

TEAM 1
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
11 BUNCOMBE	16	15	1	93.75%	6.25%
20 CHEROKEE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
22 CLAY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
44 HAYWOOD	4	4	0	100.00%	0.00%
45 HENDERSON	4	4	0	100.00%	0.00%
50 JACKSON	2	2	0	100.00%	0.00%
56 MACON	4	4	0	100.00%	0.00%
57 MADISON	2	2	0	100.00%	0.00%
75 POLK	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
87 SWAIN	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	3	3	0	100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	39	38	1	97.44%	2.56%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	592	580	12	97.97%	2.03%

TEAM 2
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
2 ALEXANDER	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
3 ALLEGHANY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
5 ASHE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
6 AVERY	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
12 BURKE	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
14 CALDWELL	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
23 CLEVELAND	10	10		100.00%	0.00%
59 MCDOWELL	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
61 MITCHELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
97 WILKES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
0 YANCEY	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#REF!
TEAM	33	33	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	592	580	12	97.97%	2.03%

TEAM 3
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
4 ANSON	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
13 CABARRUS	11	11		100.00%	0.00%
18 CATAWBA	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
36 GASTON	17	15	2	88.24%	11.76%
49 IREDELL	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
55 LINCOLN	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	71	71		100.00%	0.00%
62 MONTGOMERY	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
77 RICHMOND	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
80 ROWAN	12	12		100.00%	0.00%
84 STANLY	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
90 UNION	8	8		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	148	146	2	98.65%	1.35%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	592	580	12	97.97%	2.03%

TEAM 4
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
1 ALAMANCE	11	11		100.00%	0.00%
17 CASWELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
29 DAVIDSON	9	8	1	88.89%	11.11%
30 DAVIE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
34 FORSYTH	19	19		100.00%	0.00%
41 GUILFORD	31	31		100.00%	0.00%
68 ORANGE	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
73 PERSON	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
76 RANDOLPH	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
85 STOKES	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
86 SURRY	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
99 YADKIN	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#REF!	#REF!
TEAM	106	105	1	99.06%	0.94%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	592	580	12	97.97%	2.03%

TEAM 5
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
19 CHATHAM	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
32 DURHAM	18	17	1	94.44%	5.56%
35 FRANKLIN	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
39 GRANVILLE	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
42 HALIFAX	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
43 HARNETT	8	8		100.00%	0.00%
51 JOHNSTON	11	10	1	90.91%	9.09%
53 LEE	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
64 NASH	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
91 VANCE	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
92 WAKE	28	27	1	96.43%	3.57%
93 WARREN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
98 WILSON	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#REF!	#REF!
TEAM	106	103	3	97.17%	2.83%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	592	580	12	97.97%	2.03%

TEAM 6
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
9 BLADEN	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
24 COLUMBUS	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	21	20	1	95.24%	4.76%
31 DUPLIN	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
47 HOKE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
63 MOORE	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	13	13		100.00%	0.00%
71 PENDER	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
78 ROBESON	14	13	1	92.86%	7.14%
82 SAMPSON	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
83 SCOTLAND	3	2	1	66.67%	33.33%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	82	79	3	96.34%	3.66%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	592	580	12	97.97%	2.03%

TEAM 7
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
8 BERTIE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
15 CAMDEN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
21 CHOWAN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
27 CURRITUCK	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
33 EDGEcombe	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
37 GATES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
46 HERTFORD	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
58 MARTIN				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
66 NORTHAMPTON				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
70 PASQUOTANK	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
72 PERQUIMANS				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
94 WASHINGTON	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	20	20	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	592	580	12	97.97%	2.03%

TEAM 8
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
7 BEAUFORT	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
16 CARTERET	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
25 CRAVEN	8	8		100.00%	0.00%
28 DARE	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
40 GREENE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
48 HYDE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
52 JONES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
67 ONSLOW	10	10		100.00%	0.00%
69 PAMLICO				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
89 TYRRELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	9	7	2	77.78%	22.22%
STATE AGENCY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	58	56	2	96.55%	3.45%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	592	580	12	97.97%	2.03%