FNS Recertifications Statewide with End Date 08/01/2020 - 08/31/2020

County Name	Number of Timely Recerts	Percent of Timely Recerts	Number of Untimely Recerts	Percent of Untimely Recerts	Total Number of Recerts
Alamance	8	100.00%	0	0%	8
Alexander	2	100.00%	0	0%	2
Alleghany	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Anson	2	100.00%	0	0%	2
Ashe	4	100.00%	0	0%	4
Beaufort	5	100.00%	0	0%	5
Bertie	2	100.00%	0	0%	2
Bladen	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Brunswick	5	100.00%	0	0%	5
Buncombe	12	100.00%	0	0%	12
Burke	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Cabarrus	7	87.50%	1	12.50%	8
Caldwell	6	100.00%	0	0%	6
Carteret	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	3
Caswell	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Catawba	6	100.00%	0	0%	6
Chatham	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Cherokee	6	100.00%	0	0%	6
Cleveland	12	100.00%	0	0%	12
Columbus	10	100.00%	0	0%	10
Craven	4	100.00%	0	0%	4
Cumberland	23	100.00%	0	0%	23
Currituck	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Davidson	6	100.00%	0	0%	6
Davie	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Duplin	5	100.00%	0	0%	5
Durham	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	5
Edgecombe	5	100.00%	0	0%	5
Forsyth	26	100.00%	0	0%	26
Franklin	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Gaston	12	92.31%	1	7.69%	13
Gates	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Graham	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Granville	4	100.00%	0	0%	4
Greene	3	100.00%	0	0%	3
Guilford	12	92.31%	1	7.69%	13
Halifax	18	100.00%	0	0%	18
Harnett	11	100.00%	0	0%	11
Haywood	3	100.00%	0	0%	3
Henderson	3	100.00%	0	0%	3
Hertford	6	100.00%	0	0%	6
Hoke	7	100.00%	0	0%	7

The August Recertification Timeliness Report data is only reflective of cases that were not included in the automatic certification extensions as a result of COVID 19.

Hyde	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	3
Iredell	4	100.00%	0	0%	4
Jackson	2	100.00%	0	0%	2
Johnston	5	100.00%	0	0%	5
Jones	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Lee	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Lenoir	4	100.00%	0	0%	4
Lincoln	3	100.00%	0	0%	3
Macon	3	100.00%	0	0%	3
Madison	3	100.00%	0	0%	3
Martin	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
McDowell	3	100.00%	0	0%	3
Mecklenburg	35	94.59%	2	5.41%	37
Mitchell	2	100.00%	0	0%	2
Montgomery	2	100.00%	0	0%	2
Moore	3	100.00%	0	0%	3
Nash	6	100.00%	0	0%	6
New Hanover	9	100.00%	0	0%	9
Northampton	7	100.00%	0	0%	7
Onslow	7	100.00%	0	0%	7
Orange	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	3
Pasquotank	2	100.00%	0	0%	2
Pender	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Perquimans	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Person	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Pitt	11	100.00%	0	0%	11
Polk	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Randolph	6	100.00%	0	0%	6
Richmond	3	100.00%	0	0%	3
Robeson	18	100.00%	0	0%	18
Rockingham	6	100.00%	0	0%	6
Rowan	4	100.00%	0	0%	4
Rutherford	7	100.00%	0	0%	7
Sampson	8	100.00%	0	0%	8
Scotland	5	83.33%	1	16.67%	6
Stanly	4	100.00%	0	0%	4
Stokes	4	100.00%	0	0%	4
Surry	3	100.00%	0	0%	3
Swain	2	100.00%	0	0%	2
Tyrrell	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Union	2	100.00%	0	0%	2
Vance	6	100.00%	0	0%	6
Wake	21	100.00%	0	0%	21
Warren	5	100.00%	0	0%	5
Washington	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Watauga	1	100.00%	0	0%	1
Wayne	12	100.00%	0	0%	12
Wilkes	6	85.71%	1	14.29%	7

Wilson	8	88.89%	1	11.11%	9
Yadkin	4	100.00%	0	0%	4
Yancey	2	100.00%	0	0%	2