>NC 10/2015</u> – We have a meeting scheduled with the new IT manager on 10/29/14 to edit this code. Lac of staffing resources has prevented us from being able to complete this previously.

Element	Rating	_	Tasks	Date	Notes
#4 State Agency	<u>2</u>	The program code does not	Program code	Code	1) Correction Applied (Nov 2008): Removed the main
Involvement 1 = Yes 2 = No	3	identify private agency adoptions, whether the private agency was in- state or out-of-state, of children with special needs adoptions and map this element to "yes." <u>Data Quality</u> The State may want to either add a field in AIMS to distinguish those records that are to be reported for AFCARS as "state agency involvement." Or, the State needs to derive the response from the appropriate elements.	1) Modify the program code to check for both in-State and out-of- State private adoption agencies and set this element to "yes" as appropriate.	Sep 2009 C, 10/2009	extract program selection logic of [Placetype field Not "B" and Not "E"] so private agency adoptions will be extracted for reporting. Then changed data element #4 program code to mark State Involvement as Yes if AAAgree is Yes and either Prior Custody is Yes or Placetype is an A,B,C,D or E. Also, added a new edit to AIMS so insure that if AAAgree is Yes that the Special Needs has been set to Yes and three new required edits on AAAgree, Placetype and PCustody fields. (See attached Adoption File program Code) <u>CB, 10/2009:</u> The program code has been correctly modified. Missing information is mapped to blank. LNs 322- 347 & 551-580.
#9 Has Agency Determined Special Needs 1 = Yes 2 = No	2	Program Code: The program code does not check for the values "B" or "no."	Program Code 1) Modify the program code to map the values "B" and "no" to the AFCARS value "2, no."	Code 1) Oct 2010 C, 7/2012	1) <u>CB, 4/2011</u> : There doesn't appear to be any changes. <u>NC10/2011</u> : See attachment 1 <u>CB, 12/2011</u> : The extraction code once had the required code but it is commented out; therefore still incorrect. <u>CB, 05/2012</u> : The extraction code has been change to correctly map "B" and "no" to "2."
		Data Quality Frequency Report (n=72): Yes = 52 (72%); No = 20 (28%); Not reported = 0	 2) Modify the program code to map missing data to blanks. <u>Data Quality</u> ACF will evaluate the subsequent data files and the regular data files for improvement. 	2) Sep 2009 C, 10/2009 C, 7/2012	2) Correction Applied (Nov 2008): See attached Adoption File Program Code <u>CB, 10/2009</u> : The program code has been modified to correctly map missing information to blank. LNs 986-1002. <u>CB, 12/2011</u> : The extraction code once had the required code but it is commented out; therefore still incorrect. <u>CB, 05/2012</u> : The extraction code has been change to correctly map missing data to blanks.
#10 Primary Basis for Determining Special Needs 0 = Not Applicable	3	<u>Data Quality</u> Frequency Report (n=72): Not applicable = 20; Race/Original Background = 1 (1.39%); Age = 11	Subsequent and regular files will be assessed for accuracy once changes are completed to elements #4 and 9.	N/A	CB will review subsequent files.

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Adoption Data Elements

State: North Carolina AFCARS Reporting Period: October 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008 (2008A)

Retardation1) Values for elements #11-15 are reported regardless of whether or not the value of element #10 is "4, #13 Physically1) Modify the program code to only report diagnosed conditions if element #10 is "medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities."Only report diagnosed conditions if element #10 is "medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities" though the comments in the code suggest that it does. CB, 05/2012: The extraction code correctly reports value for diagnosed conditions or mental, physical or element #10 is "medical conditions or mental, physical or element #10 is "medical conditions or mental, physical or element #10 is "though the comments in the code suggest that it does. CB, 05/2012: The extraction code correctly reports value for diagnosed conditions or mental, physical or emotional conditions or mental, physical or emotional conditions). * * However, the numbers on the frequency report do not seem to be consistent with this		Dating			D .	
Background medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities = 3 = Membership in a Sibling Group medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities = 21 (29%); Other = 9 (13%); Not reported = 0 medical conditions or Mental, Physical or Emotional See findings for adoption element #16. Disabilities See findings for adoption element #11 Mental Program Code: 1) Values for elements #11-15 are reported regardless of whether or not the value of element #10 is "4, Disabled Program code 1) Values for element #10 is "4, Medical Conditions or Mental, Physical or Emotional Disabilities." 1) Oct 2010 1) CE, 12/2011: The extraction code does not appear to only report diagnosed conditions if element #10 is "medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities." 1) Oct 2010 1) CE, 12/2011: The extraction code does not appear to only report diagnosed conditions if element #10 is "medical conditions on prot diagnosed conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities." #13 Physically Medical Conditions or Mental, Physical or Emotional Disabilities." not mental, physical or emotional disabilities." 1) Oct 2010 Mar 2011 1) CB, 12/2011: The extraction code does not appear to only report diagnosed conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities."	1 = Racial/Original	Rating	3	Tasks	Date	Notes
#10 are reversed. There is still a problem with this. NC 5/2014 – Our IT partners are reviewing the code an will determine what the precise extraction issue is once current code is identified. NC 10/2014 – No changes have been made at this time NC 10/2014 – We met with our Adoption IT folks 10/7/2 and reviewed this. There were 2 issues – 1) the field na were switched and although they were being reported correctly, we submitted requirements to have them labeled correctly. 2) There was no edit relating element #10-4 to AFCARS elements #11-#15. We have submitted	2 = Age 3 = Membership in a Sibling Group 4 = Medical Conditions or Mental, Physical or Emotional Disabilities 5 = Other #11 Mental Retardation #12 Visually/ Hearing Impaired #13 Physically Disabled #14 Emotionally Disturbed #15 Other Diagnosed		 (15%); Sibling group = 10 (14%); medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities = 21 (29%); Other = 9 (13%); Not reported = 0 See findings for adoption element #16. <u>Program Code</u>: 1) Values for elements #11-15 are reported regardless of whether or not the value of element #10 is "4, Medical Conditions or Mental, 	Program code 1) Modify the program code to only report diagnosed conditions if element #10 is "medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional	1) Oct 2010	1) <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The extraction code does not appear to only report diagnosed conditions if element #10 is "medica conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities" ev though the comments in the code suggest that it does. <u>CB, 05/2012:</u> The extraction code correctly reports values for diagnosed conditions only when the value of element #10 is "4" (medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional conditions). * * * However, the numbers on the frequency report do not seem to be consistent with this ar a note in the matrix indicates that data in element #9 and #10 are reversed. There is still a problem with this. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – Our IT partners are reviewing the code and will determine what the precise extraction issue is once current code is identified. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2014</u> – We met with our Adoption IT folks 10/7/2011 and reviewed this. There were 2 issues – 1) the field nam were switched and although they were being reported correctly, we submitted requirements to have them labelle correctly. 2) There was no edit relating element #10-4 to AFCARS elements #11-#15. We have submitted requirement documents for this correction. They are not in

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Notes
Element	Rating	2) The program code incorrectly maps deaf/hearing impaired children to AD element #15: Other Diagnosed Condition. <u>Data Quality</u> The State needs to include	2) Modify the program code to map "deaf/hearing impaired" to adoption element #12. <u>Data Quality/Training/</u> <u>Supervision</u>	2) Oct 2010	Notes 2) <u>CB, 12/2011:</u> The extraction code continues to incorrectly map deaf children to "Other Diagnosed Conditions." <u>CB, 05/2012:</u> The extraction code correctly maps deaf children to #12 (visually/hearing impaired). <u>NC 5/2014</u> - Based on the red statement above, it appears that Task 2 has been corrected, however t, there is not a red "C". Can you confirm this is corrected or tell us what further steps need to be taken?
		the AFCARS disability resource list that is included in Technical Bulletin #14.	for caseworkers to use to identify the appropriate category for the diagnosed condition.		
#18 Mother Married at Time of Birth 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to		<u>Program Code:</u> Review of the program code revealed that missing data are incorrectly defaulted to "unable to determine."	Program code 1) Modify the program code to map missing to blank.	<u>Code</u> 1) Sep 2009 C, 10/2009	Program code 1) <u>Correction Applied (Nov 2008)</u> : Mapped missing data to blank and instructions should be updated to state that "Unknown" should only be used for "Abandoned". <u>CB, 10/2009</u> : The program code has been modified to correctly map missing information to blank. LNs 1458-1509.
Determine		History of Birth Parents (or last	Data Quality 1) Instruct workers that this questions pertains to the biological mother only.	<u>Data Quality</u> Sep 2009	Data Quality See Attached DSS-1808 form Instructions.
			Subsequent and regular files will be assessed for accuracy once changes are completed to this element and #4.	Oct 2010 N/A	
		<u>Frequency Report (n=72)</u> : Yes = 7 (10%); No = 44 (61%); Unable to determine = 0; Not reported = 21 (29%)			
#19 Date of Mother's TPR	2	Program Code: The program code reports the TPR	Program code 1) Modify the program code to be	Oct 2010	Code 1) <u>CB, 4/2011:</u> There don't appear to be any changes.

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Notes
#20 Date of Father's TPR pg 24		date for the biological parents. Form 1808 indicates there are spaces to enter TPR dates for the biological father and the legal father. On AIMS, the instruction is to enter the latter of the biological, legal, or putative father's TPR date in the field "date birth father's parental rights terminated."	able to report a legal parent's TPR date.		NCDSS 10/2011: See attachment 1 <u>CB, 12/2011</u> : The extraction code has not been changed to report a legal parent's TPR as well as a birth parent. <u>CB, 05/2012</u> : The extraction code does not appear to have been changed yet for this task. <u>NC 5/2014</u> – Our IT partners are reviewing the code (per our plan explained in the note at the end of this document) and we will write requirements to modify code once current code is identified. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2015</u> – In reviewing this with our Adoption IT and Policy partners, we need some direction from the CB. WE do not know how to modify the program code to report a legal parent's TPR date We capture this information but our IT partner said that there is only one field provided for Father's TPR in the extraction framework, so he doesn't
 #22 Adoptive Family Structure 1 = Married Couple 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male 	2	 <u>Program Code:</u> 1) The program code incorrectly maps missing adoptive mother or father marital status to "single female" or "single male," respectively. 1a) If no marital status has been found, this element is incorrectly mapped to "single male." 	Program Code 1/1a) Missing data are to be mapped to blank.	1) Sep 2009	 know how we would report a legal and biological father. 1) Correction Applied (Nov 2008): Add program logic to top criteria if AM or AF are "F" then set to "1". Also, mapped missing data to blank. See attached Adoption File Program Code. <u>CB, 10/2009</u>: Missing information still appears to be incorrectly mapped to single male. The temporary variables "amv" and "afv," used to hold the marital status of the adoptive mother and the adoptive father, respectively, are initialized to blank. The CASE step which checks for amv equal to blank will result in an element value of "single male" if the marital status information is missing. Please correct or explain. The Nov 2008 comment by the State staff does not describe the logic implemented in the current program code. Currently, if AM is "F, married/waiver spouse not joining" the program code reports "3, single female" and if AF is "F," the program code reports "4, single male." LNs 1641-1780. <u>NC 10/2011</u>: See attachment 1 <u>CB 12/2011</u>: The CB comments from 10/2009 are still an

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Adoption Data Elements

State: North Carolina

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Notes
Liement	Kating	2) The program code incorrectly maps "married/waiver spouse not joining."	2) Modify the program code to map "married/waiver spouse not joining" to "married couple." Data Quality Subsequent and regular files will		Notes accurate description of the functionality in the most recent version of the program code we have for review. Either we don't have the most recent code or the change has not been made. CB, 05/2012: The extraction code does not appear to have been changed for this task. NC 5/2014 – Our IT partners are reviewing the code (per our plan explained in the note at the end of this document) and we will write requirements to modify code once current code is identified. NC 10/2014 – No changes have been made at this time NC 10/2014 – We met with our Adoption IT folks 10/7/2015 and reviewed this. We have submitted requirement documents for this correction. They are not in the code at this time, they will be by the next report. 2) CB 12/2011: The extraction code does not appear to have been changed for this task. NC 5/2012: The extraction code does not appear to have for review. CB, 05/2012: The extraction code does not appear to have been changed for this task. NC 5/2014 – Our IT partners are reviewing the code (per our plan explained in the note at the end of this document)
			be assessed for accuracy once changes are completed to this element and #4.		and we will write requirements to modify code once current code is identified. <u>NC 10/2014</u> – No changes have been made at this time <u>NC 10/2014</u> – We met with our Adoption IT folks 10/7/2015 and reviewed this. We have submitted requirement documents for this correction. They are not in the code at this time, they will be by the next report.
#26 Adoptive Mother's Hispanic Origin	2 3	Program Code: 1) All values other than those for "yes" and "no, and missing data are incorrectly mapped to "0, not	Program Code 1) Modify the program code to map missing data to blank.	1) Sep 2009 C, 10/2009	1) Correction Applied (Nov 2008): Mapped missing data to blank except if #22 is "4, Single male" then map to "0, not applicable". See attached Adoption File Program Code.
#28 Adoptive Father's		are incorrectly mapped to 0, not			Dee allacheu Aupplicht nie Frugrann Coue.

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW IMPROVEMENT PLAN: Adoption Data Elements

State: North Carolina

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Notes
Hispanic Origin 0 = Not Applicable 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine		applicable."	2) Modify the program code to report the value "0, not applicable" when adoptive family structure element #22 is "4, single male" or "single female," according.	2) C, 10/2009	<u>CB, 10/2009:</u> The program code has been modified to correctly map missing information to blank. LNs 2046-2087 & 2322-2363. 2) <u>CB, 10/2009:</u> The program code has been modified to correctly report "0, not applicable," for adoptive mother's hispanic origin element #26 when adoptive family structure element #22 is "4, single male." The program code now correctly reports "0, not applicable" for adoptive father's hispanic origin element #28 when adoptive family structure element #22 is "3, single female." LNs 2046-2087 & 2322-
		referenced in the Foster Care Matrix of this report.	Provide training to case workers that this information is to be recorded for relative foster homes.		2363.
 #29 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Stepparent #30 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Other Relative #31 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Foster Parent #32 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to 	23	<u>AIMS</u> 1) The system used to collect this data also only allows one option to be entered.	<u>AIMS</u> 1) Modify the system so that multiple relationships (#29 - 33) between the child and adoptive parents can be recorded.	1) Sep 2009 C, 10/2009	1) Correction Applied (Nov 2008): New field added to indicate "Step Parent" relationship. See attached Adoption File Program Code. <u>CB, 10/2009:</u> The program code has been modified to correctly report any and all possible combinations of elements #29-32 as "applies." Four new fields have been added, each indicating whether or not the relationship represented by the corresponding element #29-32 has been selected by the worker. The program code then reports the element as "applies" if the corresponding field value is "yes," and as "does not apply" if the corresponding field value is "no." Missing information is mapped to blank. LNs 2368-2557.
Adoptive Parent to Child - Other Non- Relative 0 = Does not Apply 1 = Applies		Data Quality The instructions to Form 1808 do not indicate to select all relationships that apply.	Data Quality/Training/ Supervision 1) Add instruction to the form to indicate all that apply. 2) Subsequent and regular files will be assessed for accuracy once changes are completed to this	<u>DQ</u> Sep 2009 N/A	DQ 1) See attached DSS-1808 form instructions. NC 5/2014 – The instructions for the DSS-1808 have been corrected. CB, 6/2014: DSS-1808 form not provided with State's AIP documents. NC 10/2014 – See attached DSS-1808 page 9 question

Element	Rating	Findings	Tasks	Date	Notes
			element and #4.		#11, and page 11 question #11
#36 Monthly Amount		Program Code:	Program Code	-	
		The program code incorrectly excludes the amount of State funding sources.	Modify the program code to include the amount of the agreed upon total adoption subsidy payment listed in the adoption agreement.	C, 10/2009	Correction Applied (Nov 2008): Changed program code to include any state money amounts as well. See attached Adoption File Program Code. <u>CB, 10/2009</u> : The program code has been modified to correctly include State funding sources when reporting the amount listed in the adoption assistance agreement. LNs 2648-2664. <u>NC 5/2014</u> - corrected