

TEAM 1
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - October 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
11 BUNCOMBE	1	\$152	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
20 CHEROKEE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
22 CLAY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
44 HAYWOOD	1	\$235	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
45 HENDERSON											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
50 JACKSON											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
56 MACON	1	\$304	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
57 MADISON											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
75 POLK											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
87 SWAIN											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
88 TRANSYLVANIA											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	3	\$691	3	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	87	\$18,068	79	0	\$0	5	\$706	3	\$224	8	\$930	5.15%	94.85%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
3%	4%	4%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

TEAM 2
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - October 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	NO	AMT	RATE
2 ALEXANDER											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
3 ALLEGHANY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
5 ASHE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
6 AVERY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
12 BURKE	1	\$481	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
14 CALDWELL	2	\$71	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
23 CLEVELAND	2	\$202	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL	1	\$39	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
61 MITCHELL											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	1	\$399	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
97 WILKES	1	\$10	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
0 YANCEY	1	\$25	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	9	\$1,227	9	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	87	\$18,068	79	0	\$0	5	\$706	3	\$224	8	\$930	5.15%	94.85%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
10%	7%	11%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**TEAM 3
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - October 2005**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		ERROR
4 ANSON											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
13 CABARRUS	1	\$78	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	1	\$328	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	2	\$289	1					1	\$49		1	\$49	16.96%	83.04%
49 IREDELL	1	\$305						1	\$90		1	\$90	29.51%	70.49%
55 LINCOLN											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
60 MECKLENBURG	8	\$1,535	8								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
62 MONTGOMERY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
77 RICHMOND	1	\$506	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	1	\$303						1	\$85		1	\$85	28.05%	71.95%
84 STANLY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
90 UNION	1	\$110	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	16	\$3,454	13	0	\$0	0	\$0	3	\$224	3	\$224	6.49%	93.51%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	87	\$18,068	79	0	\$0	5	\$706	3	\$224	8	\$930	5.15%	94.85%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	19%	16%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	100%	100%	38%	24%

TEAM 4
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - October 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE									RATE	RATE
1 ALAMANCE	1	\$47	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
17 CASWELL	1	\$152	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	2	\$300	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
30 DAVIE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
34 FORSYTH	3	\$610	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
41 GUILFORD	4	\$1,180	3			1	\$31			1	\$31	2.63%	97.37%
68 ORANGE	1	\$152				1	\$142			1	\$142	93.42%	6.58%
73 PERSON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
76 RANDOLPH	1	\$52	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	1	\$21	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
85 STOKES	1	\$152	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	1	\$20	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	16	\$2,686	14	0	\$0	2	\$173	0	\$0	2	\$173	6.44%	93.56%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	87	\$18,068	79	0	\$0	5	\$706	3	\$224	8	\$930	5.15%	94.85%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	15%	18%	#####	#DIV/0!	40%	25%	0%	0%	25%	19%

TEAM 5
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - October 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
19 CHATHAM	1	\$335	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
32 DURHAM	3	\$589	2				1	\$101			1	\$101	17.15%	82.85%
35 FRANKLIN	1	\$278	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
42 HALIFAX											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
43 HARNETT	1	\$184	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	1	\$10	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
53 LEE	1	\$76	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	1	\$430	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	1	\$10	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
92 WAKE	3	\$660	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
93 WARREN	1	\$278					1	\$229			1	\$229	82.37%	17.63%
98 WILSON	1	\$278					1	\$203			1	\$203	73.02%	26.98%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	15	\$3,128	12	0	\$0	3	\$533	0	\$0	3	\$533	17.04%	82.96%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	87	\$18,068	79	0	\$0	5	\$706	3	\$224	8	\$930	5.15%	94.85%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
17%	17%	15%	#####	#DIV/0!	60%	75%	0%	0%	38%	57%

TEAM 6
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - October 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
9 BLADEN	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	1	\$314	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
24 COLUMBUS	1	\$511	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	4	\$1,777	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
31 DUPLIN	1	\$138	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	1	\$292	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	1	\$250	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
71 PENDER	1	\$152	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
78 ROBESON	3	\$237	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
82 SAMPSON	1	\$399	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	1	\$399	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	16	\$4,479	16	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	87	\$18,068	79	0	\$0	5	\$706	3	\$224	8	\$930	5.15%	94.85%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	25%	20%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**TEAM 7
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - October 2005**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		ERROR
8 BERTIE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
15 CAMDEN											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
21 CHOWAN											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
27 CURRITUCK											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
33 EDGECOMBE	1	\$406	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
37 GATES	1	\$278	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
46 HERTFORD											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
58 MARTIN											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
66 NORTHAMPTON											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
70 PASQUOTANK											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
72 PERQUIMANS											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
94 WASHINGTON											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	2	\$684	2	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	87	\$18,068	79	0	\$0	5	\$706	3	\$224	8	\$930	5.15%	94.85%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
2%	4%	3%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**TEAM 8
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - October 2005**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
7 BEAUFORT	1	\$240	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
25 CRAVEN	1	\$24	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
28 DARE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
40 GREENE	1	\$308	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
52 JONES											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	1	\$146	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	1	\$152	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	3	\$614	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
89 TYRRELL											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	2	\$235	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	10	\$1,719	10	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	87	\$18,068	79	0	\$0	5	\$706	3	\$224	8	\$930	5.15%	94.85%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
11%	10%	13%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%