

**TEAM 1
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - December 2005**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE									RATE	
11 BUNCOMBE	5	\$882	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
20 CHEROKEE	1	\$19	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
22 CLAY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	1	\$27	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
44 HAYWOOD	1	\$235	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
45 HENDERSON	2	\$110	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
50 JACKSON	2	\$288	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
56 MACON	1	\$304	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
57 MADISON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
75 POLK										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
87 SWAIN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
88 TRANSYLVANIA										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	13	\$1,865	13	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	263	\$54,591	247	0	\$0	8	\$1,223	8	\$715	16	\$1,938	3.55%	96.45%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES		CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
5%	3%	5%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

TEAM 2
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - December 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT			ERROR
2 ALEXANDER											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
3 ALLEGHANY	1	\$506	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
5 ASHE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
6 AVERY	1	\$15	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
12 BURKE	2	\$648	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
14 CALDWELL	4	\$385	4								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
23 CLEVELAND	5	\$1,036	5								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL	1	\$39	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
61 MITCHELL											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	2	\$437	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	\$222	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	3	\$137	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
0 YANCEY	1	\$25	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	21	\$3,450	21	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	263	\$54,591	247	0	\$0	8	\$1,223	8	\$715	16	\$1,938	3.55%	96.45%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
8%	6%	9%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

TEAM 3
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - December 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
4 ANSON	1	\$351	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
13 CABARRUS	2	\$491	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	5	\$1,352	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	5	\$1,130	4					1	\$49	1	\$49	4.34%	95.66%
49 IREDELL	3	\$714	2					1	\$90	1	\$90	12.61%	87.39%
55 LINCOLN	2	\$462	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	23	\$5,436	22					1	\$41	1	\$41	0.75%	99.25%
62 MONTGOMERY	2	\$783	1					1	\$121	1	\$121	15.45%	84.55%
77 RICHMOND	3	\$1,074	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	4	\$1,035	3					1	\$85	1	\$85	8.21%	91.79%
84 STANLY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
90 UNION	4	\$552	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	54	\$13,380	49	0	\$0	0	\$0	5	\$386	5	\$386	2.88%	97.12%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	263	\$54,591	247	0	\$0	8	\$1,223	8	\$715	16	\$1,938	3.55%	96.45%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
21%	25%	20%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	63%	54%	31%	20%

TEAM 4
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - December 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG	OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	ISSUE		ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
1 ALAMANCE	3	\$825	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
17 CASWELL	1	\$152	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	6	\$1,097	5					1	\$30	1	\$30	2.73%	97.27%
30 DAVIE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
34 FORSYTH	8	\$1,764	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
41 GUILFORD	14	\$3,365	13			1	\$31			1	\$31	0.92%	99.08%
68 ORANGE	3	\$464	2			1	\$142			1	\$142	30.60%	69.40%
73 PERSON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
76 RANDOLPH	4	\$1,069	3					1	\$230	1	\$230	21.52%	78.48%
79 ROCKINGHAM	3	\$739	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
85 STOKES	3	\$528	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	3	\$308	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	48	\$10,311	44	0	\$0	2	\$173	2	\$260	4	\$433	4.20%	95.80%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	263	\$54,591	247	0	\$0	8	\$1,223	8	\$715	16	\$1,938	3.55%	96.45%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	19%	18%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	25%	14%	25%	36%	25%	22%

TEAM 5
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - December 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
19 CHATHAM	1	\$335	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
32 DURHAM	7	\$961	6				1	\$101			1	\$101	10.51%	89.49%
35 FRANKLIN	2	\$430	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
42 HALIFAX	2	\$147	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	5	\$1,021	5								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	3	\$98	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
53 LEE	3	\$373	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	5	\$846	5								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	3	\$42	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
92 WAKE	8	\$1,449	7						1	\$69	1	\$69	4.76%	95.24%
93 WARREN	2	\$323	1				1	\$229			1	\$229	70.90%	29.10%
98 WILSON	2	\$430	1				1	\$203			1	\$203	47.21%	52.79%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	43	\$6,455	39	0	\$0	3	\$533	1	\$69	4	\$602	9.33%	90.67%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	263	\$54,591	247	0	\$0	8	\$1,223	8	\$715	16	\$1,938	3.55%	96.45%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
16%	12%	16%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	38%	44%	13%	10%	25%	31%

TEAM 6
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - December 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
9 BLADEN	2	\$72	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	1	\$314	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
24 COLUMBUS	4	\$1,002	3			1	\$52			1	\$52	5.19%	94.81%
26 CUMBERLAND	9	\$3,090	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
31 DUPLIN	3	\$818	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	1	\$292	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	1	\$250	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	3	\$743	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
71 PENDER	2	\$430	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
78 ROBESON	9	\$1,740	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
82 SAMPSON	3	\$1,171	2			1	\$378			1	\$378	32.28%	67.72%
83 SCOTLAND	3	\$899	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	41	\$10,821	39	0	\$0	2	\$430	0	\$0	2	\$430	3.97%	96.03%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	263	\$54,591	247	0	\$0	8	\$1,223	8	\$715	16	\$1,938	3.55%	96.45%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
CASES	ISSUE	CASE								
16%	20%	16%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	25%	35%	0%	0%	13%	22%

TEAM 7
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - December 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
8 BERTIE	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
15 CAMDEN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
21 CHOWAN	1	\$58	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
27 CURRITUCK										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
33 EDGECOMBE	5	\$1,712	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
37 GATES	2	\$594	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
46 HERTFORD	2	\$392	1			1	\$87			1	\$87	22.19%	77.81%
58 MARTIN	2	\$445	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON	1	\$38	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
70 PASQUOTANK	2	\$210	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
72 PERQUIMANS	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
94 WASHINGTON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	17	\$3,469	16	0	\$0	1	\$87	0	\$0	1	\$87	2.51%	97.49%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	263	\$54,591	247	0	\$0	8	\$1,223	8	\$715	16	\$1,938	3.55%	96.45%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
6%	6%	6%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	13%	7%	0%	0%	6%	4%

TEAM 8
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - December 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
CASES		CASE										RATE	
7 BEAUFORT	2	\$473	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	2	\$167	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	2	\$176	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
28 DARE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
40 GREENE	3	\$470	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
52 JONES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	3	\$336	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	3	\$631	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	6	\$1,438	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
89 TYRRELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	5	\$1,149	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	26	\$4,840	26	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	263	\$54,591	247	0	\$0	8	\$1,223	8	\$715	16	\$1,938	3.55%	96.45%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL				
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERRORS	NO
CASES		CASE											
10%	9%	11%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			