Richmond County
2008

Child Safety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Mean Pre-MRS 02-03 thru 04-05</th>
<th>Mean MRS 06-07 thru 07-08</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County Comp Grp 1</td>
<td>County Comp Grp 2</td>
<td>County Comp Grp 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of Assessments</td>
<td>96.3 78.6 64.2 54.5</td>
<td>84.3 70.0</td>
<td>59.3 65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of Substantiations</td>
<td>16.1 14.6 10.6 10.7</td>
<td>13.8 17.4</td>
<td>10.7 12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of Substantiated Abuse</td>
<td>1.8 1.9 3.2 1.4</td>
<td>1.5 1.5</td>
<td>2.3 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 6 Month Repeat Assessment Rate | 20.6 18.9 9.8 8.9 | 19.5 15.9 | 9.4 12.0 | -52.0 -24.7 |
| 6 Month Repeat Substantiation Rate | 5.3 4.7 0.0 0.0 | 6.7 6.3 | 0.0 5.4 | . -14.3 |

Note: MRS was initiated in January 2006.

Six-Month Repeat Assessments

Graph compares the likelihood of a 6-month repeat assessment for the Family and Investigative Tracks. Numbers include all assessments each year (may include multiple assessments for one child in the same year).

Graph compares the likelihood of a 6-month repeat assessment for each case finding. Numbers include all assessments handled in the Family Track (may include multiple assessments for one child in the same year).

1 County comparison groups were chosen based on county rates of assessments and child population. Counties in comparison group: Cabarrus, Cumberland, Edgecombe, Pender, Richmond, and Wayne.
2 Rates are per 1,000 children residing in county.
3 Substantiations include findings of Services Needed.
4 Abuse always includes substantiations of “Abuse and Neglect.”
5 Repeat Assessment is defined as a child who is involved in more than one accepted report within 6 months.
6 Repeat Substantiation is defined as a child who has a substantiated report within 6 months of another substantiated report.
Family Assessment Track

Percentage of assessments assigned to the Family Assessment Track. Graph compares select county to the average of the comparison counties from fiscal year 2006-2007 to 2007-2008.

Breakdown of findings within the Family Assessment Track for fiscal years 2006-2007 and 2007-2008 as compared to the average of the comparison counties.

Timeliness of Response and Time to Case Decision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Mean Pre-MRS 02-03 thru 04-05</th>
<th>Mean MRS 06-07 thru 07-08</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Grp</td>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% On-time Response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>97.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports of Neglect</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports of Abuse</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>97.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% On-time Case Decision</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>61.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Assessment Track</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigative Track</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 On-time Response is calculated as response within 24 hours for reports of Abuse, and within 72 hours for reports of Neglect.
8 On-time Case Decision is calculated as decision made within 30 days for pre-MRS and Investigative Track assessments, and within 45 days for Family Track assessments.
### Primary Contributory Factors for Fiscal Year 2007-2008

#### Child Contributory Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Children: 14</th>
<th>Children with this Factor</th>
<th>Percent of Children with Repeat Assessment within Six Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol Abuse</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavior Problem</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>92.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Abuse</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotionally Disturbed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Disability</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Retardation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically Disabled</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visually or Hearing Impaired</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Medical Condition</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Caregiver Contributory Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Children: 20</th>
<th>Children with this Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol Abuse</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Abuse</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotionally Disturbed</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Child Development Knowledge</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Disability</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Retardation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically Disabled</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visually or Hearing Impaired</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Medical Condition</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Household Contributory Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Children: 26</th>
<th>Children with this Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Problem</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate Housing</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Assistance</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that 71.4% of assessments with findings of Substantiated or Services Needed were NOT assigned any Primary Contributory Factors. Therefore, the data above may not be representative of the entire population. Repeat Assessment is defined as a child who received a finding of Substantiated or Services Needed with an identified Contributory Factor during fiscal year 2007-2008 and who was involved in another accepted report within 6 months of the substantiated report. The % reflects percentage of children with a given Contributory Factor who had a Repeat Assessment.
Status of Data Collection and Reporting

- A total of 754 assessments were reported to the Central Registry.
- Of these, 43 assessments (5.7%) were reported through the web-based Multiple Response Database.
- So, 711 assessments (94.3%) reported to the Central Registry were NOT registered in the Multiple Response Database.
- Of the 43 assessments reported to both the Central Registry and the Multiple Response Database, 83.7% included some information about MRS services that were needed, referred or provided.
- For the assessments with some MRS services information, 14.5% of the reported services were referred and/or provided with no indication of the service being needed.

### MRS Services Needed, Referred, and Provided

Of the assessments with reported services, the top 5 identified service needs in the select county accounted for 42.0% of all identified service needs.

**Top 5 needs, and how successfully they were referred or provided:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>% Referred</th>
<th>% Provided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parenting Skills</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access community resources</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Care</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment training for parents</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Counseling</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comparison of Blended v. Not Blended 210/215 Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th># of Children With a Repeat Assessment</th>
<th>Mean # of Minutes of Frontloaded Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blended</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>554.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team Blended</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>359.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Blended</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>565.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data combined for all counties in the comparison group. Includes all assessments with transfer information.