

County	January 2005 Participation	January 2005 Percent Served	January 2006 Participation	January 2006 Percent Served	January 2007 Participation	January 2007 Percent Served	January 2008 Participation	January 2008 Percent Served	January 2009 Participation	January 2009 Percent Served	January 2010 Participation
ALAMANCE	8,041	38.71%	9,378	45.14%	11,015	53.02%	12,302	59.22%	15,687	75.51%	19,805
ALEXANDER	2,821	66.61%	3,218	75.99%	3,376	79.72%	3,396	80.19%	4,261	100.61%	5,055
ALLEGHANY	966	42.54%	1,069	47.07%	1,069	47.07%	1,197	52.71%	1,335	58.78%	1,605
ANSON	4,188	74.73%	4,484	80.01%	4,559	81.35%	4,849	86.53%	5,240	93.50%	6,039
ASHE	2,131	42.67%	2,363	47.32%	2,389	47.84%	2,596	51.98%	3,232	64.72%	3,801
AVERY	1,187	33.85%	1,319	37.61%	1,192	33.99%	1,340	38.21%	1,819	51.87%	2,233
BEAUFORT	5,550	48.93%	6,095	53.73%	6,039	53.24%	6,258	55.17%	6,995	61.67%	7,922
BERTIE	4,028	66.40%	4,115	67.84%	4,171	68.76%	4,037	66.55%	4,456	73.46%	5,023
BLADEN	5,519	61.04%	5,492	60.74%	5,460	60.38%	5,758	63.68%	6,055	66.97%	7,034
BRUNSWICK	6,986	51.59%	7,053	52.09%	7,447	55.00%	8,232	60.79%	10,250	75.70%	13,253
BUNCOMBE	17,675	51.73%	18,678	54.66%	19,148	56.04%	20,119	58.88%	24,040	70.35%	30,481
BURKE	7,971	55.39%	8,747	60.78%	8,896	61.82%	9,042	62.83%	10,971	76.24%	13,217
CABARRUS	11,251	77.04%	12,848	87.97%	12,990	88.94%	14,033	96.08%	16,687	114.26%	20,900
CALDWELL	8,911	73.61%	9,644	79.67%	9,885	81.66%	10,089	83.35%	12,302	101.63%	14,474
CAMDEN	498	53.15%	566	60.41%	492	52.51%	589	62.86%	650	69.37%	882
CARTERET(*)	3,705	40.79%	3,939	43.36%	4,064	44.74%	4,896	53.90%	6,175	67.98%	7,739
CASWELL	3,249	78.38%	3,494	84.29%	3,616	87.24%	3,528	85.11%	3,964	95.63%	4,481
CATAWBA(*)	12,854	69.00%	14,314	76.83%	14,986	80.44%	15,980	85.78%	19,916	106.90%	23,784
CHATHAM	2,739	39.25%	2,872	41.16%	2,948	42.25%	3,344	47.92%	3,991	57.19%	4,840
CHEROKEE	2,139	39.28%	2,162	39.71%	2,263	41.56%	2,522	46.32%	3,407	62.57%	4,137
CHOWAN	2,062	59.89%	2,226	64.65%	2,251	65.38%	2,267	65.84%	2,643	76.76%	3,020
CLAY	912	58.02%	966	61.45%	997	63.42%	1,222	77.74%	1,665	105.92%	1,900
CLEVELAND	13,632	77.14%	14,430	81.65%	14,410	81.54%	14,965	84.68%	17,661	99.94%	20,833
COLUMBUS	8,788	51.79%	9,510	56.04%	9,525	56.13%	9,531	56.16%	10,660	62.82%	12,311
CRAVEN	7,603	47.43%	8,180	51.03%	8,785	54.81%	9,414	58.73%	11,033	68.83%	12,827
CUMBERLAND	34,641	65.90%	36,938	70.27%	39,216	74.61%	40,283	76.64%	46,966	89.35%	55,057
CURRITUCK	1,088	36.96%	1,216	41.30%	1,220	41.44%	1,445	49.08%	1,865	63.35%	2,150
DARE	756	22.51%	955	28.44%	1,180	35.14%	1,370	40.80%	1,897	56.49%	2,698
DAVIDSON	13,927	64.09%	15,206	69.97%	16,130	74.23%	17,269	79.47%	21,239	97.74%	25,387
DAVIE	1,848	41.04%	2,366	52.54%	2,634	58.49%	2,910	64.62%	3,578	79.46%	4,545
DUPLIN	5,047	37.13%	5,484	40.34%	5,366	39.48%	5,582	41.07%	6,605	48.59%	7,995
DURHAM	20,212	52.16%	21,852	56.39%	22,097	57.02%	23,390	60.36%	26,507	68.40%	32,275
EDGECOMBE	10,919	75.27%	11,754	81.03%	11,441	78.87%	11,807	81.39%	13,204	91.02%	15,179

*NCFAS data is not available for Buncombe County September 2012 Participation; this missing data may alter the numbers displayed.

FORSYTH	25,624	56.05%	28,385	62.09%	30,162	65.98%	30,714	67.18%	34,779	76.07%	42,903
FRANKLIN	5,111	59.84%	5,635	65.98%	5,855	68.55%	6,192	72.50%	7,270	85.12%	8,477
GASTON	20,815	71.29%	22,881	78.36%	23,293	79.77%	24,563	84.12%	29,944	102.55%	37,112
GATES	1,041	43.52%	1,090	45.57%	1,142	47.74%	1,180	49.33%	1,395	58.32%	1,560
GRAHAM	858	38.93%	898	40.74%	976	44.28%	1,012	45.92%	1,217	55.22%	1,417
GRANVILLE	4,004	53.85%	4,309	57.96%	4,655	62.61%	4,749	63.87%	5,383	72.40%	6,655
GREENE	3,018	61.50%	3,258	66.39%	3,163	64.46%	3,324	67.74%	3,624	73.85%	4,029
GUILFORD(*)	39,907	66.22%	43,442	72.09%	45,487	75.48%	49,636	82.36%	57,336	95.14%	69,127
HALIFAX	12,306	69.66%	12,904	73.04%	12,801	72.46%	12,922	73.15%	13,849	78.39%	14,911
HARNETT	9,893	52.95%	10,658	57.05%	11,330	60.64%	11,634	62.27%	13,903	74.42%	17,200
HAYWOOD	5,517	59.06%	5,810	62.20%	5,889	63.04%	6,109	65.40%	7,389	79.10%	8,884
HENDERSON	5,598	43.16%	6,037	46.55%	5,522	42.58%	5,944	45.83%	7,619	58.75%	9,917
HERTFORD	4,356	71.44%	4,708	77.22%	4,647	76.22%	4,661	76.45%	5,009	82.16%	5,640
HOKE	4,453	53.72%	5,379	64.89%	5,408	65.24%	5,810	70.08%	6,969	84.07%	8,191
HYDE	766	67.37%	820	72.12%	856	75.29%	823	72.38%	875	76.96%	916
IREDELL	8,431	55.05%	9,331	60.92%	9,868	64.43%	10,242	66.87%	12,425	81.12%	15,378
JACKSON	2,651	43.46%	2,736	44.85%	2,610	42.79%	2,951	48.38%	3,552	58.23%	4,286
JOHNSTON(*)	12,437	58.97%	13,688	64.90%	14,542	68.95%	15,156	71.86%	18,486	87.64%	22,245
JONES	1,298	52.47%	1,365	55.17%	1,328	53.68%	1,404	56.75%	1,506	60.87%	1,903
LEE	4,159	47.35%	4,764	54.23%	5,099	58.05%	5,394	61.41%	7,169	81.61%	8,779
LENOIR	8,502	62.63%	9,305	68.54%	9,170	67.55%	9,651	71.09%	11,068	81.53%	12,487
LINCOLN	5,028	60.08%	5,961	71.23%	6,274	74.97%	6,506	77.74%	8,267	98.78%	10,142
MACON	2,452	43.32%	2,591	45.78%	2,536	44.81%	2,930	51.77%	4,087	72.21%	5,105
MADISON	1,753	43.87%	2,001	50.08%	2,010	50.30%	2,156	53.95%	2,884	72.17%	3,501
MARTIN	3,539	51.34%	3,560	51.65%	3,660	53.10%	3,919	56.85%	4,334	62.88%	4,862
MCDOWELL	3,004	41.07%	3,416	46.70%	3,664	50.10%	4,299	58.78%	5,587	76.39%	6,974
MECKLENBURG	75,714	87.81%	73,538	85.29%	76,772	89.04%	82,383	95.55%	96,932	112.42%	122,618
MITCHELL	1,632	52.32%	1,777	56.97%	1,715	54.99%	1,673	53.64%	1,824	58.48%	2,155
MONTGOMERY	2,845	47.12%	3,333	55.20%	3,314	54.89%	3,622	59.99%	4,389	72.69%	4,836
MOORE	5,447	46.95%	5,956	51.34%	5,983	51.57%	6,352	54.75%	7,631	65.77%	9,028
NASH	9,355	58.38%	9,977	62.26%	10,323	64.42%	10,707	66.81%	12,825	80.03%	14,694
NEW HANOVER	15,280	55.05%	14,989	54.00%	14,656	52.80%	15,201	54.76%	17,276	62.24%	21,145
NORTHAMPTON	4,398	71.17%	4,684	75.79%	4,564	73.85%	4,597	74.39%	4,990	80.74%	5,461
ONSLow	10,413	39.75%	11,127	42.48%	11,989	45.77%	12,611	48.14%	13,318	50.84%	14,907
ORANGE	6,142	31.07%	6,311	31.92%	6,111	30.91%	6,457	32.66%	7,402	37.44%	9,166
PAMLICO	1,187	46.10%	1,314	51.03%	1,321	51.30%	1,329	51.61%	1,584	61.51%	1,813
PASQUOTANK	4,410	54.97%	4,669	58.20%	4,675	58.28%	4,820	60.08%	5,540	69.06%	6,409

*NCFast data is not available for Buncombe County September 2012 Participation; this missing data may alter the numbers displayed.

PENDER	4,528	57.47%	4,389	55.71%	4,576	58.08%	4,814	61.10%	5,860	74.37%	7,159
PERQUIMANS	1,542	59.72%	1,714	66.38%	1,721	66.65%	1,853	71.77%	2,067	80.05%	2,383
PERSON	3,552	57.19%	3,952	63.63%	4,103	66.06%	4,621	74.40%	5,524	88.94%	6,280
PITT	16,634	49.19%	17,913	52.98%	18,340	54.24%	19,438	57.49%	22,092	65.33%	25,693
POLK	903	35.43%	1,133	44.45%	1,279	50.18%	1,451	56.92%	1,943	76.23%	2,344
RANDOLPH	9,695	51.46%	11,615	61.65%	12,929	68.63%	14,777	78.44%	17,953	95.30%	21,331
RICHMOND	7,202	58.72%	7,530	61.39%	7,240	59.03%	8,101	66.05%	9,309	75.90%	11,033
ROBESON	25,972	69.77%	27,141	72.91%	27,125	72.87%	26,875	72.20%	30,913	83.05%	36,333
ROCKINGHAM	7,859	45.77%	8,819	51.36%	9,637	56.13%	10,194	59.37%	12,121	70.59%	14,223
ROWAN	10,974	55.60%	11,794	59.76%	12,436	63.01%	13,501	68.41%	16,327	82.73%	20,316
RUTHERFORD	7,908	63.60%	8,332	67.02%	8,212	66.05%	8,465	68.08%	10,253	82.47%	12,437
SAMPSON	7,472	49.33%	7,917	52.27%	7,982	52.70%	8,615	56.88%	10,108	66.74%	11,738
SCOTLAND	7,456	77.25%	7,899	81.84%	8,327	86.27%	8,553	88.61%	9,171	95.02%	9,830
STANLY	4,872	56.04%	5,454	62.73%	5,852	67.31%	5,863	67.44%	7,011	80.64%	8,594
STOKES	3,508	59.52%	3,943	66.90%	4,012	68.07%	3,992	67.73%	4,491	76.20%	5,252
SURRY	6,557	47.42%	7,741	55.98%	8,261	59.74%	8,686	62.81%	10,418	75.34%	12,022
SWAIN	1,619	52.68%	1,762	57.34%	1,796	58.44%	1,827	59.45%	2,257	73.45%	2,802
TRANSYLVANIA	2,651	66.64%	2,716	68.28%	2,626	66.01%	2,786	70.04%	3,626	91.15%	4,459
TYRRELL	623	54.75%	665	58.44%	657	57.73%	721	63.36%	796	69.95%	886
UNION	9,109	63.93%	9,668	67.86%	10,260	72.01%	10,979	77.06%	13,865	97.31%	18,538
VANCE	8,695	74.85%	9,292	79.99%	9,413	81.03%	10,340	89.01%	11,562	99.53%	12,645
WAKE	40,201	60.79%	42,516	64.29%	43,595	65.93%	45,184	68.33%	51,969	78.59%	65,090
WARREN	3,278	61.21%	3,305	61.72%	3,506	65.47%	3,531	65.94%	3,846	71.82%	4,360
WASHINGTON	2,331	56.66%	2,493	60.60%	2,448	59.50%	2,545	61.86%	2,688	65.34%	3,030
WATAUGA	1,700	19.02%	1,956	21.88%	2,005	22.43%	1,995	22.32%	2,348	26.27%	3,164
WAYNE	12,152	54.40%	13,451	60.22%	14,049	62.90%	14,777	66.15%	16,338	73.14%	19,599
WILKES	5,824	51.29%	6,894	60.71%	7,412	65.27%	8,017	70.60%	9,620	84.71%	12,283
WILSON	8,883	50.10%	9,637	54.35%	10,075	56.82%	10,462	59.00%	11,851	66.84%	13,913
YADKIN	2,306	42.59%	2,691	49.70%	2,741	50.62%	3,112	57.47%	3,866	71.39%	4,782
YANCEY	2,357	60.68%	2,554	65.76%	2,542	65.45%	2,656	68.38%	2,913	75.00%	3,376
Total	795,551	58.47%	852,426	62.65%	879,784	64.66%	927,926	68.20%	1,089,699	80.09%	1,313,610

*NCFAST data is not available for Buncombe County September 2012 Participation; this missing data may alter the numbers displayed.

January 2010 Percent Served	January 2011 Participation	January 2011 Percent Served	January 2012 Participation	January 2012 Percent Served	September 2012 Participation	September 2012 Percent Served	Number Unserved	Total Eligible	Net Gain, Jan05 to Sep12	Net Gain, Jan12 to Sep12
95.33%	23,154	111.45%	24,733	119.05%	25111	120.87%	-4,336	20,775	17,070	1,957
119.36%	6,091	143.83%	6,347	149.87%	6347	149.87%	-2,112	4,235	3,526	256
70.67%	1,805	79.48%	1,951	85.91%	1929	84.94%	342	2,271	963	124
107.76%	6,608	117.92%	7,022	125.30%	7129	127.21%	-1,525	5,604	2,941	521
76.11%	4,537	90.85%	4,784	95.79%	4724	94.59%	270	4,994	2,593	187
63.67%	2,512	71.63%	2,786	79.44%	2597	74.05%	910	3,507	1,410	85
69.84%	9,116	80.37%	9,690	85.43%	10206	89.98%	1,137	11,343	4,656	1,090
82.81%	5,652	93.18%	6,085	100.31%	6146	101.32%	-80	6,066	2,118	494
77.79%	8,337	92.20%	8,891	98.33%	8666	95.84%	376	9,042	3,147	329
97.87%	15,969	117.93%	17,328	127.97%	16845	124.40%	-3,304	13,541	9,859	876
89.20%	36,999	108.28%	39,454	115.46%		0.00%	34,170	34,170	-17,675	-36,999
91.84%	15,560	108.12%	16,460	114.38%	16704	116.07%	-2,313	14,391	8,733	1,144
143.10%	24,949	170.83%	27,216	186.35%	26600	182.13%	-11,995	14,605	15,349	1,651
119.57%	16,561	136.81%	17,700	146.22%	17235	142.38%	-5,130	12,105	8,324	674
94.13%	1,092	116.54%	1,133	120.92%	1097	117.08%	-160	937	599	5
85.19%	8,623	94.93%	9,134	100.55%	1893	20.84%	7,191	9,084	-1,812	-6,730
108.11%	4,916	118.60%	5,267	127.07%	5114	123.38%	-969	4,145	1,865	198
127.67%	27,608	148.19%	28,992	155.62%	4119	22.11%	14,511	18,630	-8,735	-23,489
69.36%	5,741	82.27%	6,632	95.04%	6129	87.83%	849	6,978	3,390	388
75.98%	4,738	87.02%	5,197	95.45%	5031	92.40%	414	5,445	2,892	293
87.71%	3,423	99.42%	3,579	103.95%	3535	102.67%	-92	3,443	1,473	112
120.87%	2,196	139.69%	2,257	143.58%	2088	132.82%	-516	1,572	1,176	-108
117.89%	23,678	133.99%	24,571	139.04%	25031	141.64%	-7,359	17,672	11,399	1,353
72.55%	14,032	82.69%	14,809	87.27%	14476	85.30%	2,494	16,970	5,688	444
80.02%	15,295	95.42%	15,917	99.30%	15892	99.15%	137	16,029	8,289	597
104.74%	63,393	120.60%	68,303	129.95%	65704	125.00%	-13,141	52,563	31,063	2,311
73.03%	2,480	84.24%	2,567	87.19%	2467	83.80%	477	2,944	1,379	-13
80.35%	3,498	104.17%	3,913	116.53%	3349	99.73%	9	3,358	2,593	-149
116.82%	29,372	135.16%	31,937	146.97%	31864	146.63%	-10,133	21,731	17,937	2,492
100.93%	5,499	122.12%	6,067	134.73%	5971	132.60%	-1,468	4,503	4,123	472
58.82%	9,389	69.07%	10,459	76.94%	10229	75.25%	3,364	13,593	5,182	840
83.29%	39,324	101.48%	43,633	112.60%	45706	117.95%	-6,955	38,751	25,494	6,382
104.64%	16,720	115.26%	18,194	125.42%	18337	126.41%	-3,831	14,506	7,418	1,617

*NCFAS data is not available for Buncombe County September 2012 Participation; this missing data may alter the numbers displayed.

93.84%	50,125	109.64%	54,410	119.01%	56345	123.25%	-10,628	45,717	30,721	6,220
99.25%	10,506	123.01%	11,120	130.20%	11286	132.14%	-2,745	8,541	6,175	780
127.10%	42,838	146.71%	44,446	152.22%	44945	153.93%	-15,746	29,199	24,130	2,107
65.22%	1,816	75.92%	1,932	80.77%	1926	80.52%	466	2,392	885	110
64.29%	1,737	78.81%	1,754	79.58%	1632	74.05%	572	2,204	774	-105
89.51%	8,159	109.74%	8,778	118.06%	8994	120.97%	-1,559	7,435	4,990	835
82.11%	4,467	91.03%	4,547	92.66%	4378	89.22%	529	4,907	1,360	-89
114.71%	82,397	136.73%	90,059	149.44%	24674	40.94%	35,590	60,264	-15,233	-57,723
84.41%	16,588	93.90%	17,392	98.45%	17451	98.78%	215	17,666	5,145	863
92.06%	20,676	110.67%	21,809	116.73%	22002	117.76%	-3,319	18,683	12,109	1,326
95.11%	10,129	108.44%	10,627	113.77%	10585	113.32%	-1,244	9,341	5,068	456
76.47%	12,618	97.29%	13,849	106.79%	13648	105.24%	-679	12,969	8,050	1,030
92.50%	6,183	101.41%	6,475	106.20%	6615	108.50%	-518	6,097	2,259	432
98.81%	9,662	116.55%	10,925	131.79%	10279	123.99%	-1,989	8,290	5,826	617
80.56%	995	87.51%	1,003	88.21%	1026	90.24%	111	1,137	260	31
100.40%	17,757	115.94%	19,256	125.72%	18833	122.96%	-3,517	15,316	10,402	1,076
70.26%	5,398	88.49%	6,066	99.44%	5927	97.16%	173	6,100	3,276	529
105.47%	27,570	130.71%	29,833	141.44%	7257	34.41%	13,835	21,092	-5,180	-20,313
76.92%	2,246	90.78%	2,412	97.49%	2368	95.72%	106	2,474	1,070	122
99.94%	9,934	113.09%	11,617	132.25%	11414	129.94%	-2,630	8,784	7,255	1,480
91.98%	14,223	104.77%	14,839	109.30%	14415	106.18%	-839	13,576	5,913	192
121.19%	11,512	137.56%	12,500	149.36%	12326	147.28%	-3,957	8,369	7,298	814
90.19%	6,541	115.57%	6,912	122.12%	6664	117.74%	-1,004	5,660	4,212	123
87.61%	3,980	99.60%	4,345	108.73%	4303	107.68%	-307	3,996	2,550	323
70.54%	5,581	80.97%	5,857	84.97%	6014	87.25%	879	6,893	2,475	433
95.35%	8,516	116.43%	9,499	129.87%	9876	135.03%	-2,562	7,314	6,872	1,360
142.21%	144,194	167.24%	153,312	177.81%	157530	182.70%	-71,308	86,222	81,816	13,336
69.09%	2,409	77.24%	2,558	82.01%	2556	81.95%	563	3,119	924	147
80.09%	5,494	90.99%	5,885	97.47%	5947	98.49%	91	6,038	3,102	453
77.81%	10,027	86.42%	10,940	94.29%	10535	90.80%	1,067	11,602	5,088	508
91.69%	17,262	107.72%	18,239	113.82%	18888	117.87%	-2,863	16,025	9,533	1,626
76.18%	25,932	93.42%	29,067	104.72%	28633	103.15%	-875	27,758	13,353	2,701
88.37%	6,245	101.05%	6,522	105.53%	6397	103.51%	-217	6,180	1,999	152
56.91%	17,178	65.57%	18,853	71.97%	19281	73.60%	6,915	26,196	8,868	2,103
46.37%	10,585	53.54%	11,779	59.58%	12067	61.04%	7,702	19,769	5,925	1,482
70.41%	2,127	82.60%	2,050	79.61%	2056	79.84%	519	2,575	869	-71
79.89%	7,471	93.13%	8,259	102.95%	8154	101.65%	-132	8,022	3,744	683

*NCFAS data is not available for Buncombe County September 2012 Participation; this missing data may alter the numbers displayed.

90.86%	8,674	110.09%	9,371	118.94%	9227	117.11%	-1,348	7,879	4,699	553
92.29%	2,671	103.45%	2,766	107.13%	2771	107.32%	-189	2,582	1,229	100
101.11%	7,356	118.44%	7,764	125.00%	7840	126.23%	-1,629	6,211	4,288	484
75.98%	29,740	87.95%	32,793	96.98%	33712	99.70%	102	33,814	17,078	3,972
91.96%	2,675	104.94%	2,932	115.03%	2904	113.93%	-355	2,549	2,001	229
113.23%	24,241	128.67%	26,811	142.32%	26785	142.18%	-7,946	18,839	17,090	2,544
89.96%	12,771	104.13%	13,677	111.51%	14008	114.21%	-1,743	12,265	6,806	1,237
97.61%	44,219	118.79%	46,549	125.05%	45065	121.07%	-7,842	37,223	19,093	846
82.84%	16,767	97.65%	18,163	105.78%	18486	107.66%	-1,316	17,170	10,627	1,719
102.94%	24,825	125.79%	26,847	136.03%	26894	136.27%	-7,158	19,736	15,920	2,069
100.03%	14,714	118.35%	15,755	126.72%	15594	125.42%	-3,161	12,433	7,686	880
77.50%	13,792	91.06%	14,752	97.40%	14219	93.88%	927	15,146	6,747	427
101.84%	11,152	115.54%	11,713	121.35%	11454	118.67%	-1,802	9,652	3,998	302
98.85%	10,164	116.91%	10,769	123.87%	10588	121.79%	-1,894	8,694	5,716	424
89.11%	6,274	106.45%	6,935	117.66%	7040	119.44%	-1,146	5,894	3,532	766
86.94%	13,791	99.73%	14,898	107.74%	15072	109.00%	-1,244	13,828	8,515	1,281
91.18%	3,231	105.14%	3,314	107.84%	3061	99.61%	12	3,073	1,442	-170
112.09%	5,244	131.83%	5,667	142.46%	5754	144.65%	-1,776	3,978	3,103	510
77.86%	1,005	88.31%	950	83.48%	854	75.04%	284	1,138	231	-151
130.11%	23,267	163.30%	25,283	177.45%	25451	178.63%	-11,203	14,248	16,342	2,184
108.85%	14,545	125.20%	15,282	131.55%	15388	132.46%	-3,771	11,617	6,693	843
98.43%	77,063	116.54%	83,245	125.88%	86513	130.83%	-20,385	66,128	46,312	9,450
81.42%	5,097	95.18%	5,465	102.05%	5524	103.16%	-169	5,355	2,246	427
73.65%	3,407	82.81%	3,683	89.52%	3634	88.33%	480	4,114	1,303	227
35.40%	3,805	42.57%	4,177	46.73%	4064	45.47%	4,874	8,938	2,364	259
87.74%	23,876	106.89%	25,757	115.31%	25628	114.73%	-3,291	22,337	13,476	1,752
108.16%	14,088	124.06%	15,081	132.80%	15162	133.52%	-3,806	11,356	9,338	1,074
78.47%	16,595	93.59%	17,425	98.27%	17123	96.57%	608	17,731	8,240	528
88.31%	5,881	108.61%	6,108	112.80%	6239	115.22%	-824	5,415	3,933	358
86.92%	3,672	94.54%	3,798	97.79%	3737	96.22%	147	3,884	1,380	65
96.54%	1,544,547	113.52%	1,660,464	122.03%	1505289	110.63%	-144,637	1,360,652	709,738	-39,258

*NCFAST data is not available for Buncombe County September 2012 Participation; this missing data may alter the numbers displayed.